#### 

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC

			Page 1
IN 5	THE UNITED STATES DIST	TRICT	COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA			CORGIA
	ATLANTA DIVISION	1	
WYTERIA SIMS,	Individually and		
o/b/o The Esta	ate of MARCUS SIMS,		
PLA	INTIFF,		
V.			Case No.
WINGATE MANAG	EMENT COMPANY, LLC,		1:22-CV-01696-
DEFI	ENDANT.		VMC
	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITIO	ON OF	
	KARIM VELLANI		
DATE:	Wednesday, June 12,	2024	
TIME:	12:04 p.m. CDT/1:04	p.m.	EDT
LOCATION:	Remote Proceeding		
	Richmond, TX 77407		
REPORTED BY:	Susan Karetny		
JOB NO.:	6748677		
	WYTERIA SIMS,  o/b/o The Esta  PLA:  V.  WINGATE MANAGE  DEFE  DATE:  TIME:  LOCATION:  REPORTED BY:	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT  ATLANTA DIVISION  WYTERIA SIMS, Individually and  o/b/o The Estate of MARCUS SIMS,  PLAINTIFF,  V.  WINGATE MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC,  DEFENDANT.  VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION  KARIM VELLANI  DATE: Wednesday, June 12,  TIME: 12:04 p.m. CDT/1:04  LOCATION: Remote Proceeding  Richmond, TX 77407  REPORTED BY: Susan Karetny	WYTERIA SIMS, Individually and o/b/o The Estate of MARCUS SIMS,

Veritext Legal Solutions

	Page 2		
1	Page 2	1	Page INDEX
1	A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF WYTERIA SIMS:		EXAMINATION: PAG
		3	By Mr. Bouchard 7
3	DAVID H. BOUCHARD, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)	4	by Mr. Bodenard
4	Finch McCranie LLP	5	EXHIBITS
5	229 Peachtree Street Northeast		NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE
6	Atlanta, GA 30303		Plaintiff:
7	david@finchmccranie.com		Exhibit 1 Threat Analysis Group - Expert
8	(404) 658-9070	9	Report Re: Newton, Et Al. 28
9	ON DELIAN E OF DEFENDANT WING ATE MANAGEMENT COMPANY	10	Report Re. Newton, Et Al. 20
	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT WINGATE MANAGEMENT COMPANY,	11	
	LLC:	12	
12	JACKSON DIAL, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)	13	
13	Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial	14	
14	3344 Peachtree Road Northeast, Suite 2400	15	
15	Atlanta, GA 30326	16	
16	jdial@wwhgd.com	17	
17	(404) 876-2700	18	
18		19	
19	LAUREN WOODRICK, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)		
20	Swift Currie McGhee & Hiers, LLC	20	
21	1420 Peachtree Street Northeast, #800	21	
22	Atlanta, GA 30309	22	
23	lauren.woodrick@swiftcurrie.com	23	
24	(404) 888-6168	24	
25		25	
	Page 3		Page
1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)	1	PROCEEDINGS
2	ALSO PRESENT:	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is June
3	, 81 , 3		12, 2024, and the time is 1:04 p.m. This will be
4			videotaped deposition of Karim Vellani. May the
5			reporter proceed.
6		6	THE REPORTER: Good afternoon. My name
7			is Susan Karetny; I am the reporter assigned by
8			Veritext to take the record of this proceeding. We
9			are now on the record at 1:04 p.m.
10		10	This is the deposition of Karim Vellani
11			taken in the matter of Wysteria Sims [sic],
12			individually and on behalf of the estate of Marcus
13			Sims, vs. Wingate Management Company, LLC on June 1
14		14	2024 at 11703 Haley Hollow, Richmond, Texas, 77407.
15		15	I am a notary authorized to take
16			acknowledgments and administer oaths in Georgia.
17		17	Parties agree that I will swear in the witness
18		18	remotely outside of his presence.
19		19	Additionally, absent an objection on
20		20	the record before the witness is sworn, all parties
21		21	and the witness understand and agree that any
22		22	certified transcript produced from the recording
23		23	virtually of this proceeding:
24		24	- is intended for all uses permitted
25		25	under applicable procedural and
		1	

2 (Pages 2 - 5)

Zims, wyteria w wingate i	Tuning on the company, 220
Page 6	_
1 evidentiary rules and laws in the	1 1:22-cv-01696. It's also taken in related cases
2 same manner as a deposition recorded	2 1:22-cv-01692 through 1:22-cv-01695, which are the
3 by stenographic means; and	3 Ricky Phillips, Keiontay Davis, Kenneth Long, and
4 - shall constitute written stipulation	4 DeMario Newton lawsuits, respectively.
5 of such.	5 Counsel for the defendants in all five
6 At this time will everyone in	6 cases are present after receiving reasonable notice of
7 attendance please identify yourselves for the record,	7 the deposition. All objections other than to the form
8 and we'll start with Mr. Bouchard.	8 of a question or to an issue of privilege are
9 MR. BOUCHARD: Good afternoon. David	9 preserved. Is that agreeable?
10 Bouchard from Finch McCranie in Atlanta, Georgia, on	MR. DIAL: And responsiveness of the
11 behalf of the plaintiffs.	11 answer. But yes.
12 MR. DIAL: This is Jad Dial from	MR. BOUCHARD: Lauren, I take it that's
13 Weinberg Wheeler, also in Atlanta, on behalf of the	13 agreeable to you, as well? I'm not sure if you're
14 defendants.	14 going to be speaking, as well.
15 MS. WOODRICK: Lauren Woodrick, Swift	MS. WOODRICK: That is agreeable to me
16 Currie McGhee & Hiers, in Atlanta, on behalf of	MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you.
17 Wingate Management Company.	This deposition is taken pursuant to
18 THE REPORTER: And Mr. Vellani, you	18 properly served deposition notices and cross-notices
19 just have to state your name.	19 and taken for all purposes permitted under the federa
20 MR. VELLANI: Oh, sorry. Karim	20 rules of civil procedure and the Georgia Civil
21 Vellani, Threat Analysis Group.	21 Practice Act, including but not limited to
22 THE REPORTER: Thank you. Hearing no	22 preservation of testimony and cross-examination. Is
23 objections, I will now swear in the witness.	23 that also agreeable?
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise	24 MR. DIAL: Yes.
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7	24 MR. DIAL: Yes. 25 MS. WOODRICK: Yes.
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7	24 MR. DIAL: Yes. 25 MS. WOODRICK: Yes. Page 9
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  1 WHEREUPON, 2 KARIM VELLANI,	24 MR. DIAL: Yes. 25 MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  1 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 2 Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  1 WHEREUPON, 2 KARIM VELLANI, 3 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn	24 MR. DIAL: Yes. 25 MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  1 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 2 Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed 3 before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know.
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  WHEREUPON,  KARIM VELLANI,  called as a witness and having been first duly sworn  to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but	24 MR. DIAL: Yes. 25 MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  1 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 2 Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed 3 before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know. 4 A Sure.
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  1 WHEREUPON, 2 KARIM VELLANI, 3 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn 4 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 5 the truth, was examined and testified as follows:	24 MR. DIAL: Yes. 25 MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  1 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 2 Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed 3 before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know. 4 A Sure. 5 Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  WHEREUPON,  KARIM VELLANI,  called as a witness and having been first duly sworn  to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but  the truth, was examined and testified as follows:  THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may	24 MR. DIAL: Yes. 25 MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  1 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 2 Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed 3 before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know. 4 A Sure. 5 Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a 6 deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  WHEREUPON,  KARIM VELLANI,  called as a witness and having been first duly sworn  to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but  the truth, was examined and testified as follows:  THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may  proceed.	24 MR. DIAL: Yes. 25 MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  1 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 2 Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed 3 before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know. 4 A Sure. 5 Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a 6 deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new 7 witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  1 WHEREUPON, 2 KARIM VELLANI, 3 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn 4 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 5 the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 6 THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may 7 proceed. 8 EXAMINATION	MR. DIAL: Yes.  MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  BY MR. BOUCHARD:  Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed  before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know.  A Sure.  Ull dispense with the ground rules of a  deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new  witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I  don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  1 WHEREUPON, 2 KARIM VELLANI, 3 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn 4 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 5 the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 6 THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may 7 proceed. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BOUCHARD:	MR. DIAL: Yes. MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  1 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 2 Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed 3 before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know. 4 A Sure. 5 Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a 6 deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new 7 witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I 8 don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with 9 me, sir?
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  1 WHEREUPON, 2 KARIM VELLANI, 3 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn 4 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 5 the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 6 THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may 7 proceed. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 10 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Vellani. My name's	24 MR. DIAL: Yes. 25 MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  1 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 2 Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed 3 before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know. 4 A Sure. 5 Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a 6 deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new 7 witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I 8 don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with 9 me, sir? 10 A Yes, sir.
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  1 WHEREUPON, 2 KARIM VELLANI, 3 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn 4 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 5 the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 6 THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may 7 proceed. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 10 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Vellani. My name's 11 David Bouchard. I'm a lawyer here in Atlanta,	24 MR. DIAL: Yes. 25 MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  1 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 2 Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed 3 before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know. 4 A Sure. 5 Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a 6 deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new 7 witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I 8 don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with 9 me, sir? 10 A Yes, sir. 11 Q And I did just want to clarify at the
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  1 WHEREUPON, 2 KARIM VELLANI, 3 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn 4 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 5 the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 6 THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may 7 proceed. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 10 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Vellani. My name's 11 David Bouchard. I'm a lawyer here in Atlanta, 12 Georgia, at Finch McCranie. And you understand I	MR. DIAL: Yes.  MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  BY MR. BOUCHARD:  Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed  before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know.  A Sure.  Ull dispense with the ground rules of a  deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new  witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I  don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with  me, sir?  A Yes, sir.  A Yes, sir.  A Yes, sir.  And I did just want to clarify at the  uutset, sir, is there any reason why you're not able
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  1 WHEREUPON, 2 KARIM VELLANI, 3 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn 4 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 5 the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 6 THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may 7 proceed. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 10 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Vellani. My name's 11 David Bouchard. I'm a lawyer here in Atlanta, 12 Georgia, at Finch McCranie. And you understand I 13 represent the plaintiffs in the cases that we're here	MR. DIAL: Yes.  MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  BY MR. BOUCHARD:  Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed  before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know.  A Sure.  Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a  deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new  witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I  don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with  me, sir?  A Yes, sir.  A Yes, sir.  A Yes, sir.  A Yes, sir.  O And I did just want to clarify at the  cutset, sir, is there any reason why you're not able  to proceed with the deposition today and provide
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  1 WHEREUPON, 2 KARIM VELLANI, 3 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn 4 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 5 the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 6 THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may 7 proceed. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 10 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Vellani. My name's 11 David Bouchard. I'm a lawyer here in Atlanta, 12 Georgia, at Finch McCranie. And you understand I 13 represent the plaintiffs in the cases that we're here 14 about today?	MR. DIAL: Yes.  MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  BY MR. BOUCHARD: Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know. A Sure.  Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with me, sir? A Yes, sir.  Q And I did just want to clarify at the coutset, sir, is there any reason why you're not able to proceed with the deposition today and provide complete, truthful, and accurate testimony?
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  1 WHEREUPON, 2 KARIM VELLANI, 3 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn 4 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 5 the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 6 THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may 7 proceed. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 10 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Vellani. My name's 11 David Bouchard. I'm a lawyer here in Atlanta, 12 Georgia, at Finch McCranie. And you understand I 13 represent the plaintiffs in the cases that we're here 14 about today? 15 A Yes, sir. Good to see you again.	MR. DIAL: Yes.  MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  1 BY MR. BOUCHARD:  2 Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed 3 before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know.  4 A Sure.  5 Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a 6 deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new 7 witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I 8 don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with 9 me, sir?  10 A Yes, sir. 11 Q And I did just want to clarify at the 12 outset, sir, is there any reason why you're not able 13 to proceed with the deposition today and provide 14 complete, truthful, and accurate testimony? 15 A No, sir.
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  1 WHEREUPON, 2 KARIM VELLANI, 3 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn 4 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 5 the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 6 THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may 7 proceed. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 10 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Vellani. My name's 11 David Bouchard. I'm a lawyer here in Atlanta, 12 Georgia, at Finch McCranie. And you understand I 13 represent the plaintiffs in the cases that we're here 14 about today? 15 A Yes, sir. Good to see you again. 16 Q Good to see you as well, sir. Thank you for	MR. DIAL: Yes.  MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  BY MR. BOUCHARD:  Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed obfore. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know.  A Sure.  Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with me, sir?  A Yes, sir.  Q And I did just want to clarify at the coutset, sir, is there any reason why you're not able to proceed with the deposition today and provide complete, truthful, and accurate testimony?  A No, sir.  A No, sir.  A No, sir, if you answer a question, I'm
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  1 WHEREUPON, 2 KARIM VELLANI, 3 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn 4 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 5 the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 6 THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may 7 proceed. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 10 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Vellani. My name's 11 David Bouchard. I'm a lawyer here in Atlanta, 12 Georgia, at Finch McCranie. And you understand I 13 represent the plaintiffs in the cases that we're here 14 about today? 15 A Yes, sir. Good to see you again. 16 Q Good to see you as well, sir. Thank you for 17 making yourself available today. I appreciate it.	MR. DIAL: Yes.  MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  BY MR. BOUCHARD:  Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed  before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know.  A Sure.  Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a  deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new  witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I  don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with  me, sir?  A Yes, sir.  Q And I did just want to clarify at the  coutset, sir, is there any reason why you're not able  to proceed with the deposition today and provide  complete, truthful, and accurate testimony?  A No, sir.  A No, sir.  A No, sir, if you answer a question, I'm  going to understand that to mean that you understood
Page 7  WHEREUPON,  KARIM VELLANI,  called as a witness and having been first duly sworn  to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but  the truth, was examined and testified as follows:  THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may  proceed.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. BOUCHARD:  Q Good afternoon, Mr. Vellani. My name's  David Bouchard. I'm a lawyer here in Atlanta,  Georgia, at Finch McCranie. And you understand I  represent the plaintiffs in the cases that we're here  about today?  A Yes, sir. Good to see you again.  Q Good to see you as well, sir. Thank you for  making yourself available today. I appreciate it.  A Yes, sir.	MR. DIAL: Yes.  MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  BY MR. BOUCHARD: Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know. A Sure. Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with me, sir? A Yes, sir. Q And I did just want to clarify at the coutset, sir, is there any reason why you're not able to proceed with the deposition today and provide complete, truthful, and accurate testimony? A No, sir. Q Also, sir, if you answer a question, I'm going to understand that to mean that you understood the question and therefore answered it; okay?
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  1 WHEREUPON, 2 KARIM VELLANI, 3 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn 4 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 5 the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 6 THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may 7 proceed. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 10 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Vellani. My name's 11 David Bouchard. I'm a lawyer here in Atlanta, 12 Georgia, at Finch McCranie. And you understand I 13 represent the plaintiffs in the cases that we're here 14 about today? 15 A Yes, sir. Good to see you again. 16 Q Good to see you as well, sir. Thank you for 17 making yourself available today. I appreciate it. 18 A Yes, sir. 19 Q Sir, let me, if I can, just go over some	MR. DIAL: Yes.  MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  1 BY MR. BOUCHARD:  2 Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed 3 before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know.  4 A Sure.  5 Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a 6 deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new 7 witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I 8 don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with 9 me, sir?  10 A Yes, sir.  11 Q And I did just want to clarify at the 12 outset, sir, is there any reason why you're not able 13 to proceed with the deposition today and provide 14 complete, truthful, and accurate testimony?  15 A No, sir.  16 Q Also, sir, if you answer a question, I'm 17 going to understand that to mean that you understood 18 the question and therefore answered it; okay?  19 A Yes, sir.
24 Mr. Vellani, would you please raise 25 your right hand?  Page 7  1 WHEREUPON, 2 KARIM VELLANI, 3 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn 4 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 5 the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 6 THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may 7 proceed. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BOUCHARD: 10 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Vellani. My name's 11 David Bouchard. I'm a lawyer here in Atlanta, 12 Georgia, at Finch McCranie. And you understand I 13 represent the plaintiffs in the cases that we're here 14 about today? 15 A Yes, sir. Good to see you again. 16 Q Good to see you as well, sir. Thank you for 17 making yourself available today. I appreciate it. 18 A Yes, sir. 19 Q Sir, let me, if I can, just go over some 20 preliminary items, and then we'll dive into it; okay,	MR. DIAL: Yes.  MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  BY MR. BOUCHARD:  Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed obfore. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know.  A Sure.  Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with me, sir?  A Yes, sir.  Q And I did just want to clarify at the coutset, sir, is there any reason why you're not able to proceed with the deposition today and provide complete, truthful, and accurate testimony?  A No, sir.  Q Also, sir, if you answer a question, I'm going to understand that to mean that you understood the question and therefore answered it; okay?  A Yes, sir.  Q If you don't understand a question, please
Page 7  WHEREUPON,  KARIM VELLANI,  called as a witness and having been first duly sworn  to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but  the truth, was examined and testified as follows:  THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may  proceed.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. BOUCHARD:  Q Good afternoon, Mr. Vellani. My name's  David Bouchard. I'm a lawyer here in Atlanta,  Georgia, at Finch McCranie. And you understand I  represent the plaintiffs in the cases that we're here  about today?  A Yes, sir. Good to see you again.  Q Good to see you as well, sir. Thank you for  making yourself available today. I appreciate it.  A Yes, sir.  Q Sir, let me, if I can, just go over some  preliminary items, and then we'll dive into it; okay,  sir?	MR. DIAL: Yes.  MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  BY MR. BOUCHARD:  Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed  before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know.  A Sure.  Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a  deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new  witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I  don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with  me, sir?  A Yes, sir.  Q And I did just want to clarify at the  coutset, sir, is there any reason why you're not able  to proceed with the deposition today and provide  complete, truthful, and accurate testimony?  A No, sir.  Q Also, sir, if you answer a question, I'm  going to understand that to mean that you understood  the question and therefore answered it; okay?  A Yes, sir.  Q If you don't understand a question, please  I let me know; is that fair?
Page 7  WHEREUPON,  KARIM VELLANI,  called as a witness and having been first duly sworn  to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but  the truth, was examined and testified as follows:  THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may  proceed.  EXAMINATION  WHEREUPON,  EXAMINATION  WHEREUPON,  COUNSEL, WOUND  WHEREUPON,  WHER	MR. DIAL: Yes.  MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  BY MR. BOUCHARD:  Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know.  A Sure.  Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with me, sir?  A Yes, sir.  A Yes, sir.  A Yes, sir, is there any reason why you're not able to proceed with the deposition today and provide to proceed with the deposition today and provide to proceed with the deposition today and provide A No, sir.  A No, sir.  A No, sir.  A No, sir, if you answer a question, I'm going to understand that to mean that you understood the question and therefore answered it; okay?  A Yes, sir.  U If you don't understand a question, please let me know; is that fair?  A I will.
Page 7  WHEREUPON,  KARIM VELLANI,  called as a witness and having been first duly sworn  to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but  the truth, was examined and testified as follows:  THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may  proceed.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. BOUCHARD:  Q Good afternoon, Mr. Vellani. My name's  David Bouchard. I'm a lawyer here in Atlanta,  Georgia, at Finch McCranie. And you understand I  represent the plaintiffs in the cases that we're here  about today?  A Yes, sir. Good to see you again.  Q Good to see you as well, sir. Thank you for  making yourself available today. I appreciate it.  A Yes, sir.  Q Sir, let me, if I can, just go over some  preliminary items, and then we'll dive into it; okay,  sir?  A All right.  MR. BOUCHARD: This deposition is taken	MR. DIAL: Yes.  MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  BY MR. BOUCHARD:  Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know.  A Sure.  Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with me, sir?  A Yes, sir.  A Yes, sir.  A Yes, sir.  A No, sir.
Page 7  WHEREUPON,  KARIM VELLANI,  called as a witness and having been first duly sworn  to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but  the truth, was examined and testified as follows:  THE REPORTER: Counsel, you may  proceed.  EXAMINATION  WHEREUPON,  EXAMINATION  WHEREUPON,  COUNSEL, WOUND  WHEREUPON,  WHER	MR. DIAL: Yes.  MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  Page 9  BY MR. BOUCHARD:  Q Mr. Vellani, you've obviously been deposed before. I've deposed you before, sir, as you know.  A Sure.  Q I'll dispense with the ground rules of a deposition that I would ordinarily cover with a new witness who's unfamiliar with depositions, because I don't think that's necessary here; do you agree with me, sir?  A Yes, sir.  A Yes, sir.  A Yes, sir, is there any reason why you're not able to proceed with the deposition today and provide to proceed with the deposition today and provide to proceed with the deposition today and provide A No, sir.  A No, sir.  A No, sir.  A No, sir, if you answer a question, I'm going to understand that to mean that you understood the question and therefore answered it; okay?  A Yes, sir.  U If you don't understand a question, please let me know; is that fair?  A I will.

3 (Pages 6 - 9)

Page 10  1 A No, sir.  1 consider those relatively minor in the scheme of
0 0 77
2 Q Have you taken the bar? 2 things.
3 A No, sir. 3 Q Have you ever investigated a crime as a la
4 Q Do you consider yourself an expert in the 4 enforcement officer?
5 law? 5 A No, sir.
6 A No, sir. 6 Q You ever investigated a homicide as a law
7 Q Have you ever been employed in the 7 enforcement officer?
8 residential housing industry? 8 A No, sir.
9 A As a consultant, not employed. 9 Q Have you ever investigated a gang-related
10 Q As a consultant in your work as a security 10 crime as a law enforcement officer?
11 consultant? 11 A No, sir.
12 A Yes, sir. 12 Q Have you ever made an arrest as a law
13 Q In any other capacities? 13 enforcement officer?
14 A No, sir. 14 A No, sir.
15 Q Have you ever worked as a property manager 15 Q Do you consider yourself an expert in law
16 in the residential housing industry? 16 enforcement?
17 A No, sir. 17 A I mean, that's a law enforcement's an
18 Q Setting aside your work as a security 18 extraordinarily broad topic, so I'm going to say
19 consultant I think you just answered this, but have   19 generally, no. There are aspects of it that I
20 you ever worked for an apartment complex? 20 understand at a very deep level, like crime analysis
21 A No, other than as a consultant. 21 But generally, I would say no.
22 Q Do you consider yourself an expert in the 22 Q Other than crime analysis, are there any
23 residential housing industry? 23 other aspects that you would say you might have
24 A As it relates to security, yes. 24 expertise in?
25 Q As it relates to the day-to-day management 25 A Well, it depends on what buckets we're
Page 11 Page
1 of a property, I take it no? 1 talking about. You know, it depends on how you
2 A Correct. 2 break you know, break law enforcement up into
3 Q Do you consider yourself an expert in 3 various segments. Crime analysis is probably the
4 managing apartment complexes? 4 that I am the most familiar with. It's the one that I
5 A No, sir. 5 have assisted police departments with. But I've al
6 Q Or in managing multi-family dwelling units? 6 consulted with police departments on converting j
7 A No, sir. 7 into catch and release centers.
8 Q Have you ever worked as a law enforcement 8 So there's aspects that, you know, I've got
9 officer? 9 some specialization in, or some experience in. Bu
10 A No, sir. 10 again, you know, what I could tell you is if, you
11 Q As a detective? 11 know, you're asking me if I'm a law enforcement
12 A No, sir. 12 expert, the answer's no.
13 Q Do you have law enforcement training? 13 Q Think you mentioned that you received
14 A I have some. I have a undergrad degree in 14 training in gangs; did I hear you correctly?
15 criminal justice with a specialization in law 15 A Yes, sir.
16 enforcement. I have been trained by the Texas 16 Q What training have you received?
17 Commission well, nowadays, it's called something 17 A So I've attended multiple gang gang
18 different but Texas Commission on Law Enforcement   18 seminars over the years. The one that stands out t
· ·
19 here in Texas with respect to firearms, and I am a 19 me is one that was hosted by I believe it was the
19 here in Texas with respect to firearms, and I am a 20 firearms instructor under the Texas Commission on Law 21 me is one that was hosted by I believe it was the 22 Montgomery County Sheriff's Office here in Texas
19 here in Texas with respect to firearms, and I am a 20 firearms instructor under the Texas Commission on Law 21 Enforcement.  19 me is one that was hosted by I believe it was the 20 Montgomery County Sheriff's Office here in Texas 21 Q When was that?
19 here in Texas with respect to firearms, and I am a 20 firearms instructor under the Texas Commission on Law 21 Enforcement. 22 Q Any other law enforcement training?  19 me is one that was hosted by I believe it was the 20 Montgomery County Sheriff's Office here in Texas 21 Q When was that? 22 A Oh, I don't know. It might be on my it
19 here in Texas with respect to firearms, and I am a 20 firearms instructor under the Texas Commission on Law 21 Enforcement.  19 me is one that was hosted by I believe it was the 20 Montgomery County Sheriff's Office here in Texas 21 Q When was that?
19 here in Texas with respect to firearms, and I am a 20 firearms instructor under the Texas Commission on Law 21 Enforcement. 22 Q Any other law enforcement training?  19 me is one that was hosted by I believe it was the 20 Montgomery County Sheriff's Office here in Texas 21 Q When was that? 22 A Oh, I don't know. It might be on my it

4 (Pages 10 - 13)

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC			
	Page 14		Page 16
1	A Oh, yeah. For sure.	1	that, but that's everything before the colon.
2	Q More than ten?	2	Q Any other written publications on gangs?
3	A I I would imagine so. I don't know the	3	A Those are the four or five that come to
4	exact date. But you know, certainly wasn't in the	4	mind. I can't think of any others.
5	last ten years.	5	Q Have you conducted any research or studies
6	Q Did you say it certainly was or was not	6	on gangs?
7	within the last ten?	7	A Yes, sir, as part of the as part of the
8	A Was not.	8	two papers I mentioned, there was a ton of research,
9	Q Okay. Do you have any other training in	9	particularly the risky behaviors one. And I continue
10	gangs, other than the Montgomery County Sheriff's	10	to do research you know, I want to say on a daily
11	Office training?	11	basis. It depends on my time, how much time I have.
12	A Well, I've attended seminars. Nothing big	12	But you know, on a daily basis, I'm reviewing research
13	enough or worthy enough, in my opinion, to put on a	13	on various topics, gang activity being one of them.
14	on a CV or anything like that. The gang that one	14	So you know, basically what I'm doing is
15	that I did in Montgomery County was kind of unique	15	always taking notes and reading stuff in preparation
16	because it was only open to law enforcement, and me.	16	for a new edition of a book or another paper or
17	I had a special invite. And that was like an all-day	17	something.
18	deal, or it might have been a two-day deal.	18	Q Do you have any training or expertise in
19	Q Have you published any articles on gangs?	19	Atlanta area gangs?
20	A Indirectly, yes. I've got there is a	20	A No. No.
21	publication that is on the International Association	21	Q Do you have any training in how to identify
22	of Professional Security Consultants website, called	22	whether someone is associated with, affiliated with,
23	Risky Behaviors and Violent Victimization. That paper	23	or a member of a gang?
24	deals with, ultimately, risky behaviors, gang	24	A Well, that was so I've had several
25	activity, drug dealing, illicit sexual behavior being	25	seminars, but that's highly regional. That's very
	Page 15		Page 17
1	three different types of risky behaviors that are	1	localized to a particular area, and I don't have
2	addressed in that paper. That was also addressed in	2	Atlanta gang training. So you know, to answer your
3	my report, by the way.	3	question, cut to the chase and maybe help you out a
4	Q Yeah. When I say "published," I don't mean	4	bit, I do not have gang activity training in Atlanta,
5	published in the report you wrote in this case.	5	and that that would then preclude me from, you

5 published in the report you wrote in this case. A No, this was published on the -- in the --

7 on the IAPSC website. There's also another one, which 8 I believe does talk about gang activity. It's called

9 Violent Crime Typology and Continuum, and that one is

10 published on the Criminology Archive. It's also --

11 you can find both of those on my website.

12 And then I suspect, though I don't know 13 definitively -- I suspect that gang activity is -- is

14 discussed probably in both of my books that are still

15 in print. Two of the books out of three that are

16 still in -- two of them are -- let me -- let me

17 rephrase this. I have published three books. Two of

18 them are still in print. I suspect that all three

19 books address this. But like I said, you can

20 certainly get access to two of them.

Q Which ones are those?

22 A The first one is called Strategic Security

23 Management. It's currently in its second edition,

24 with a third edition on the way. And this other book

25 is called Unraveled -- it's got a longer title than

6 know, giving you an opinion as to whether someone's a

7 gang member out there.

Again, it's highly localized. I mean, other

9 than the obvious things, like wearing blue or wearing

10 red; right? I mean, there's some obvious stuff. But

11 I mean, as far as whether someone's in the Rollin' 60s

12 or something, that's not something that I can figure

13 out, being from Texas.

Q What's your understanding of the difference

15 between being associated with, affiliated with, and a

16 member of a gang, or are those synonymous terms in

17 your estimation?

A I -- I don't believe that they're synonymous

19 terms. I will tell you, from the research

20 perspective, it doesn't seem to matter whether you're

21 an affiliate or a member. The point is that if you

22 are associating with gang members or a gang member,

23 your chance of violent victimization goes up by, like,

24 280 something percent. So I don't know that, from a

25 research perspective, those distinctions matter. But

5 (Pages 14 - 17)

### Sime Wyteria y Wingete Management Company II C

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC		
Page 18	Page 20	
1 I will agree with you that there are distinctions.	1 anywhere near the credence that Mr. Ahmed does, just,	
2 Q What are the distinctions?	2 you know, to give you some context there.	
3 A Well, it's exactly as you said. You can be	3 I like having it. I'm happy to have it.	
4 either a you know, an initiated gang member, or	4 I'm glad I studied for the test. I'm glad I have the	
5 affiliated with a gang.	5 certification. When I see RFPs or requests for	
6 Q Yeah. And so what does that mean to you,	6 proposals come across my desk, you know, there have	
7 being affiliated with versus being a member of?	7 been one or two that have said, you know, "CPP	
8 A I don't know that it makes a difference to	8 preferred," or, "CPP required." I have been told by	
9 me, other than you have not been you know, if	9 one client that I was specifically given the contract	
10 you're an if you're affiliated, you're not, you	10 because I have the CPP.	
11 know, initiated. You have not actually been accepted	But you know, we're talking about little	
12 into membership, so to speak. You have not gone	12 data points out of thousands of RFPs I've dealt with	
13 through the initiation process. But I'm from a	13 in the last 30 years. It certainly doesn't have, you	
14 practical perspective, I'm not sure it makes much	14 know, the stature that Mr. Ahmed is giving it. That's	
15 difference.	15 what I'll say.	
16 Q I think I heard you say this, but just to be	16 Q Well, I'm not asking you anything about	
17 clear, you do not consider yourself an expert in gang	17 Mr. Ahmed right now. I'm just asking for what having	
18 activity in Atlanta, Georgia, and/or as it relates to	18 a CPP enables you to do.	
19 identifying members or affiliates or associates of	19 A Yeah. It doesn't enable you to do anything.	
20 gangs in Atlanta, Georgia?	20 I mean, there I mean, other than, perhaps, bid on	
21 A Agreed. Yes.	21 an RFP that has a CPP requirement. So but it	
22 Q Do you consider yourself an expert in	22 doesn't actually give you the ability to do anything.	
23 investigating gang activity in Atlanta, Georgia?	23 Q Do you disagree that the CPP is considered	
24 A No, sir.	24 the gold standard certification for security	
25 Q Do you know what Georgia's law on gangs	25 professionals?	
Page 19	Page 21	
1 says?	1 A No. Like I said, that's it's the big	
2 A No, sir.	2 one. It is the big certification in the industry. If	

3 you think about -- if you think about all the

4 certifications that are out there in the industry,

5 there are three that are hosted by ASIS. There is the

6 CPP, the PSP, and the -- and the CPI. The -- I'm

7 sorry, the PCI. One is an investigations one, so

8 that's narrowly focused. One is the PSP, which is

9 physical security focused. And the CPP encompasses

10 both of those and more.

11 So in the ASIS world, it's the top one. It

12 is certainly considered the gold standard in the

13 industry. In -- if you look at different

14 organizations, you know, as a security consultant, I

15 consider the CSC to be far more valuable, certified

16 security consultant certification, because that

17 certifies you as an independent security consultant

18 with the requisite knowledge.

19 And if I was working in, you know the CPTED

20 industry, Crime Prevention Through Environmental

21 Design, C-P-T-E-D, you know, there are CPTED

22 certifications out there. If you're operating in

23 information security, you know, there are -- there's

24 the CISSP, which would be the gold standard for 25 information security.

6 (Pages 18 - 21)

800.808.4958

3

5

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

19 the test.

Q Do you know whether it's a crime under

A I don't know. I would have a hard time

Q Do you consider yourself an expert in

Q As a law enforcement professional.

A Yeah, I answered no to that earlier.

Q Obviously, one of your credentials,

A I applied for, studied for, and then took

A Nothing. It -- it is -- you know, it is

23 security certification among security professionals.

24 Does it actually mean anything in the real world?

25 Not -- certainly not as much -- I don't give it

22 largely considered, you know, the big -- the big

What does that credential enable you to do?

Q How did you become a CPP?

A You mean, like, as a -- as a detective? As

6 believing that it would be a -- a crime in and of

4 Georgia law to be in a gang?

7 itself, but I don't know.

9 homicide investigations?

11 a criminal detective?

15 Mr. Vellani, is CPP.

A Yes, sir.

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC		
Pa	rge 22 Page 24	
1 So it depends on what aspect of it. But you	1 is, frankly, experience. Easy for me to say it, you	
2 know, what I would tell you is that, you know, I think		
3 that, for my work, the CPP and the CSC are the most	3 didn't have the experience early on. But that you	
4 important. But they don't, in and of itself, give	4 know, I can look back on my career when I was two	
5 do anything; right?	5 years into it and go, "Yeah, you know what? You	
6 Q Do you agree that the CPP demonstrates	6 didn't really know what you were doing."	
7 knowledge and competency in seven key domains of	7 So you know, I would say experience is	
8 security?	8 probably the most important thing, and obviously	
9 A Yes, sir.	9 knowledge of the various tools and methods that are	
10 Q Do you agree that the CPP is globally	10 out there.	
11 recognized as standard of excellence for security	11 Q And in your work as a security consultant,	
12 management professionals?	12 Mr. Vellani, do you conduct both prospective and	
13 A Well, I mean, that's ASIS marketing stuff.	13 retrospective risk assessments?	
14 I mean, I don't disagree with it, but I don't	14 A Yes, sir.	
15 necessarily agree with it. I haven't given that much	15 Q What's the point of a prospective risk	
16 thought before.	16 assessment?	
17 Q Are you qualified to conduct risk	17 A So it largely depends on it largely	
18 assessments based on the CPP certification that you	18 depends on what the scope of the engagement is of the	
19 have?	19 client. So if a client says, "Hey, I've got crime	
20 A That is not what gives me the the	20 problems," then I'll probably propose doing a	
21 qualifications to go out and do the security risk	21 full-blown risk assessment, which would look at all	
22 assessments. I've researched different security risk	22 security-related threats. I wouldn't look at safety	
23 assessment methodologies. I've written extensively or	n 23 issues. I wouldn't look at natural hazard issues,	
24 security risk assessment methodologies. I've	24 typically, unless it's, like, a data center or	
25 published two books or actually one book twice,	25 something like that.	
Pa	rge 23 Page 25	
1 second edition and now a third edition on the way, on	1 I would largely be looking at an all all	
2 different security risk assessment methodologies.	2 security hazard approach. Most clients come to you	
This is primarily, you know, what I do.	3 with a specific need, so the prospective assessment	
4 I've developed security risk assessment methodologies	4 would be looking at the likelihood of those types of	
5 for specific clients and specific industries. I have	5 crimes, whatever there are at issue, continuing to	
6 published guidelines, or a guideline, on security risk	6 occur in the future, and how do you mitigate those	
7 assessment. And I should say, plural, guidelines.	7 risks.	
8 One was the International Association of Healthcare	8 Q Is it fair to say that at least one point of	
9 Security & Safety Guideline, zero point 01.02 in	9 a prospective security risk assessment is to mitigate	
10 the IAHSS guidelines. So that's a healthcare security	10 foreseeable security risks?	
11 guideline on conducting security risk assessments.	11 A Well, yeah, but you wouldn't use the word	
I am also a co-author of the IAPSC forensic	12 "foreseeable" in in prospective stuff. I mean,	
13 methodology, which incorporates a security risk	13 you're dealing with end user clients.	
14 assessment practice process. And then there's	14 "Foreseeability" is kind of a legal term; right? So	
15 actually a third one, the 2015 risk assessment	15 you wouldn't be using that word specifically.	

16 standard published by ASIS. I was on the working Q What word would you be using? "Anticipate"? 17 committee for that. 17 A Yeah. I mean, you would use common

23

24

Q Is it your opinion, Mr. Vellani, that the 18 parlance. I mean, you just -- you probably wouldn't 19 only people qualified to conduct risk assessments are 19 throw a bunch of legalese at a -- at a consulting

20 those who have researched and published articles or 20 client.

21 guidelines relating to security? 21

A No. I think you can go on and get trained. 23 I mean, you can attend various seminars and learn how

24 to do them. And you know, ultimately, the most

25 important thing I would say at this point in my career

Q Yeah. I take your point. When you're 25 evaluating as part of a prospective risk assessment,

22 stops sounding like legalese, so --

A I -- I -- same here. I agree.

Q When you use it as much as we lawyers do, it

7 (Pages 22 - 25)

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate N	lanagement Company, LLC
Page 26	Page 28
1 sir, what security risks a client could anticipate, to	1 am pulling this up, Mr. Vellani, I can preview for you
2 use that word, what are you considering?	2 that I'm pulling up the five expert reports that you
3 A And I'm sorry. I zoned out for a minute, or	3 produced and prepared in the five cases that we're
4 didn't quite get it. Tell me say it again?	4 here about today. And I'm going to introduce those a
5 Q Yeah. So when you're conducting a	5 Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 through 5 to your deposition;
6 prospective security risk assessment and you're	6 okay, sir?
7 looking at security risks prospectively for a	7 A All right.
8 client in other words, you're helping the client	8 Q And just as a preliminary matter, I'll mark
9 identify and anticipate security risks, based on what	9 as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 the DeMario Newton expert
10 we just discussed. What are you considering in order	10 report.
	11 (Plaintiff Exhibit 1 was marked for
11 to determine what security risks the client should be	
12 anticipating? What data are you looking at? What	12 identification.)
13 information are you looking at?	As Plaintiff's Exhibit
14 A Well, I gather a lot from doing interviews.	14 A They were all the same, sir.
15 I mean, there's there's three ways to do this.	15 Q Are they all identical?
16 There's probably more, but I'm going to put them in	16 A Yeah. I I only did one report.
17 three buckets. Number one, you do the actual crime	17 Q Okay. Other than the plaintiffs' names,
18 analysis, like you and I discussed during the last	18 there's no differences between them?
19 deposition. You do a deep dive on the crime	19 A I'm not even sure the plaintiffs' names are
20 statistics.	20 different at least not on mine.
21 Two, you identify, through interviews, what	21 Q Okay. So the Re: line on all of them is
22 their concerns are about what's happened historically,	22 Newton, et al.?
23 or alternatively, what their concerns are going	23 A Yes, sir.
24 going in the future. So if you talk to a typical	24 Q Okay. Understood. That's what was tripping
25 school today, you know, their concern would be active	25 me up. Okay. So I'm going to ask you questions,
Page 27	Page 29
1 shooter, typically.	1 then, about the report that was publicly filed in the
2 And then three, you're bringing your	2 DeMario Newton case, but I'll understand that it's the
3 experience to bear on what are called inherent	3 identical report to the reports that were filed in the
4 threats. So you know, what have I seen occur at other	4 four other related cases; is that fair?
5 apartment complexes? And that's where that experience	5 A Yes, sir.
6 really comes into play. You know, I've been to I	6 Q If, for some reason, as I'm talking to you
7 don't know. So I keep trying to guestimate this	7 about your report, something occurs to you that's
8 number, and and I doubt I've got it right, but	8 different between the five reports, please flag that
9 and I'm going to give you a big range.	9 for me, Mr. Vellani.
But I've probably been to somewhere between	10 A I can assure you there's no difference
11 500 and 1,000 apartment complexes across the country	11 between the five reports. It's only one report on my
12 and interviewed the same amount of property managers	12 end. I saw the blue headers on your end.
13 across the country, and that experience has told me	13 Q Yes, sir.
14 that, you know, even if they don't have a particular	14 A On my end, it's it's literally one
15 concern about something, they sometimes ought to.	15 report. It's not like that other the it's not
So I will bring that to bear on you know,	16 like the trafficking case where there were two two
17 either in a written report, or in verbal	17 different reports. There's literally just one report.
18 recommendations, or whatever the end result ends up	18 Q Okay, great. Are you able to see my screen
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
19 being. So to answer your question again, in summary.	
19 being. So to answer your question again, in summary, 20 number one would be the actual crime data. Number two	19 with the report on it?
20 number one would be the actual crime data. Number two	19 with the report on it? 20 A Yes, sir.
20 number one would be the actual crime data. Number two 21 would be the information gathered through the	<ul> <li>19 with the report on it?</li> <li>20 A Yes, sir.</li> <li>21 Q Okay, great. And this is the most updated</li> </ul>
20 number one would be the actual crime data. Number two 21 would be the information gathered through the 22 interview process. And number three would be the	<ul> <li>19 with the report on it?</li> <li>20 A Yes, sir.</li> <li>21 Q Okay, great. And this is the most updated</li> <li>22 draft of your report, Mr. Vellani?</li> </ul>
20 number one would be the actual crime data. Number two 21 would be the information gathered through the	<ul> <li>19 with the report on it?</li> <li>20 A Yes, sir.</li> <li>21 Q Okay, great. And this is the most updated</li> </ul>

8 (Pages 26 - 29)

25

A

Yes, sir.

25 to share my screen. Give me a minute here. And as I

	Sins, wyteria v. wingate Management Company, EDC		
	Page 30	Page 32	
1	Q And the opinions across the five cases that	1 if we	
2	you've set forth in these reports are identical; is	2 Q Well and Mr. Vellani, I'm going to stop	
3	that correct?	3 you for a moment. I was going to get into Detective	
4	A Correct.	4 Belknap's report in a moment with you, and about some	
5	Q You reference here on page 1 of Plaintiff's	5 issues relating to that report. So I don't mean to	
6	Exhibit 1 that this report may be supplemented. Have	6 cut you off, but it's actually my intention in this	
7	you supplemented your report, sir?	7 deposition not to go into that report, and for you to	
8	A I have not.	8 not answer questions that draw upon your review of	
9	Q As you sit here today, do you intend to	9 that report. And I'll just state for the record my	
10	supplement it?	10 reasons for doing so. So I	
11	A No. No.	MR. DIAL: Well, he can answer the	
12	Q Are there any materials that you needed to	12 question however he wants, and he can rely on whatever	
13	conduct your review that you have not received?	13 he wants. I don't think you can tell him not to rely	
14	A No, not for my court opinion. I mean, the	14 on that report.	
15	only thing I think I've received after the fact that	MR. BOUCHARD: Well, I can ask him to	
16	would have any impact is Mr. Ahmed's deposition.	16 answer without relying upon Detective Belknap's	
17	Q And just for the clarity of the record, I'm	17 report.	
18	going to revise what I said previously. Because	MR. DIAL: Okay. What if he is for the	
19	you've testified that there's one report and it's	19 answer? I mean	
20	identical across all five cases, I'm just going to	MR. BOUCHARD: Well, if that's his sole	
21	have one Plaintiff's exhibit here identified and	21 basis for answering the question, then I think you can	
22	marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 that represents the	22 say, Mr. Vellani, that "My basis for understanding	
23	common expert report across all five cases. That's	23 when the subject incident began," which was my	
24	what we'll be discussing, and I'll refer to it as	24 question, "is based upon Detective Belknap's report."	
25	Plaintiff's Exhibit 1.	25 THE WITNESS: Well	
	Page 31	Page 33	
1	E .		

Okay. Mr. Vellani, I wanted to ask you, on

2 page 8 of your report, towards the bottom in the third

- 3 paragraph, you talk about the subject incident, and
- 4 you say it's a murder and aggravated assault which
- 5 occurred on Tuesday, June 30, 2020, at approximately
- 6 01:07 hours, 1:07 a.m. Do you see that?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q Is that your definition of the subject
- 9 incident?

1

- 10 A I don't follow your question, sir.
- 11 Q How would you define the subject incident?
- 12 A Well, I mean, you're saying "define." I'm
- 13 providing a characterization, and usually, in that
- 14 characterization, it's based on the police report.
- 15 And I -- as I recall, that information came from the
- 16 police report, or at least my understanding of the
- 17 crime, that it was a murder and -- with other -- four
- 18 other victims.
- 19 Q In your opinion, Mr. Vellani, when did the 20 subject incident begin?
- 21 A Well, moments before the -- the shooting,
- 22 when the bullets started flying out of the car -- the
- 23 two cars driving on the public road. And I -- let me
- 24 be clear about this. I understand from Mark Belknap's
- 25 report that this was a series of ongoing disputes. So

1 MR. DIAL: I don't think there's

- 2 anything like that, but I was just saying. I don't
- 3 know that you can dictate how he has to answer a
- 4 question.
- 5 BY MR. BOUCHARD:
- 6 Q Well, Mr. Vellani, my question was, when did
- 7 the subject incident begin? And are you relying upon
- 8 the APD incident report from the subject incident to
- 9 determine when the incident began, or are you relying
- 10 on some other source?
- 11 A Well, there's multiple sources of -- of
- 12 stuff; right? I mean, there's -- there's the --
- 13 there's the video surveillance. I'm -- I'm going to
- 14 answer this question -- it's going to be a little bit
- 15 convoluted. But can we take a quick break after this
- 16 question? Is that already
- 16 question? Is that okay?
- 17 Q Sure.
- 18 A Okay. So I just want to be -- I just want
- 19 clarity on what you mean, "the subject incident." If
- 20 we're talking -- if you're asking how I define the
- 21 subject incident, I'm defining the subject incident as
- 22 the incident that occurred, you know, at and from the
- 23 public streets near Bedford Pines on June 30th after
- 24 midnight some point; right? That's what I'm defining
- 25 as the subject incident.

9 (Pages 30 - 33)

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC				
Page 34	Page 36			
1 If you're defining it in a broader term,	1 from the property. That doesn't take very long. I			
2 meaning, like, all the retaliatory stuff that was	2 don't recall reading any specific testimony that			
3 going on before that that's contained in Mr. Belknap's				
4 report, then obviously I can't really exclude that	4 of time.			
5 from my analysis. So I just need clarity from you on	5 I mean, what I can tell you is that			
6 what you mean when you say the when you mean	6 number that date excuse me, that timestamp that			
7 subject incident.	7 I'm giving you is based on what is contained in the			
8 Q There's a capitalized term used in your	8 police report, as well as what is contained in the			
9 report, "Subject," with a capital S	9 Atlanta open records database open portal database,			
10 A Yes, sir.	10 I should say.			
11 Q "Incident" with a capital I.	And then as far and just to be clear,			
12 A Yes, sir.	12 it's also contained in the video stamps, which show,			
13 Q So I started this line of inquiry by saying,	13 you know, a different time. One of them shows 1 a.m.,			
14 "How do you define the term 'subject incident'?" And	14 which would be based on the timestamp of the file			
15 you said, "I don't know what you mean by that."	15 itself. And then there are two other videos which			
16 A Fair.	16 show some time after midnight. Like, you know, 14, 15			
17 Q So I'm looking at the words used in your	17 minutes after midnight. But you know, which timestamp			
18 report. And I guess, as we're unpacking this and	18 is correct, I have no idea.			
19 talking it through, if your definition of the subject	19 Q Do you know how long the plaintiffs were in			
20 incident is based on more than the incident report	20 front of or around 639 Parkway before the shooting			
21 itself and is also based on Detective Belknap's	21 occurred on June 30th?			
22 report, then I understand your answer. And that's all	22 A Well, you're saying I I think they			
23 I'm wondering about.	23 were out there at different times, so I don't think			
24 A No. You're you're fair. I appreciate	24 there's one clear answer to that. I as I recall			
25 you pointing that out to me. I the way I'm using	25 I mean, it all sounds like Mr. Sims was kind of the			
Page 35	Page 37			
1 that term is this incident, which occurred at and near	1 connecting point between them, from what I could			
2 Bedford Pines specifically on June 30th, involving	2 gather from testimony. But maybe that's just because			
3 these five victims and those two cars. That's what I	3 that's the way the questions were asked in the			
4 mean by "the subject incident."	4 deposition. So I don't actually know whether he was			
5 Q Understood. Did you still want to take a	5 the connection between them all.			
6 break right now?	6 But you know, they appeared I can't			
7 A Yes, sir, if you don't mind.	7 remember one of them said that he was going to go			
8 MR. BOUCHARD: Yep, sure	8 to the Amoco, and he was leaving the apartment going			
9 THE WITNESS: Thank you. I drank too	9 to the Amoco gas station, and saw his friend out			
10 much coffee. Just bear with me.	10 there, who was one of the other guys, and stopped to			
11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	11 talk to him. And he said he was out there for, you			
12 1:40 p.m., and we are off the record.	12 know, I recall, a few minutes. I think he said four			
(Off the record.)	13 to five minutes before the shooting. I don't know			
14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	14 that there was a timeline nailed down on this before			
15 1:43 p.m., and we are on the record.	15 from anyone else.			
16 BY MR. BOUCHARD:	16 Q Is that timeline of how long the plaintiffs			
17 Q Mr. Vellani, just picking up what we were	17 were out in front of the building relevant to your			
18 discussing before the break, when would you say the	18 analysis?			
19 subject incident, as that term is used in your report,	19 A Well, I mean, it can be. But I don't know			
20 ended?	20 of any way of reconciling those facts based on, you			
21 A I'm sorry, when it ended?	21 know, the evidence in this case so far. You know,			
<ul> <li>Q Correct.</li> <li>A Well, I can't imagine this thing took very</li> </ul>	22 there was we we know that there was no APD on 23 duty that night, so it's you know, we don't know if			
	1 /3 (mg/ mgr mgmr vo if c - Voll know we don't know if			

10 (Pages 34 - 37)

24 they were hanging out there for 30 minutes or what

25 have you.

24 long. So I mean, you know, you've got shots fired,

25 you know, from the street. You've got return fire

	Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate M	Ian	agement Company, LLC
	Page 38		Page 40
1	But I you know, it could be relevant, but	1	same issue.
2	I don't know the numbers, so I don't know if it's	2	Q Footnote 11, footnote 19, footnote 126.
3	relevant or not. And in light of the fact that, you	3	A Bear with me a second, 'cause I don't I
	know, APD wasn't there, I don't think it makes a	4	didn't count three. I see 11, okay. Nineteen is I
5	difference.	5	mean, again, yeah, 19's the same thing. Targeted;
6	Q What do you mean, that APD wasn't there so	6	right? I mean, that's that's it's the same
7	it doesn't make a difference?	7	' it's it's three it's three footnotes addressing
8	A Well, I mean, I think, theoretically, if	8	the same issue. I repeated myself.
9	they were hanging out for, like, two or three hours,	9	Q My question was, you agree with me that you
10	right, and APD happens to roll by, the off let me	10	cited to Detective Belknap's report in your report?
11	be clear about something. The off-duty APD rolls by,	11	A Yes, sir, three times.
12	and knows that two of them have criminal trespass	12	Q Have you ever communicated with Detective
13	citations against them. Then I guess it's in	13	Belknap?
14	theory, if they had done, you know, a stop-and-frisk	14	A Not on this case, no.
15	on them, which seems very unlikely in June of 2020 for	15	Q Have you ever met him?
16	many obvious reasons, you know, they might in	16	A No, sir.
17	theory, if it happened, you know, they may have hauled	17	,
18	two of them off to jail.	18	other cases?
19	It's possible, I suppose, that on-duty guys	19	A I think we did a I don't know if I sat in
20	may also know these these actors, these plaintiffs,	20	on or watched one of his depositions. And yes, I have
21	and could have done the same thing, you know. But	21	spoken with him on the phone and in the context of
22	it's it's hard to say. But if they're only out	22	another case.
23	there for a couple minutes, you know, I'm not sure	23	
24	I don't think it would be relevant to my opinion.	24	
25	Q Okay. So obviously, in your report, you've	25	him on this case. In fact, I'm just going to say 100
	Page 39		Page 41
	cited to Detective Belknap's report; is that correct?		percent, 'cause I know that I haven't spoken to him on
2	, , , ,	2	this case.
	statement in there, I think. Let me hang on. I'm	3	
	going to you don't need to pull it up. I can look		Police Department officers in regards to this case?
	it up right here. But I think I've got one citation	5	1 2 2
	to his report, if I'm not mistaken.	6	
7	Q Which one are you looking at?		Department has an active investigation into the June
8			3 30, 2020 shooting that's the subject of these five
	page 8 that carries onto page 9. The only statement		cases. Is that your understanding?
10	I've got that really goes back to Belknap's report is	10	A I I don't know what the status is. I

12 with the Rollin' 60s gang. You know, but I've got to

13 be honest, I was looking at Tate's deposition this

14 morning, and he alludes to the same thing. So I

15 should have probably cited to Tate as well.

Q You agree that you have multiple footnotes

17 in your report referencing Detective Belknap's report,

18 including on page 31 of your report, relating to

19 opinion 2? Footnote 126?

20 A Yeah, but that's the same -- that's the same

21 factual statement. It's really that -- that part of

22 it is going back to the same issue that I discussed on

23 page 11, I think it was. It's not different than

24 that. So it's two -- I guess it's two footnotes?

25 Yes. Looks like it's two footnotes referencing the

11 that all five men were known by APD to be associated 11 mean, all I know is that -- you know, the only thing

12 I've got is this, you know, four-page report -- police

13 report. So I don't actually know that -- where --

14 what the status is.

15 Q Have you submitted an open records request

16 to the Atlanta Police Department for its file relating

17 to the June 30, 2020 shooting?

18 A No, sir. They -- I mean, an open records

19 request on a crime like this is not likely to yield

20 much in the way of results; right? Very similar to

21 what this four pages is. I normally would rely on,

22 you know, the attorneys involved in the case to file

23 the subpoenas to get the reports. I wouldn't try -- I

24 don't try -- typically try to get the subject incident

25 report unless I'm just requesting, you know,

11 (Pages 38 - 41)

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC		
Page 42	Page 44	
1 historical data to include in the subject incident.	1 involved itself and make a ruling.	
2 Q Are you aware that APD has declined to	2 So until either the the parties work	
3 produce its investigative file in the June 30, 2020	3 through the issue or the court rules upon it, I would	
4 shooting under Georgia's open records laws on the	4 ask that you not go into anything you learned from	
5 basis that its file is confidential because APD has an	5 Detective Belknap's report, both because I have	
6 active investigation into the June 30, 2020 shooting?	6 concerns that it's not proper to do so if it's a	
7 A No, I'm not specifically aware of that.	7 confidential investigation, as APD has told my office,	
8 Q Do you have any reason to dispute that?	8 and its files are not subject to disclosure, and also	
9 A No, sir.	9 because I can't properly examine you about opinions	
10 Q As it relates to APD records and the June	10 relating to Detective Belknap's report without access	
11 30, 2020 shooting, have you seen anything other than		
12 the four-page incident report that you referenced	12 confidential.	
13 previously?	So I'll reserve the right to re-open your	
14 A What do you mean, have I like, from	14 deposition in the future, depending upon how the	
15 specifically from APD?	15 parties resolve the issue and/or how the court rules	
16 Q As it relates to APD records.	16 upon it. I just wanted to state for the record that,	
17 A I don't believe so. I mean, I've seen other	17 as I ask you questions, I'm not asking you to rely on	
18 stuff, like the surveillance video and stuff. I don't	18 Detective Belknap's report, and to the extent you need	
19 know if that's part of the APD records or not.	19 to to answer, just tell me that. And if we need to	
20 Q Do you know whether Detective Belknap was	20 come back and re-open your deposition in the future,	
21 authorized by APD to produce a report in this case	21 we will do so.	
22 relating to APD's active investigation into the June	MR. DIAL: Yeah, and just for the	
23 30, 2020 shooting?	23 record, it looks like where he does rely on it, he's	
24 MR. DIAL: Object to the form.	24 got several other citations that also support the same	
25 A I have no idea.	25 point. So I don't know that he's solely relying on	
Page 43	Page 45	
1 Q Did you ask Detective Belknap to provide	1 Belknap's report for anything in his report, or his	
2 opinions about a confidential APD investigative file	2 opinions.	
3 relating to an active investigation?	3 MR. DIAL: And I take your point, Jad.	

You're asking me if I asked another person 5 to produce a report? No, that's not something I do --6 under any circumstance. Don't have that kind of 7 power.

- 8 Q Did you recommend that he be hired?
- 9
- 10 Is it your practice to rely on information
- 11 from confidential police investigative records?
- 12 MR. DIAL: Object to the form.
- 13 A I'm kind of confused by the questions about
- 14 confidential -- like, that's not -- I relied on -- you
- 15 know, for this one piece of information, on existing
- 16 experts' reports. So I don't know that -- that I
- 17 consider that to be confidential.
- Q Well, sir, I've raised concerns with the
- 19 lawyers representing Wingate in this case that
- 20 Detective Belknap's report references, relies upon,
- 21 discusses, and concerns active law enforcement
- 22 investigations and confidential investigative files
- 23 not open to the public, in the possession of APD.
- 24 Depending on whether the parties are able to work
- 25 through those concerns, the court may need to get

4 BY MR. BOUCHARD:

Q And Mr. Vellani, I'll ask you on certain 6 points, is there other information or is there another

- 7 source for your opinion here? And of course, you'll
- 8 be free to state that for the record. But do you
- 9 understand what I've said about -- please don't go
- 10 into Detective Belknap's report, at least in this
- 11 deposition and your reliance upon it?
- 12 A So --

13 MR. DIAL: I mean, if he needs to, he

- 14 can, I mean, because -- very likely that that
- 15 report -- there's nothing wrong with it, and it -- I
- 16 mean, I just feel like we're going to have an odd
- 17 deposition. I mean, what if the report is deemed to
- 18 be completely fine, which I think it will be, and he
- 19 does rely on it? And I don't know that he's not
- 20 allowed to say that he's relying on the report. I
- 21 guess that's just -- I'm confused how this is going to
- 22 ultimately play out.

23 MR. BOUCHARD: Well, we don't have to

- 24 talk about it in the abstract. We can just go forward
- 25 and do this in practice.

12 (Pages 42 - 45)

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC		
Page 46  1 BY MR. BOUCHARD:  2 Q As I've said, if you tell me, Mr. Vellani,  3 that you relied on it, that's fine. I'm not saying  4 don't tell me that you relied on it. I'm just saying  5 I don't want you to go into the information in the  6 report because I can't examine you about it without  7 the underlying files that APD has said are  8 confidential. So I  9 A Can I can I throw something in here, if  10 you don't mind? I mean, just let's just be clear  11 about what I relied on. Number one, I know it's  12 gang-related because of the police report. And it  13 appears to be gang-related  14 Q Mr. Vellani, I have not asked you a  15 question, so let me proceed with the deposition. I  16 was stating what I was stating for the record. Jad  17 responded. Let me continue with the deposition, and  18 I'm sure you'll have an opportunity to in response  19 to questions that I ask	Page 48  1 backgrounds, or some of them having a known criminal  2 background at that point in time. It is possible that  3 they could have been dispersed. But we I have no  4 idea how long they were on the property. I have no  5 idea how long they're standing right there.  6 I mean, by by one the only time I've  7 got a time metric on this is and I wish I could  8 tell you who it was. But he was he came out his  9 apartment. He was going to the Amoco or came out  10 of someone's apartment and was going to the Amoco, and  11 stopped and talked to one of his friends, who was one  12 of the other guys that were involved.  13 Q Okay. So I take if it I asked you other  14 questions about whether there was an opportunity to  15 notify the food van operator to leave the property, or  16 an opportunity for a team monitoring cameras to  17 respond to people gathering in the front yard of 639  18 Parkway if I'm asking you questions about whether  19 there was an opportunity to engage in certain activity	
<ul> <li>20 A Sure.</li> <li>21 Q you'll have an opportunity to provide</li> <li>22 whatever information you'd like to provide.</li> <li>23 A Sure.</li> <li>24 Q My understanding also, sir, is that</li> <li>25 according to Detective Belknap's report, he references</li> </ul>	20 preventatively, I take it your answer would be, "I 21 don't know, because I'm not sure how long the 22 plaintiffs were out front before the shooting"? 23 A Well, no, because you you brought up 24 three different things that are problematic. Number 25 one, the food truck, based on Stephanie Lewis's	
Page 47  1 other shootings, other than the June 30th shooting.  2 Do you agree with that?  3 A That he does? Yes. I  4 MR. DIAL: So you're going to not to  5 rely on the report, but then ask him questions  6 specifically about it?  7 BY MR. BOUCHARD:  8 Q Do you know whether Detective Belknap was  9 authorized to provide opinions about those other  10 shootings, sir?  11 A I don't know anything about this issue that  12 you're referring to.  13 Q Do you believe that there was an opportunity  14 to notify the plaintiffs to disperse on June 30, 2020?  15 A I don't know, 'cause I don't know how long  16 they were out there. That goes back to what we were  17 talking about earlier. If there was off-duty APD at  18 Bedford Pines, and those guys were out there for an  19 extended period of time, you know, thirty minutes, an	Page 49  1 testimony, and other testimony, was she was already 2 gone at the time of the shooting. So I'm not sure the 3 food truck's got a whole lot to do with it. Some of 4 these guys were hanging out. One of them was going to 5 Amoco, like I said. That was Ricky Phillips, by the 6 way, that was going to Amoco, and just stopped to talk 7 to Newton on his way out. 8 So I don't and then your your third 9 point your your second point was about 10 monitoring cameras. That's not the standard of care. 11 Monitoring live monitoring of cameras and then 12 telling people to disperse if they've only been out in 13 a couple couple of minutes, or five minutes, or ten 14 minutes, or even 30 minutes. I'm not that is 15 certainly not something that is standard practice. 16 Q Do you know whether there was an opportunity 17 for security to patrol past 639 Parkway and see the 18 plaintiffs and/or the food van before the incident	

24 they could have seen it? It's possible. I'm not sure they would have done anything

13 (Pages 46 - 49)

A Okay, so you're conflating two things;

21 right? You're saying the -- the food truck. The food

22 truck was out there for an extended period of time.

23 Is it possible that if APD was on -- on the job, that

20

20 hour, two hours, whatever, there might have been an

There was also a potential opportunity

25 may have known those guys because of their criminal 25

23 because of the boulevard precinct that was located

24 nearby, and the on-duty police that were driving by

21 opportunity.

22

Sillis, wyteria v. wiligate w	runagement company, 220
Page 50	Page 52
1 about that, though, because that's technically you	1 Q Where were they?
2 know, it's it's a house rule. It's not a law	2 A I actually didn't ask.
3 enforcement issue, and it's not really a easy security	3 Q Well, wat time of day did it occur?
4 issue to deal with. So I'm not sure that that issue	4 A I I don't recall. Let me if you want
5 in and of itself in a vacuum gets resolved. But	5 me to look at my calendar, I could.
6 again, the truck was already gone at the time of the	6 Q No, that's okay. Do you know how long it
7 shooting.	7 lasted?
8 The second issue about the guys hanging out,	8 A Probably around two hours. It might have
9 I don't know that we have a great idea of how long	9 been a little bit more because there was two of them.
10 they were out there. So in other words, were they out	10 Usually those type of interviews take about two hours.
11 there sufficiently long enough to be able to respond	11 But you know, some have taken an hour; some have taken
12 and move them off the property? I don't know that.	12 three hours. But I typically will block two hours for
13 Nobody knows that. I mean, it's speculative.	13 that kind of an interview.
14 Q On page 5 of Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is the	14 Q Did anybody other than Sophia Hawk and Kelly
15 report still up on the screen, sir?	15 Young participate in this first interview that we're
16 A Yes, sir.	16 talking about? Any other Wingate staff or employees?
17 Q Okay. Is it helpful for me to share my	17 A No, I would have definitely pointed that
18 screen, or are you looking at your own copy?	18 out. No. The only other person that may have been on
19 A I'm looking at my own. It's just easier for	19 the phone probably was on the phone was
20 me to do that so I can see you.	20 Ms. Woodrick.
21 Q Understood. I'll stop sharing the screen,	21 Q Is the information that you learned through
22 then. So I was going to ask you, on page 5, it refers	22 that interview contained in your expert report in
23 to number 13, "Belknap Timeline of Shootings." And	23 these five cases?
24 then on page 7, it talks about "Expert Report of	24 A Yes, sir.
25 Detective Mark A. Belknap" as item number 55. Is the	25 Q Is there any information that you learned in
Page 51	Page 53
1 timeline of shootings something different than the	1 that interview that's not in your expert report?
2 report, or is it the same thing?	2 A No, sir. I incorporated everything into the
3 A Same thing.	3 report.
4 Q Okay. Just confirming that. On page 7 of	4 Q Did you learn anything in that interview
5 your report, you say that you interviewed Kelly Young,	5 that's inconsistent or different than Ms. Young's
6 Sophia Hawk, and Carol Cooley.	6 deposition testimony?
7 A Yes, sir.	7 A That's a great question. I'm not able to
8 Q I wanted to ask you about that, sir. Where	8 answer that 'cause I don't think I went back and
9 did you interview Kelly Young?	9 looked at the you know, the interview versus the
10 A I interviewed so if I remember correctly,	10 deposition and tried to align that. So I don't
11 Kelly Young and Sophia Hawk were at the same day, same	11 actually know the answer to that.
12 time, same meeting. So that was via phone and/or	12 Q Do you know if there was anything new that
13 you know, when I do interviews, I don't do video,	13 you learned that wasn't in deposition testimony?
14 so they may have been on video; I don't remember.	14 A There was a lot new. I mean, if you look
15 But that would have been via videoconference, and I	15 at if you look at the if you look at where those
16 would have been on audio. And then Carol Cooley was	16 interviews are footnoted in my report, you know,
17 separate, on a separate day. Same same scenario.	17 there's quite a quite a number of topics that, as I
18 Q Okay. So just to make sure I'm following	18 recall, are not addressed in the depositions at all.
19 you, were Kelly Young and Sophia Hawk interviewed at	19 Q So I think this is clear from prior
20 the same time?	20 questions, but you don't have an independent set of
21 A Yes, sir.	21 notes from these interviews. Your notes are
22 Q Okay. And it was by phone?	22 incorporated into this report?
23 A It was yeah, it was video phone.	23 A Correct.
24 Q Were you in Texas at the time?	24 Q Tell me about, if you would, sir, what did
25 A Yes, sir.	25 you learn as to when Sophia Hawk began working at

14 (Pages 50 - 53)

	Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate M	Ianagement Company, LLC
	Page 54	Page 56
1	Bedford Pines?	1 the deposition. So that was what I asked for was
2	A I don't remember the I I don't even	2 somebody with knowledge of, you know, Bedford Pines in
3	know if I remember asking her that question. I mean,	3 2020, and Ms. Young was the obvious was an obvious
4	she was not the property manager at the time of the	4 choice. And I guess they brought Ms. Hawk on because
5	incident. I know that. And I think she you know,	5 she was the current manager.
6	they all one of them was relatively new. It might	6 And that's not unusual. There's you
7	have been Carol Cooley. But I don't have I don't	7 know, as you probably know, there's high turnover in
8	have, like, notes on that, or, like, how long they had	8 property management in general. So oftentimes, I'm
9	been there or anything.	9 unfortunately interviewing new property managers that
10	Q Do you know what Sophia Hawks' role was as	10 weren't property managers, weren't even associated
11	of June 2020 at Bedford Pines?	11 with the property back then. In this case, Ms. Hawk
12	A Yes, sir. It's it's noted on page 7.	12 obviously was associated with the property.
13	She was the administrative assistant.	13 Q Did you ask to interview Alanna Robinson?
14	Q So she, fair to say, was not in a security	14 A Again, I didn't ask to speak to specific
15	management related role at the property	15 individuals. I asked to speak to people that could
16	A Correct.	16 address my questions. And I gave kind of a laundry
17	Q during the time of incident?	17 list of, you know, "Here are the topics I want to
18	A Correct.	18 cover." You know, "Get me on the phone with somebody
19	Q What about the Carol Cooley interview, sir?	19 that can answer my questions."
20	Where did that occur? Also by telephone?	20 Q You know Alanna Robinson was the property
21	A Yeah, same same story. I mean, video.	21 manager at the time of the incident?
22	Everything is set up, you know, on with a video	22 A Yes, sir.
23	link. People can call in, or they can video in.	23 Q Do you think it would have been helpful to
24	Q Approximately two hours?	24 talk to her?
25	A No, no. That one was fairly short because,	25 A Well, there wasn't a question, as I recall,
	Page 55	Page 57
1	you know, she had only been on at the time of the	1 that Ms. Young wasn't able to answer. So I mean, it's
2	incident, she'd only been in place for, like, three	2 always helpful to talk to people. Any time someone
3	months, if I recall correctly. And I was trying to	3 tells me to interview six extra people, I'm I'm in.
4	narrow her down in terms of time frame to just that	4 You know, I've got consulting clients that want me to
5	three-month period. Like I wasn't trying to learn	5 interview 12 doctors about workplace violence and I'll

- 5 three-month period. Like, I wasn't trying to learn
- 6 about stuff that happened after the incident. So that
- 7 was -- and it was a very focused interview.
- Q So given what you just said, can you explain
- 9 to me why you wanted to talk to Sophia Hawk if she was
- 10 an administrative assistant during the relevant time
- 11 period? In other words, what information would she
- 12 have had that would have been helpful to you about the
- 13 relevant time period?
- A So I didn't ask specifically to speak to
- 15 her. I don't -- as I recall, she didn't answer most
- 16 of the questions. I think Ms. Young did. What I
- 17 asked for is -- I need to flesh out the security
- 18 program; right? And no offense to attorneys, but
- 19 y'all don't know security that well, you know, and you
- 20 focus on gates, guns, and guards, and I want to focus
- 21 on the totality of the security program.
- 22 So in order for me to fully appreciate and
- 23 understand the security program and whether the
- 24 standard of care was met, I have to go back and
- 25 backfill all this information that wasn't addressed in

- 5 interview 12 doctors about workplace violence and I'll
- 6 do it, because you can always learn something.
- 7 There's always some nugget in there. So I'm always
- 8 happy to talk to anyone.
- Q Are your notes from your Carol Cooley
- 10 interview incorporated into your report?
- 11 A Yes, sir. I think it was just that one
- 12 paragraph.
- 13 Q What did you learn from Ms. Cooley?
- A So -- well, I mean, I was intrigued, to be
- 15 honest with you, with the -- with the concept of -- of
- 16 her -- you know, her -- her job title. I was
- 17 intrigued by that because, you know, when I do
- 18 consulting work in -- in housing, I'm always looking
- 19 for what works at one apartment complex, and can I
- 20 deploy that idea at another apartment complex?
- So I was -- it's like -- I'll give you --
- 22 I'll give you a very easy example. In healthcare,
- 23 there -- there's a big problem with workplace
- 24 violence. Some hospitals will have a workplace
- 25 violence coordinator. It's a fascinating idea, and

15 (Pages 54 - 57)

	Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate M	lani	agement Company, LLC
	Page 58		Page 60
1	I've actually seen where it's effective.	1	scattered apartment complex before she became the
2	•		property activity coordinator?
	coordinator, I'm like, "Okay, what does that person	3	A In fairness, I don't I don't recall
	do?" And then they kind of alluded to it during that	4	asking that question. I would be surprised if she
	initial interview, and I'm like, "I want to talk to		did. It's a it's like I said, it's a it's a
	her"; right? Because that's that's a really		title and a position that was created, that I've never
	fascinating concept, right, that that they would		seen before. So I don't know what kind of training
	have that.		one would get for a position that was brand new;
9			right?
	their own. They didn't hear about some other property	10	Like, they couldn't steal a job description
	that was doing it and implemented it here. They saw a		and a training program from another property and go,
	need for it. They figured out how to deal with that		"Oh, hey, let's use this idea." They literally
	need. I love the idea. I mean, I can almost assure		created this from the ground up, and it's a brilliant
	you that I will and have already made this		idea.
	recommendation to some of my housing clients, you	15	Q Did you conduct a site inspection in this
	know, that are that are big enough to want it, to		case?
	have somebody that focuses on these kinds of issues.	17	A I did not.
18		18	Q Have you ever visited Bedford Pines?
	question? Ultimately, that her role was to be	19	A No, sir.
	in in essence, it's an intelligence position;	20	Q Why did you not conduct a site inspection?
	right? It's kind of like the CompStat program that I	21	A Well, primarily because, you know, it's a
	alluded to in my report. So CompStat is a very		scattered site. There's no access control measures to
	famous, very effective program that New York PD		look at. The buildings the biggest reason is
	deployed back in the late '90s, if I remember		obvious; right? The buildings don't exist. You know,
	correctly. And they've done many studies on it, and		there's been so much change there. If you look at the
	Page 59		Page 61
1		1	front of my report, I referred to the back in the
2			background section.
	for Bedford Pines. So she's doing the data analysis.	3	I mean, I've never seen I'm sure it's
	She's looking for the trespass people in the police		happened, but I can't think of an instance where one
	report. She's looking for bad actors listed in police		property goes from 434 units to 733 units, and then
	reports. She's trying to figure out if they're living		drops back down to 557 units, and it's probably less
	on the property, if they're non-authorized residents.		than that today based on the demolition of some
	She's going on doing the lease violations. And I		buildings. So there's nothing to look at that would
	think that's what I really like about what she's		be of any value. It'd be lovely to say I did and just
	doing.		check the box so we don't have this discussion. But
11	_		in reality, there's nothing to look at.
	don't know the exact date. She didn't know the exact	12	Q On page 8 of your report, Plaintiff's
	date. She started doing building meetings where she		Exhibit 1, you say in the background section that
	would gather, you know, the residents of the building		the first paragraph, page 8, second to last
	outside in the courtyard or wherever it was and have a		
	conversation about security and crime and stuff like		approximately 75 percent." Do you see that?
	that. But in fairness, she said, and as I said in my	17	A Yes, sir.
18	report, that didn't start in earnest until 2021.	18	Q Does that mean 25 percent of the units were
19	-	19	not occupied?
20	became the property activity coordinator?	20	A Well, there were 25 percent that were
21	A That's a great question. I don't remember.	21	it's not like this is not a this is not a
22	She was there, if I remember correctly. But I don't	22	typical situation. This is a this is a property
23	remember what her title was before that.	23	that is intentionally they're moving people out of
24	Q Do you know if she had any training or	24	places to to change the units, rehab the units, or
125	experience assisting with management of security at a	25	in this case, demolish buildings. So it does not mean

16 (Pages 58 - 61)

	Sillis, Wyteria V. Wiligate W	lam	agement Company, LLC
	Page 62		Page 64
1	25 percent of the available units were unoccupied. It	1	2020?
2	means, out of the total number that were available,	2	A Well, yeah. I mean, as far as and part
3	557, 75 percent were occupied.	3	of the problem with my answer here is that some of
4	The other 25 were probably a mix of you	4	this information is based on their some of their
5	know, "We ain't allowing more people to move into	5	arrests after the fact. I can't remember which ones
6	these places until we do our rehab," or they were,	6	they are, but some of them had, you know, gang and
7	like, traditionally unoccupied, meaning, you know,	7	murder indictments. They were that was well,
8	we've got an apartment available for rent. But from	8	you know, it was discussed in the in the
9	what I recall in the testimony, they have a waiting	9	depositions on some in some cases.
10	list to get in this place. So you know, probably	10	Some of them had been indicted and had
11	these, you know, traditionally unoccupied units were	11	connections to gang activity based on stuff that
12	probably not unoccupied for long.	12	happened after the fact. Kenneth Long, for example,
13	Q So is it your understanding, then I'm not	13	admitted in the deposition that he was a Crip. And
14	sure I followed your answer entirely that 25	14	you know, in 2013, another one of them I can't
15	percent of the units were not occupied as of 2020?	15	remember which one admitted that he had been in
16	A Yeah. So yeah. But for but for one	16	part of two gangs or and I say "part of,"
17	of two reasons. This is not traditional occupancy	17	meaning either membership or affiliation; right?
18	issues where it's a good unit that we just haven't	18	So that is the risky behavior; right?
19	figured out how to rent it out yet. These were	19	That's the risky behavior is affiliating with gangs,
20	some of these units and I don't know what the	20	or being part of a gang. So the answer is, to the
21	percentage was, but I imagine the majority of it	21	extent that the evidence shows that one or more of
22	were unoccupied because of rehabs, or fire damage, or,	22	them was affiliated with a gang, then the answer is
23	"We're going to tear this building down." You don't	23	yes.
24	have a waiting list on an apartment complex and	24	Q Okay. And I think I asked you earlier for
25	maintain 25 percent occupancy unoccupancy.	25	your explanation of the differences between or the
	Page 63		Page 65
1	Q Do you know that to be true, that there was	1	similarity between the terms "associated with,"
2	a waiting list, and that they had 25 percent of their	2	"affiliated with," or a "member of a gang." And I

3 units were not occupied?

A Yeah, I know -- I know that from the

5 deposition and from the interview. So the -- from --

6 the first part of the question was from depositions,

7 that they had a waiting list. And then the second

8 part was from the interview, the 75 percent.

Q But as to the 25 percent, the status of

10 those non-occupied units, do you know why they were

11 not occupied?

12 A Yeah, based on what they told me. They gave

13 me several reasons why they were unoccupied. It

14 wasn't for want of residents. It was primarily

15 because they were in rehab status, or they were trying

16 to demolish the buildings.

17 Q Sir, I want to look at page 9 of your

18 report. And you talk about -- "Empirical research has

19 identified gang affiliation as a risky behavior.

20 Criminology studies provide evidence that gang members

21 participate in risky behaviors at a substantially

22 higher rate." Do you see that?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Is it your opinion that the plaintiffs were

25 engaging in risky behavior on the night of June 30,

3 understood your answer to essentially be, "There are

4 differences, but I don't think they're material in

5 this case."

A Well, they're not material to the research;

7 okay? They're not -- they're not material to the

8 research that we looked at -- that Christina and I

9 looked at. The people that were hanging out with

10 gangs were just as at risk as the people that were

11 actually in the gangs. So for the research purposes,

12 there's no material distinction. But is there a real

13 distinction in the real world? Yes.

Q Right. And so I'm asking you, what is your

15 understanding and definition of the term "associate

16 with" a gang?

17 A Well, I'm not using the word "associate."

18 You -- you keep saying "associate." I'm not using

that word. I'm using the word "affiliate."

20 Q Okay. Well, tell me what your definition,

21 then, is of the term "gang affiliate."

22 A So that is a person who hangs out -- and

23 again, I'm going based on the research; okay? I'm not

24 giving you -- definition. I'm going based on my

25 understanding of the research, and it talks about

17 (Pages 62 - 65)

	Karım		,
	Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate M	Ian	agement Company, LLC
	Page 66		Page 6
1	people that are hanging out with gang members; at	1	your question is I don't. But I have a hard time
2	times, committing crimes with the gang members. But	2	believing that shooting back at a moving car when you
3	do they have all the signs that indicate that they are	3	don't know what's beyond your target I can't I
4	an actual gang member according to law enforcement, or	4	have a hard time believing that's not a crime.
5	they admit it? The answer is no.	5	Q That's not an opinion you intend to provide,
6	In other words, they very well may be a gang	6	I take it?
7	member, but they either, A, haven't admitted it, or B,	7	A Well, I mean, I'm going to look it up, I
8	don't have those telltale signs that gang task force	8	guess, and and confirm that. But again, I mean,
9	officers are looking for. But for my purposes, it	9	you know, I'm I am a firearms instructor, and first
10	makes no difference, just so you know. I mean, I	10	rule is know your target and beyond. Maybe that's the
11	I'm not I don't care if they're gang members or	11	second rule. But know your target and beyond. And
12	just affiliated with gangs. Doesn't matter.	12	you can't be shooting at moving cars. And this is
13	Q Well and you said, sir, I think, that,	13	a this is a very population-dense area. You can't
14	you know, "I'm using the term 'associate.' You've not	14	be shooting at moving cars. So there must be a crime
15	used that term." But the sentence above where I was	15	associated with that, but I'm not a DA.
16	reading from uses the word "associated with."	16	Q And you don't know what Georgia law says on
17	A Well, yeah, but that was based on that	17	that point?
18	was based on that one word was based on, you know,	18	A Not specifically, but I will look it up.
19	Detective Belknap's report.	19	But I'm still not a DA as to whether charges would be
20	Q Okay. So your opinion, as I understand	20	accepted on such a thing.
21	it tell me if I'm wrong is that there's a	21	Q Have you reviewed the photos of the contents
22	difference between the terms "affiliate with,"	22	of Marcus Sims' backpack that he had with him when he
23	"associate with," and "be a member of"; fair?	23	was shot?
24	A No. The word "associated with" was is	24	A I don't have a specific recollection of it.
25	common parlance. There's no there's no magic	25	I mean, I think you've got my file. If it's in there,
	Page 67		Page 6
1	behind that word. Its common Merriam-Webster	1	I reviewed it. But I certainly don't have a specific
2	dictionary definition is the way I used it there.	2	recollection of it.
3	"Affiliation" was an intentional choice when Christina	3	Q You're not aware of what he had on him when
4	and I wrote that paper.	4	he was shot, or with him when he was shot?
5	Q Do you have an understanding of whether the	5	A No, nothing comes to mind right now, sir.
6	plaintiffs have been charged with a crime for their	6	Q On page 9, you talk about the routine
7	conduct on June 30, 2020?	7	activity theory.
8	A No.	8	A Yes, sir.
9	Q You don't have an understanding?	9	Q Do you believe the routine activity theory
10	A Correct. Yeah. I don't I don't I	10	is a true and valid theory?
	have not heard anything about them being charged with	11	A Believe it or not, I looked this up, like,
12	a crime for that day.	12	three days ago. There are 2.8 million hits on Google

Q Do you have an opinion about whether they

14 were committing a criminal offense that night?

A Well, the one who admitted shooting back --

16 I would imagine that would be considered a criminal

17 offense. I don't know if more than one of them shot

18 back. Apparently, the testimony shows that there were

19 multiple people shooting back. But I don't know if it

20 was one of these five guys. Only one of them admitted

21 returning fire.

22 Q But I take it you don't know what Georgia's

23 self-defense laws say if you're being fired upon; is

24 that fair?

25 A I would imagine that -- I -- the answer to 13 Scholar for routine activity theory. It is referenced

14 in 9 of the 27 bibliography items -- entries in the

15 forensic methodology. Routine activity theory is one

16 of three seminal theories that establishes the body of

17 knowledge called environmental criminology, or crime

18 science. It's a valid theory until proven otherwise.

19 I've seen no -- no evidence that it's not a valid

20 theory.

21 But I'm not using routine activity theory to

22 draw any conclusions about this case. I only

23 mentioned routine activity theory as the basis for the

24 problem analysis triangle, which is a framework for

25 understanding crime and crime prevention. So I'm not

18 (Pages 66 - 69)

68

	Sims, wyteria v. wingate w		<u> </u>
1 .	Page 70		Page 72
	relying on routine activity theory, other than	1	A Yeah. I was just going to ask, whenever
1	basically saying it results in the problem analysis		I'm not in a rush, but whenever you get to it, like,
Ι.	triangle.		an eight, ten minutes' break.
4		4	MR. BOUCHARD: Oh, we can take one now.
1	reference or the routine activity theory	5	THE WITNESS: Are you sure?
1	references, as depicted in your report, a guardian.	6	MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, sir. Thank you.
1	Can you explain what that means?	7	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
8	• • •	8	MR. BOUCHARD: Yep.
	into Bedford Pines with your Rolex on. That's	9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please stand by.
1	that's a guardian. That's self-guardianship. It		The time is 2:32 p.m. and we are off the record.
1	means Ms. Woodrick doesn't roll over to the gas	11	(Off the record.)
	station, keep her windows down, pumps gas with her	12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
	Louis Vuitton sitting on the passenger seat. That's		2:38 p.m. and we are on the record.
	self-guardianship. It means, when I leave town, I		BY MR. BOUCHARD:
1	call my neighbor, Jim, and say, "Hey, Jim, I'm leaving		Q Mr. Vellani, looking at Plaintiff's
	town. Can you keep an eye on the house?"		Exhibit 1 again, that last paragraph on page 10, it
17	It also means that we take care of our		starts "Based on all available evidence," and then
1	family. It means we take care of our friends. And		finishes at the bottom or at the top of page 11.
1	then we take so we've got bystanders that take care		You see that?
1	of us. They call the police if something's going on.	20	A Yes, sir.
1	And then ultimately, formal guardianship is typically	21	Q And there are a series of footnotes that
1	what would be considered a security officer or a		appear to relate to the statements made in that
1	police officer.		paragraph. Do you agree, sir?
24		24	A Yes, sir.
25	activity triangle on page 10 depicts a manager, what	25	Q Footnotes 17 through 27?
	Page 71		Page 73
1	do you mean by that?	1	A Yes, sir.
2	A Well, a manager's typically the formal	2	Q Okay. Obviously, as we've discussed,
3	person, the person that actually has ownership or	3	footnote 19 is the expert report of Detective Mark A.
4	agency to manage the property. So it typically stems		D-11 V
5		4	Belknap. You see that?
	from ownership. And it may be a designee, such as a	5	A Yes, sir.
1	from ownership. And it may be a designee, such as a property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is		-
6		5 6	A Yes, sir.
6 7	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is	5 6 7	<ul><li>A Yes, sir.</li><li>Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's</li></ul>
6 7	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.	5 6 7	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the
6 7 8 9	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.	5 6 7 8 9	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the information set forth in this paragraph?
6 7 8 9 10	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.  Q At Bedford Pines, as of June 2020, would you	5 6 7 8 9 10	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the information set forth in this paragraph? A There was well, I mean, number one,
6 7 8 9 10	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.  Q At Bedford Pines, as of June 2020, would you say guardians included off-duty Atlanta Police Department officers?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the information set forth in this paragraph? A There was well, I mean, number one, drive-by shootings are typically some kind of
6 7 8 9 10 11	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.  Q At Bedford Pines, as of June 2020, would you say guardians included off-duty Atlanta Police Department officers?  A Guardians would include off-duty police	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the information set forth in this paragraph? A There was well, I mean, number one, drive-by shootings are typically some kind of retaliation of some sort. So and most retaliation
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.  Q At Bedford Pines, as of June 2020, would you say guardians included off-duty Atlanta Police Department officers?  A Guardians would include off-duty police officers, on-duty police officers, whoever was in that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the information set forth in this paragraph? A There was well, I mean, number one, drive-by shootings are typically some kind of retaliation of some sort. So and most retaliation is affiliated with gang activity. Number two, and I did not footnote this, and I was remiss in this, the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.  Q At Bedford Pines, as of June 2020, would you say guardians included off-duty Atlanta Police Department officers?  A Guardians would include off-duty police officers, on-duty police officers, whoever was in that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the information set forth in this paragraph? A There was well, I mean, number one, drive-by shootings are typically some kind of retaliation of some sort. So and most retaliation is affiliated with gang activity. Number two, and I did not footnote this, and I was remiss in this, the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.  Q At Bedford Pines, as of June 2020, would you say guardians included off-duty Atlanta Police Department officers?  A Guardians would include off-duty police officers, on-duty police officers, whoever was in that security hub monitoring cameras, whenever they were	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the information set forth in this paragraph? A There was well, I mean, number one, drive-by shootings are typically some kind of retaliation of some sort. So and most retaliation is affiliated with gang activity. Number two, and I did not footnote this, and I was remiss in this, the police report actually does indicate that this was
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.  Q At Bedford Pines, as of June 2020, would you say guardians included off-duty Atlanta Police Department officers?  A Guardians would include off-duty police officers, on-duty police officers, whoever was in that security hub monitoring cameras, whenever they were monitoring cameras. I would also argue that it would include bystanders. Again, let's draw a distinction	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the information set forth in this paragraph?  A There was well, I mean, number one, drive-by shootings are typically some kind of retaliation of some sort. So and most retaliation is affiliated with gang activity. Number two, and I did not footnote this, and I was remiss in this, the police report actually does indicate that this was gang-related. To what extent, we don't know, because
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.  Q At Bedford Pines, as of June 2020, would you say guardians included off-duty Atlanta Police Department officers?  A Guardians would include off-duty police officers, on-duty police officers, whoever was in that security hub monitoring cameras, whenever they were monitoring cameras. I would also argue that it would include bystanders. Again, let's draw a distinction between the term "guardian" and "formal guardian."	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the information set forth in this paragraph? A There was well, I mean, number one, drive-by shootings are typically some kind of retaliation of some sort. So and most retaliation is affiliated with gang activity. Number two, and I did not footnote this, and I was remiss in this, the police report actually does indicate that this was gang-related. To what extent, we don't know, because it's not in the small narrative we have.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.  Q At Bedford Pines, as of June 2020, would you say guardians included off-duty Atlanta Police Department officers?  A Guardians would include off-duty police officers, on-duty police officers, whoever was in that security hub monitoring cameras, whenever they were monitoring cameras. I would also argue that it would include bystanders. Again, let's draw a distinction between the term "guardian" and "formal guardian."  To answer your question specifically, the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the information set forth in this paragraph?  A There was well, I mean, number one, drive-by shootings are typically some kind of retaliation of some sort. So and most retaliation is affiliated with gang activity. Number two, and I did not footnote this, and I was remiss in this, the police report actually does indicate that this was gang-related. To what extent, we don't know, because it's not in the small narrative we have.  And then obviously, there is testimony and I you know, who said what, I don't I
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.  Q At Bedford Pines, as of June 2020, would you say guardians included off-duty Atlanta Police Department officers?  A Guardians would include off-duty police officers, on-duty police officers, whoever was in that security hub monitoring cameras, whenever they were monitoring cameras. I would also argue that it would include bystanders. Again, let's draw a distinction between the term "guardian" and "formal guardian."  To answer your question specifically, the on-duty and off-duty officers would be considered the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the information set forth in this paragraph?  A There was well, I mean, number one, drive-by shootings are typically some kind of retaliation of some sort. So and most retaliation is affiliated with gang activity. Number two, and I did not footnote this, and I was remiss in this, the police report actually does indicate that this was gang-related. To what extent, we don't know, because it's not in the small narrative we have.  And then obviously, there is testimony and I you know, who said what, I don't I
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.  Q At Bedford Pines, as of June 2020, would you say guardians included off-duty Atlanta Police Department officers?  A Guardians would include off-duty police officers, on-duty police officers, whoever was in that security hub monitoring cameras, whenever they were monitoring cameras. I would also argue that it would include bystanders. Again, let's draw a distinction between the term "guardian" and "formal guardian."  To answer your question specifically, the on-duty and off-duty officers would be considered the formal guardians. Bystanders, family, friends,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the information set forth in this paragraph?  A There was well, I mean, number one, drive-by shootings are typically some kind of retaliation of some sort. So and most retaliation is affiliated with gang activity. Number two, and I did not footnote this, and I was remiss in this, the police report actually does indicate that this was gang-related. To what extent, we don't know, because it's not in the small narrative we have.  And then obviously, there is testimony and I you know, who said what, I don't I couldn't sit here and cite chapter and verse on that.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.  Q At Bedford Pines, as of June 2020, would you say guardians included off-duty Atlanta Police Department officers?  A Guardians would include off-duty police officers, on-duty police officers, whoever was in that security hub monitoring cameras, whenever they were monitoring cameras. I would also argue that it would include bystanders. Again, let's draw a distinction between the term "guardian" and "formal guardian."  To answer your question specifically, the on-duty and off-duty officers would be considered the formal guardians. Bystanders, family, friends,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the information set forth in this paragraph?  A There was well, I mean, number one, drive-by shootings are typically some kind of retaliation of some sort. So and most retaliation is affiliated with gang activity. Number two, and I did not footnote this, and I was remiss in this, the police report actually does indicate that this was gang-related. To what extent, we don't know, because it's not in the small narrative we have.  And then obviously, there is testimony and I you know, who said what, I don't I couldn't sit here and cite chapter and verse on that. But there was clearly discussion throughout the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.  Q At Bedford Pines, as of June 2020, would you say guardians included off-duty Atlanta Police Department officers?  A Guardians would include off-duty police officers, on-duty police officers, whoever was in that security hub monitoring cameras, whenever they were monitoring cameras. I would also argue that it would include bystanders. Again, let's draw a distinction between the term "guardian" and "formal guardian."  To answer your question specifically, the on-duty and off-duty officers would be considered the formal guardians. Bystanders, family, friends, management, Plaza, those would be considered guardians. And obviously ourselves; right? The	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the information set forth in this paragraph?  A There was well, I mean, number one, drive-by shootings are typically some kind of retaliation of some sort. So and most retaliation is affiliated with gang activity. Number two, and I did not footnote this, and I was remiss in this, the police report actually does indicate that this was gang-related. To what extent, we don't know, because it's not in the small narrative we have.  And then obviously, there is testimony and I you know, who said what, I don't I couldn't sit here and cite chapter and verse on that. But there was clearly discussion throughout the depositions about, you know, the prior instances of retaliation, and then ending with this one that were
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.  Q At Bedford Pines, as of June 2020, would you say guardians included off-duty Atlanta Police Department officers?  A Guardians would include off-duty police officers, on-duty police officers, whoever was in that security hub monitoring cameras, whenever they were monitoring cameras. I would also argue that it would include bystanders. Again, let's draw a distinction between the term "guardian" and "formal guardian."  To answer your question specifically, the on-duty and off-duty officers would be considered the formal guardians. Bystanders, family, friends, management, Plaza, those would be considered guardians. And obviously ourselves; right? The the plaintiffs themselves.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the information set forth in this paragraph?  A There was well, I mean, number one, drive-by shootings are typically some kind of retaliation of some sort. So and most retaliation is affiliated with gang activity. Number two, and I did not footnote this, and I was remiss in this, the police report actually does indicate that this was gang-related. To what extent, we don't know, because it's not in the small narrative we have.  And then obviously, there is testimony and I you know, who said what, I don't I couldn't sit here and cite chapter and verse on that. But there was clearly discussion throughout the depositions about, you know, the prior instances of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	property manager, such as Wingate. But that it is basically you know, it's exactly what you think it is. It's Wingate.  Q At Bedford Pines, as of June 2020, would you say guardians included off-duty Atlanta Police Department officers?  A Guardians would include off-duty police officers, on-duty police officers, whoever was in that security hub monitoring cameras, whenever they were monitoring cameras. I would also argue that it would include bystanders. Again, let's draw a distinction between the term "guardian" and "formal guardian."  To answer your question specifically, the on-duty and off-duty officers would be considered the formal guardians. Bystanders, family, friends, management, Plaza, those would be considered guardians. And obviously ourselves; right? The the plaintiffs themselves.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. Other than Detective Belknap's report, what evidence are you relying upon for the information set forth in this paragraph?  A There was well, I mean, number one, drive-by shootings are typically some kind of retaliation of some sort. So and most retaliation is affiliated with gang activity. Number two, and I did not footnote this, and I was remiss in this, the police report actually does indicate that this was gang-related. To what extent, we don't know, because it's not in the small narrative we have.  And then obviously, there is testimony and I you know, who said what, I don't I couldn't sit here and cite chapter and verse on that. But there was clearly discussion throughout the depositions about, you know, the prior instances of retaliation, and then ending with this one that were throughout the depositions, as well as, you know, what

19 (Pages 70 - 73)

Page 74 Page 76 1 of the person. Like, it has to do with the person. 1 Q When you say the police report says that it 2 was gang-related but that's not in the narrative, what 2 It doesn't have to do with the property. When you 3 do you mean? 3 think about the typical crime that, you know, we deal A Well, if you go to page -- I believe it's on 4 with in -- in these kinds of lawsuits, you're 5 page 1. Page 1, it's got a code for gang-related 3. 5 typically talking about opportunistic crimes; right? 6 That is a positive correlation with gang activity. 6 It's the condition of the property that created the 7 What 3 means, I don't know exactly. It's -- it's a 7 opportunity for the crime to occur. 8 subcategory of what type of gang relation. In this situation, it's less -- or, you Q You site in your footnote here on page 11 to 9 know, has less to do with the property, other than the 10 the depositions of Tequilla Phillips, Teshana Boyd, 10 fact that these guys hang out there, or are 11 Tiarra Shaneka Grigg, Santeba Seaborn, and Kiarra 11 unauthorized residents in -- in several cases, you 12 Roland. Why do you site to those depositions? 12 know, and have been -- a couple of them have been A Well, I'm -- I'm -- it would have come from, 13 trespassed from the property. It has less to do with 14 you know, anything to do with the gang stuff. But 14 the condition of the property and more to do with them 15 I -- that's what I'm saying. I can't cite chapter and 15 and the affiliation with the gang activity. So this is what is called victim-targeted 16 verse, other than Jim Tate's deposition, and only 16 17 because I re-read his again this morning. I think 17 violence, not place-targeted violence. In other 18 this gang activity came up in all those depositions, 18 words, if you think about, you know, the Murrah 19 but it came up in more, because I was reading a couple 19 Federal building in Oklahoma that Timothy McVeigh was 20 of -- re-reading a couple of the plaintiff's 20 involved in, he was targeting the place because it was 21 depositions yesterday, and gang activity came up in 21 a federal building. It was a symbol of the -- of the 22 there as well. 22 federal government. The people that were involved 23 were not specifically the target. He was just Q So if gang activity did not come up in 24 Tequilla's or Teshana's or Tiarra's or Santeba's or 24 targeting whoever was a government employee in the 25 Kiarra's, is it here by mistake? 25 building; right? Page 75 Page 77

A Well, it would have been about, you know,

2 the retaliation. Like, they might have been asked

3 about the prior shooting that Mr. Sims was involved

4 in; right? I mean, I think -- I think it's pretty

5 clear that he was involved with a prior drive-by

6 shooting, based on the evidence we have. So that

7 might have been what came up in this. So it would

8 either go back to gang activity or the retaliation

9 issue, because both of those -- I think those are the

10 two key topics in that paragraph.

Q Okay. And if there's nothing about

12 retaliation or gang activity in the cites at 21 to 25,

13 are they thereby a mistake?

A I don't know. I would have to see what --

15 what exactly I was referring to. I'd have to go back

16 and look at those. So I apologize that it's not quote

17 by quote from each person. I mean, if I did that in

18 this case, I'd be -- this report would be 700 pages

19 long.

1

20 O You say in this paragraph on page 10 that

21 targeted violence is difficult to anticipate based on

22 the condition of a property. What I wanted to ask you 22

23 about was that phrase "based on the condition of a

24 property." What does that mean?

25 A So victim-centered violence is a condition 1 In this situation, all the evidence, you

2 know, seems to indicate that it was these guys that

3 were being targeted. I think Jim Tate put it well

4 when he said that, you know, there's a reason why five

5 bullets enter one guy. So it seems to me that it's

6 victim-centered, not place-centered violence.

7 Q Do you have any academic research,

8 evidence-based research, to support your statement

9 that drive-by shootings are typically retaliatory, and

10 most people shot in drive-by shooting are being

11 retaliated against?

12 A Well, I didn't say the second part of it. I

13 only said the first part of that. The first part of

14 that would be based on -- so the -- the biggest

15 problem with the -- with, like, for example, the FBI's

16 uniform crime report, which is a great tool, but does

17 have limitations. It does not show you the subtypes

18 of crimes. It'll show you the complete number of

19 aggravated assaults and murders, but it won't say that

20 they necessarily stemmed from, you know, a drive-by

21 shooting; right?

So when I've looked at police reports and

23 I've seen drive-by shootings, there was almost always

24 a gang correlation to it; right? So when you are able

25 to get that granular data -- like, for example, the

20 (Pages 74 - 77)

Page 78 Page 80 1 Dallas open data portal will show you that it was a Q Right. And so other than Detective 2 drive-by, and it'll -- it'll show you that it was gang 2 Belknap's report, do you have any information that 3 affiliated in most, if not all, the instances. 3 you're relying upon to determine which of the 4 plaintiffs were targeted, or whether all plaintiffs Q What about the second part did you not agree 5 with as it relates to people who are shot in drive-by 5 were targeted? 6 shootings being retaliated against? Is that not your A So based on the number of shots that hit 7 opinion? 7 Mr. Sims, you know, just based on that physical A Well, I didn't give that opinion. I mean, 8 evidence, it appears that he was the target. Tate 9 I -- I didn't say -- like, I -- I didn't characterize 9 says kind of the same thing that I said -- that I'm 10 it. I've never looked at that issue. I have to 10 saying there. And I believe there was other 11 imagine that, in some instances, a drive-by shooting 11 depositions that talked about that, but I couldn't 12 hits and unintended target. 12 tell you which ones. Tate's the one that comes to Q So you don't have an opinion as to whether 13 mind 'cause I read it this morning. 14 or not it's more likely than not that a person shot in 14 Q Other than there being five bullets in 15 a drive-by shooting is the target of the drive-by 15 Marcus Sims' body, do you have any basis to believe 16 shooting or the subject of retaliation? 16 that he was the target of the June 30, 2020 shooting, 17 A I am not aware of any data that would 17 setting aside Detective Belknap's report? 18 support that specific situation. I -- I mean, A Well, I'm trying to determine how to answer 19 anecdotally, it makes sense to me. But I don't know 19 this question, 'cause I don't know -- if I only know 20 that I've got data to support that kind of an opinion. 20 about the fact that Mr. Sims -- well, I mean, it Q And it also makes sense to you that innocent 21 was -- it was questioned in the deposition -- in the

25 page 10, "people associated with them," are you using 25

Page 79

1 that in the Merriam-Webster's dictionary way, as

1 in the Old Fo

24 than Belknap.

A Yeah. It could be people with them; right?

Q When you say "associated," the last line on

4 I mean, it doesn't even have to be -- like, in this

22 bystanders get shot in drive-by shootings?

A Sure. Can happen, yes.

2 you've already described previously?

5 situation, where it's people with, you know, gang 6 backgrounds before or after, and involved in drug

7 dealing before or after. In that case, it could be,

8 like, the guy's with his girlfriend and she ends up 9 getting shot; right? That's what I mean by that.

10 That was more of a general -- general way of looking

11 at it as opposed to the -- specific way.

12 Q Other than Detective Belknap's report, do13 you have any information that you're relying upon to

14 determine who was targeted --

15 A Well --

23

24

16 Q -- in the -- 30 shooting?

17 A It is referenced in the other depositions.

18 The one that kind of keeps coming to mind, again,

19 'cause I reviewed it again this morning, was Jim

20 Tate's. You know, apparently he's got pretty strong

21 connections with the police there, and obviously he,

22 you know, works with them every day and gets

23 information from them every day. So it's in that

24 deposition. I thought it was also in other

25 depositions.

1 in the Old Fourth Ward neighborhood, is that

2 sufficient, knowing nothing more, to conclude that

22 depositions, that Mr. Sims had been targeted in a

23 prior drive-by shooting. So that's the source other

Q If somebody is shot in a drive-by shooting

Page 81

3 they were, quote, "targeted"?

4 A I mean, I can't answer your question based

5 on that alone, because there's more -- there's so much

6 more evidence in this case where so many people are

7 questioned about this; right? So I don't know how to

8 set aside everything I know from the evidence base in

9 this case. I mean, I can try to set aside Belknap's

10 stuff, but you know, I've only said one thing from

11 Belknap, which is the Rollin' 60 stuff.

12 Q Well -- and I'm not asking you to set

13 everything aside. I was following up on your comment

14 that Mr. Sims had previously been targeted in a

15 drive-by shooting, and I'm asking, if he was shot in a

16 prior drive-by shooting in 2019 in the Old Fourth Ward

17 neighborhood, is that a sufficient basis for you to

18 conclude that he was the target of a drive-by

19 shooting?

20 A Not -- not that alone. Not that alone. But

21 you know, there is this concept in criminology called

22 repeat victimization, and it goes back to that

23 guardianship concept where there is a reason why

24 somebody is repeatedly victimized; right? There's a

25 reason why, when you are affiliated with gangs or a

21 (Pages 78 - 81)

Veritext Legal Solutions 770,343,9696

	Sillis, Wyteria V. Willigate W	lan	agement company, ELC
	Page 82		Page 84
1	gang member, that you have this high rate of violent	1	foreseeability. I think let's cut to the chase on
2	victimization.	2	this one. If Bedford Pines knew specifically about
3	And again, it has less to do with the	3	the potential for a drive-by on this night targeting
4	property and more to do with your risky behaviors,	4	these guys, you know, it is possible, I guess, they
	your lifestyle. So the theory is it's called		could have done something to address them.
1	lifestyle theory. You know, you were not likely to be	6	
1	targeted in a gang drive-by shooting because of your		direct, specific imminent harm type of notice, I don't
1	lifestyle. Other people are more likely to be		know how you could possibly prevent something like
	targeted because of their lifestyle.		this. I also don't really know whether a crime that
10	Q On page 12, you talk about crime prevention,		is committed from the public street where they have
	and you say "Even with a very high relative risk of		zero control and armed security and unarmed security
1			
	crime, it's possible for security measures to be		would have no authority on the public street I'm
	adequate and reasonable." And it looks like you're		not sure other than installing bullet-resistant
	quoting an article by Lawrence Sherman. Do you see		
	that?		anything to prevent something like this. I mean, this
16	A Yes, sir.		is a pretty unique crime.
17	Q Have you conducted an analysis of crime data	17	Q But you haven't done any crime data analysis
1	and crime history at Bedford Pines?		in this case to determine how unique, or whether it
19			had happened before?
20		20	
21	an opinion about?	21	testimony, there had been other drive-bys. Even
22	A No, sir.	22	even Tate talked about that. But no, I did not do a
23	Q You have not conducted any analysis as to	23	crime analysis in this case.
	similarity, frequency, recency, or proximity of prior	24	
25	crimes?	25	security program at Bedford Pines used all three
	Page 83		Page 85
1	A No, sir. I was not asked to do that. I	1	elements: governance, security personnel, and
2	understand there's other experts involved, and I don't	2	physical security." You see that?
3	know what their roles are.	3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q And when I use those terms, "similarity,"	4	Q You agree with me that it did not use all
5	"frequency," "recency," and "proximity," you're	5	three elements at all times; correct?
1	familiar with those being methods and focuses of	6	A Correct.
1	analysis when you're looking at crime data?	7	Q Bedford Pines did not have security
8	A Yes, sir.	8	personnel working 24/7 at the property.
9	Q And you did not do that here; correct?	9	A Correct.
10		10	Q It did not have security personnel working
11	Q I take it, then, you don't have an opinion	11	at the property overnight, every night.
1	about the criminal history and crime data at Bedford	12	A Correct.
	Pines preceding June 30, 2020?	13	Q On page 13 in the governance section, the
14			last sentence, first paragraph says "These are good
15	terms, I don't have a foreseeability opinion in this		practices." And you use that language at other points
	case.		in your report, and I wanted to ask you by what you
17	Q You do not have an opinion as to whether or		mean by "good practices."
	not the June 30, 2020 shooting was foreseeable?	18	A Well, it's to avoid getting into a fight
19	A Correct.		over what's a best practice. That's what it is. I
20	Q Does foreseeability relate to		mean, I'm saying that these are these are practices
	preventability?		that I know to have some effect on crime and are good
22	•		crime prevention practices. But I'm not willing to
23	3		sit there and go "best practice," and then get into a
24		23	C. 1

22 (Pages 82 - 85)

A On page 13 in the second paragraph, you say,

25

24 talking about an opportunistic crime, then, you know, 24 fight over where is that written; right?

25 that's harder to -- to deal with without

	•		
	Page 86		Page 88
1	in the second sentence of the second paragraph,	1	common, but getting the data from the police
2	"However, informal security risk assessments were	2	department's kind of unique. Most most apartment
3	completed, which resulted in a written security plan	3	complexes don't do that. The second component is the
4	and the ongoing retention of a security consulting	4	ongoing vulnerability assessment. Like, if you look
5	firm." What do you consider a security plan to be for	5	at what I think it was Ron Teachey who who
6	an apartment complex like Bedford Pines?	6	identified, like, what he considered high activity
7	A So here's here's the dilemma, and and	7	areas, and and Tate's testimony about the police
8	I'm going to jump ahead, if you ever want to ask me	8	officers focusing on high traffic areas. That's the
9	about, you know, my rebuttal testimony. This term	9	ongoing vulnerability assessment; right?
10	these these two terms that keep coming up in in	10	And going after and thinking about, like,
11	Mr. Ahmed's report and deposition and I didn't I	11	how do we evaluate security? How do we get more
12	didn't know he was involved, I don't think, at the	12	cameras? How do we give access to the police
13	time of when I wrote this report. But this these	13	department? How do we fund the foundation cameras?
14	are things that are typically thrown out there. The	14	You know, that's all part of the vulnerability
15	first term is security vulnerability assessment, and	15	assessment where you're looking at where our weakness
16	the second term is security plan.	16	is, and how do we, you know, block those weaknesses,
17	I know what a security vulnerability	17	fix those weaknesses. That's the vulnerability
18	assessment is. I don't think that's the correct term.	18	assessment.
19	I think it's actually security risk assessment, where	19	So they clearly were doing informal and
20	you're evaluating both threats and vulnerabilities.	20	"informal" is a terrible word in this case, because
21	And if you look at the IAPSC forensic methodology,	21	it's it's so obvious what they're doing from an
22	which is an appendix to my report, it talks about what	22	assessment perspective that it is really quite formal.
23	the difference is, and that's that's important to	23	I said "informal" 'cause there's not one document.
24	understand.	24	It's a living process that they're engaging in. And
25	But the genesis of this term "security	25	then the written security plan, you know, I again,
	Page 87		Page 89
1	vulnerability assessment." that's throughout the	1	it's just not really a thing.

1 vulnerability assessment," that's throughout the

2 industry, but it's ultimately comes back to what

3 some -- you know, a bunch of yahoos wrote in NFPA 730.

4 That's where most people use that term from. The

5 second term, security plan, is also from an NFPA 730,

6 and that's fairly unique to NFPA 730.

7 So I've got to tell you, in 30 some-odd

8 years of doing this, I've never seen anything called

9 "security plan." Like, I've never seen a document

10 from a client, including some very sophisticated

11 clients, that said security plan; right? What I can

12 tell you in this case is the fact that they had a

13 security firm that was ultimately serving in a

14 consulting managerial capacity indicates the ongoing

15 nature of assessing the risk.

6 And it's not just Plaza; right? They have

17 the property activity coordinator. Now, granted, she

18 had only been on for, like, two or three months before

19 the incident. But they clearly had this track record

20 of constantly evaluating threats, because they're

21 getting the data from APD. They've got the internal

22 reporting. And then they were also getting reports

23 from Plaza.

24 That's the ongoing threat assessment

25 component, which -- two of those things are fairly

1 it's just not really a thing.

2 You know, I don't even -- I don't even ask

3 clients typically for that. The only time I ever ask

4 for a plan is, like, their workplace violence

5 prevention plan, because that's a term of art in the

6 healthcare industry. But that's not -- a plan is not

7 something I've ever asked for from a client 'cause

8 it's not a thing that exists; right?

9 Q Do you agree, Mr. Vellani, that APD was not

10 managing Bedford Pines?

11 A Correct.

12 Q Wingate was; right?

13 A Yes, sir.

14 Q And APD was not responsible for security on

15 Bedford Pines; right?

16 A Well, I don't know -- I mean, they -- they

17 certainly didn't have a role in terms of, like,

18 writing policies, or, you know, doing lease evictions,

19 or doing criminal background investigations. They

20 didn't have a role in that part. But they certainly

21 had a very active role in terms of filling the

22 positions that were there. So they have that role,

23 yes. I mean, they had a dedicated person. They have

24 the coordinator, Vayens, or Varens, whatever his name

25 is.

23 (Pages 86 - 89)

Veritext Legal Solutions 770,343,9696

	<i>a</i> ,, <i>a</i>		Γ ,
	Page 90		Page 92
1	Q In your last sentence in this middle	1	or not there was somebody at Wingate who was
2	2 paragraph on page 13, where you say "The security	2	overseeing the security risk assessment that you're
3	3 program as documented in this report and the evidence	3	saying was being conducted and reevaluated on an
4	in this matter clearly demonstrate a security risk	4	ongoing basis?
5	5 assessment was conducted and reevaluated on an ongoing	5	A Well, I would imagine the buck stops at the
6	5 basis." I think you said this a moment ago, but just	6	property manager, or maybe a regional property
7	7 to confirm, you're not saying that the security risk	7	manager. But on an ongoing basis, it's it's the
8	3 assessment that you're saying was conducted and	8	Plaza security team. But I don't know that you
9	9 reevaluated on an ongoing basis was memorialized	9	know, again, you'd have to watch it in real time. I
10	) somewhere, are you?	10	imagine APD's also reporting directly to management,
11	A It it is memorialized in various parts of	11	not going through Plaza.
12	2 the evidence; right? But it's not like like, I'll	12	Q Do you have an opinion about whether these
13	3 give you an example. When I get retained to go do	13	ongoing security risk assessments, as you're opining,
14	4 an a security risk assessment, it is typically	14	show that Bedford Pines needed nighttime security
15	5 memorialized in a single document; okay? It there	15	personnel on the property?
16	5 may be attachments to it. There may be links to other	16	A Well, I know that they wanted nighttime
17	7 documents. There may be an Excel spreadsheet	17	security personnel. I mean, that's what that's
18	3 associated with it. But it's typically typically,	18	what I wrote in my report, I think, a couple of pages
19	not always. Sometimes want verbal reports. But	19	later. Give me one second. I mean, on page 23, it
20	) typically, it's memorialized in a single document.	20	says "Wingate, one of the majority of off-duty police
21	You wouldn't do that if you're, like,	21	officers working at Bedford at Bedford Pines at
22	2 ongoing engaged on the property; right? Like, I	22	night."
23	3 wouldn't like, I've got another apartment project	23	Q Yeah. My question is whether or not you
24	4 going on right now that's huge, and I'm not producing	24	have an opinion based on what you're saying were
25	5 anything in writing that would constitute a risk	25	reevaluated ongoing security risk assessments. Do you
	Page 91		Page 93
1	1 assessment So I don't know that they have a written	1	have an opinion based on the documents that you've

1 assessment. So I don't know that they have a written

- 2 single document that tells you, you know, A to Z,
- 3 everything regarding the security program. But it
- 4 is -- it is strewn throughout emails, meeting minutes,
- 5 and activity.
- But that's the difference there is that they
- 7 have an ongoing consultant, you know, the management
- 8 team there, the -- the Plaza guys, out there doing
- 9 this. If they had hired me or Groussman or Ahmed, I'm
- 10 assuming they got a -- would have gotten a
- 11 one-and-done report.
- 12 Q So when you, using the passive voice there,
- 13 say "The security risk assessment was conducted and
- 14 reevaluated on an ongoing basis," who was conducting
- 15 it and reevaluating it on an ongoing basis?
- A So that's also a complicated answer, right,
- 17 because there were so many people involved in it. You
- 18 have the APD coordinator. You have the -- the APD
- 19 officers. You have Tate and his team, Kiernan. You
- 20 know, Kiernan and Tate. You -- and then three months
- 21 before, you've got the property activity coordinator,
- 22 and of course you've got management involved. So this
- 23 is like a all hands on deck approach as opposed to,
- 24 "Oh, here's our one yahoo with the CPP," right?
- 25 Q Do you have an understanding as to whether

1 have an opinion based on the documents that you've

- 2 reviewed, the information you've reviewed, whether
- 3 Wingate needed nighttime security personnel at Bedford
- 4 Pines?
- A No. I mean, I don't know if that
- 6 evaluation's correct. Like, I could -- could I look
- 7 at data, or have I looked at data to validate that
- 8 need or that want? No. I mean, I've looked at other
- 9 data that supports -- well, in -- you know, if you --
- 10 if you look at APD crime stats, for example -- if you
- 11 look at FBI crime states for Atlanta and see the crime
- 12 trend in 2020 -- I mean, I know we all feel -- I know
- 13 we all feel that crime has gone up in Atlanta, and it
- 14 did in 2021 and 2022.
- 15 But Atlanta crime was, like, at its bottom.
- 16 It was in a valley. It, like, went -- right in 2020.
- 17 And Atlanta had been trending down for, like, six
- 18 years at that point. But you know, to say night, day,
- 19 I don't know. I have not looked at data that says one
- 20 way or the other.
- 21 Q You don't have an opinion about whether or
- 22 not Wingate should have had nighttime security at
- 23 Bedford Pines in June 2020?
- 24 A I'm only saying that they wanted it. I
- 25 don't know whether they needed it at night or not.

24 (Pages 90 - 93)

### Sime Wyterie v Wingete Management Comp

	Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate M	lan	agement Company, LLC
	Page 94		Page 96
1	Q Do you have an opinion as to whether or not	1	until 2021, but it was happening in 2020.
2	Wingate should have been notifying Bedford Pines	2	Q Did any such meetings occur before June 30,
3	residents in writing about crime occurring on or	3	2020?
4	around the property?	4	A I don't know. She started she started
5	A So there's no there's no standard which	5	her role, you know, three months before. But I
6	requires crime notifications, to my understanding, and	6	don't I you know, I don't have evidence showing,
7	this is an issue I've dealt with a lot. There's	7	yes, it occurred in, you know, March of 2020, or April
8	there's a couple of problems with this; right? Number	8	of 2020.
9	is that, you know, do a sufficient amount of crimes	9	Q Okay. Do you know how she notified
10	come to your attention that you can actually send out	10	residents of these meetings?
11	notices? Are you living in an area where residents	11	A I don't. I think it was I mean, it
12	actually know about the crimes before management does,	12	seemed you know, it seemed like, in a typical
13	which seems like the case here.	13	garden style apartment complex, you typically see a
14	Number three, how do you deal with a	14	notice going out, "Hey, we're having" you know, a
15	situation where a somebody reports a crime, but	15	month in advance, "We're having a, you know, crime
16	you've got no further information to prove it up, and	16	prevention meeting," or something. In this case, it
17	then do you just send out a notice without validating	17	seemed more like going to each individual building
18	the crime occurred; right? So this is one of those	18	because of the layout of the property.
19	types of areas where it seems like the residents knew	19	Q But you don't know if she would have sent
20	as much or more than management.	20	emails out notifying residents of such a meeting?
21	And that's not necessarily a bad thing;	21	A No, I don't and I don't I mean, the
22	right? I mean, anybody can turn on the ten o'clock	22	the takeaway I got and I don't want to overstate
23	news. Anybody, you know, in close proximity to a	23	this. The takeaway I got from her is she would gather
24	shooting would hear the gunfire. You know, anybody		up the residents of a building and kind of have a
25	could see the car up on blocks if they're in that	25	conversation, like, in the courtyard. That was kind
	Page 95		Page 97
1	area; right?	1	of the takeaway that I had after speaking with her.
2	So I don't know that this a situation where	2	Q Do you have an opinion as to whether or not
3	I'd he that critical of Wingate not sending out formal	3	Wingate should have had staff working at the property

3 I'd be that critical of Wingate not sending out formal

4 notices for -- you know, 'cause you're just being

5 redundant at this point; right? And I don't know how

6 you validate all of that crime.

Q Your opinion is that it would be redundant

8 for Wingate to notify residents in writing of crime

9 occurring on or near the scattered apartment complex

10 that spans several city blocks?

A So it's redundant in -- it's redundant in

12 the sense that if you look at the testimony of the

13 residents -- okay, I'm not talking about the

14 plaintiffs. I'm talking about the residents. But

15 even the plaintiffs; right? I mean, everybody seemed

16 to acknowledge that they were aware of crime in the

17 area.

18 So I'm not sure what, you know, sending out

19 a notice that, "Hey, we had three catalytic converter

20 thefts last week," I don't know how that does anybody

21 any good. But what I can tell you is that the

22 property activity coordinator, Carol Cooley, did tell

23 me, and it's in my report, that she was doing these

24 building meetings where they were talking about crime.

25 Now, in fairness, that didn't start up in earnest

3 Wingate should have had staff working at the property

4 at nighttime?

A Well, I mean, it would be outside the

6 standard of care; right? I mean, every apartment

7 complex that I know of has got, you know, no staff on

8 duty that -- that is employed by the management

9 company or owners. They may have staff that lives on

10 property. They may have, like, maintenance guys that

11 are on call. But they're not on duty.

12 So it would be, you know, well above the

13 standard of care to -- to do such a thing. But you

14 know, they've got a property activity coordinator.

15 That's above the standard of care as well.

16 Q Do you have an opinion on that, or no?

17 A Well, I have an opinion that that would not

18 be normal. But you know, it's not really an opinion I

19 had in my report.

20 Q Do you have an opinion as to whether Wingate

21 should enforce house rules at Bedford Pines?

22 A Of course they should.

23 Both during the day and at night?

24 A Well, you can't enforce it at night. The

25 idea behind the house rules is, if it comes to your

25 (Pages 94 - 97)

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC		
Page 98	Page 100	
1 attention, you would you would deal with it; right?	1 know, like, recent felonies, for example, or recent	
2 If you find out that, you know, Betty Sue in apartment	2 violent felonies, for example, is because they're	
3 123 has got loiters hanging out in her breezeway, and	3 likely to continue to commit the crimes; right?	
4 every time security comes by, they run in the	4 So if you think about what was happening	
5 apartment, you call Betty Sue in for a meeting into	5 here and I don't want to be rude and crude	
6 the management office and say, "Hey, you've got these,	6 about all this, but there were so many violations	
7 you know, loiterers that are hanging out in the	7 occurring by the residents where you know, they	
8 breezeway. You're not allowed to do that. Here's a	8 were living as unauthorized residents. They were	
9 lease violation. Two more times and you're out." I	9 they were, you know, technically in violation of the	
10 mean, that's how you deal with it.	10 guest policy. They were unauthorized residents, which	
11 Q So you're saying you can't enforce them at	11 is really, really hard for a property manager to prove	
12 night?	12 and identify. I did address that in in my report.	
13 A Well, it's not that you can't enforce them	But the idea here is you have bad actors who	
14 at night. It's just that that wouldn't be the routine	14 were not supposed to be on the property, definitely	
15 practice; right? I mean, house rules are designed	15 not supposed to be living on the property, living on	
16 you know, people are people. They're going to break	16 the property. And again, it goes back to the	
17 the rules, and you've just got to notify them, you	17 condition of the people, not the condition of the	
18 know, when it comes to your attention that they've	18 property.	
19 broken the rule and they need to get their act	19 Q What evidence are you relying upon that any	
20 together.	20 of the five were living on the property without	
You know, some of the the bad apartment	21 authority?	
22 complexes that I've worked at in the rough areas, not	22 A One of them, Ricky Phillips, he was staying	
23 that different from Bedford Pines, you know, the	23 with his baby mama on and off for five years. He	
24 the property manager and I and a police officer would	24 said he testified that he stayed for for a year	
25 routinely call people into the apartment management	25 once. So these are as I understand it and I	
Page 99	Page 101	
1 office and say, "Hey, look, we understand that there's	1 don't know want to get into an area that I don't am	
2 drug dealing going on in your apartment, you know.	2 not an expert in; okay? But they're getting	
3 You need to stop it. You need to kick whoever's out	3 subsidies, and the subsidies are based on the income	
4 that's doing it, or we're going to evict you, and	4 of the resident, and it's not based on the	
5 you're going to have a hard time getting another	5 unauthorized resident.	
6 apartment."	6 So now you basically, you know, are giving	
7 So it's not like something that you	7 someone the subsidy, even though they've got people	
8 necessarily can deal with on the spot. It's something	8 that should not be on the property living with them.	
9 that sometimes is dealt with a day or two or three	9 So Ricky Phillips is one example. I think there were	
10 later.	10 a couple of others where they were there on the	
11 Q On pages 14 to 16 of your report, there's a	11 property sufficiently long enough to be considered not	
12 section about potential issue in rental housing	12 a guest, but now a, you know, unauthorized resident.	
13 relating to leasing units to people with criminal	13 Q I'm talking about as of June 30th when the	
14 backgrounds.	14 subject incident occurred. You don't have any	
15 A Yes, sir.	15 evidence about that occurring as of the subject	
16 Q What is the relevance of these pages 14, 15,	16 incident; is that correct?	
17 and 16?		
11/ and 10?	A   NO. DIII   Deneve I mean Ricky Philling	
	17 A No, but I believe I mean, Ricky Phillips 18 was talking about staying with his girlfriend on and	
18 A Well, it's a great question; okay? And this	18 was talking about staying with his girlfriend on and	
18 A Well, it's a great question; okay? And this 19 is we have a we have a research project going on	18 was talking about staying with his girlfriend on and 19 off for the five years prior to him moving, I guess,	
18 A Well, it's a great question; okay? And this 19 is we have a we have a research project going on 20 right now, me and three other consults and a and a	18 was talking about staying with his girlfriend on and 19 off for the five years prior to him moving, I guess, 20 properly on the property in 2021.	
18 A Well, it's a great question; okay? And this 19 is we have a we have a research project going on 20 right now, me and three other consults and a and a 21 PhD researcher at Rice University, looking at these	18 was talking about staying with his girlfriend on and 19 off for the five years prior to him moving, I guess, 20 properly on the property in 2021. 21 Q You'll defer to whatever Ricky Phillips'	
18 A Well, it's a great question; okay? And this 19 is we have a we have a research project going on 20 right now, me and three other consults and a and a	18 was talking about staying with his girlfriend on and 19 off for the five years prior to him moving, I guess, 20 properly on the property in 2021.	

26 (Pages 98 - 101)

Q On page 16 of Plaintiff's Exhibit 1, I guess

25 the third paragraph that starts "Trespassing on

24

24 any housing don't -- or -- or do conduct criminal

25 background check and don't rent to people with, you

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC			
Page 102	Page 104		
1 Bedford Pines property was addressed by" do you see	1 Q Is that the same way of saying what you said		
2 that?	2 in the prior sentence, or is that something different?		
3 A Yes, sir.	3 A Well, again, it it's the problem is,		
4 Q Okay. When you say it was addressed by APD	4 like, there is no great loitering statute that I've		
5 officers, do you mean on duty, off duty, or both?	5 seen for the state. I've seen them for some of the		
6 A I meant both.	6 cities in in Georgia, but not not Atlanta, and		
7 Q But either way, if it was to be addressed by	7 not the state. So yeah, "hanging out" is another way		
8 APD officers, it was only going to be addressed when	8 of saying, you know, you don't have any real business		
9 they were actually physically present; you agree?	9 being here; right?		
10 A Well, I mean, there's the boulevard precinct	10 Q What's your understanding of how residents		
11 down the road; right? So there would be police	11 would be discouraged from hanging out?		
12 officers in the area, and there obviously were police	12 A Well, they had rules. They had signs. And		
13 officers in the area. I mean, you know, I don't know	13 as I recall the testimony, when they were seen doing		
14 exactly where the police officers were at the time of	14 it, they would be dispersed.		
15 the shooting, or when the last time, you know, the	15 Q Are you aware of Wingate providing any		
16 on-duty guys rolled by the area of the shooting.	16 written warnings to residents or guests about not		
But APD didn't go down to zero during COVID	17 loitering or not congregating?		
18 and during the riots, so there would have been on-duty	18 A No. I haven't look at the lease files. The		
19 police officers, just obviously in a in a much	19 problem with your question is it would require looking		
20 lower level than prior to 2020. So I don't know when	20 in every lease file to find those lease violations,		
21 the last time they rolled by and could have seen	21 and that's not something that's simple to do at		
22 trespassers.	22 apartments.		
23 Q On page 16, same page, you say in the middle	23 Q Obviously you wouldn't expect a guest to		
24 of the paragraph that "In response to loitering,	24 have reviewed house rules; right?		
25 Bedford Pines staff and or the security team would	25 A Correct. Well, but they would see the sign.		
Page 103	Page 105		
1 disperse the loiterers." You see that?	1 If it's on specifically loitering, then it's the		
2 A Yes, sir.	2 signs were there.		
3 Q Who are you saying would do that when you	3 Q And so if there's a food van parked at 645		

4 Parkway selling food and drinks and playing music, and

5 there are chairs in front of 639, as Stephanie Lewis

6 testified, how would that impact the effectiveness of

7 a no loitering sign, in your estimation as a security

8 consultant?

9 MR. DIAL: Object to the form.

10 A Well, I mean, this Ms. Lewis, as I

11 understand it, was a former resident. So at some

12 point, she had seen the rules; right? Ostensibly. If

13 it's like, you know, all of us who have been handed an

14 employee manual and signed off on it and never read

15 it; right? But there's signs there; right? So she at

16 least knows that loitering's prohibited.

17 How would it -- how would that affect --

18 what was -- and I'm sorry, I went off on a little

19 tangent there. What was your question again?

20 Q You were saying the guests would know of the

21 no loitering sign. They would be able to see it, even

22 if they weren't familiar with the house rules. And I

23 was saying, well, Stephanie Lewis testified that she

24 had a food van parked in the parking lot playing

25 music, selling food and drinks, and that there were

4 say Bedford Pines staff and/or the security team?

A Well, there were several management people

6 that testified to it, and then earlier in the report,

7 I defined the security team as being, at minimum,

8 Plaza and APD, but there were obviously others

9 involved.

10 Q Who addressed loitering when Bedford Pines

11 staff and/or the security team were not there?

A Well, at that point, there wouldn't be

13 anyone, 'cause, you know, again, as far as -- as far

14 as I understand -- and I've looked up Georgia's

15 loitering statute so many times at this point, and Jim

16 Tate testified to it, too. There's not really a

17 loitering statute of any worth in Georgia.

And I'm not -- and I've not seen once 18

19 specifically for the city of Atlanta. So I don't

20 think the police would do it. It would have to be

21 somebody that was -- you know, had agency for Wingate.

Q It says residents were discouraged from

23 hanging out outside the residential units for long

24 periods of time.

25 A Yes, sir.

27 (Pages 102 - 105)

	Smis, Wyteria V. Wingate Management Company, 22C			
	Page 106		Page 108	
1	chairs set up in the common area in front of 639	1	that I don't know how a food truck and people talking	
2	Parkway.	2	and hanging out outside would fall under 16-11-36;	
3	So I'm saying, how would that impact, in	3	right?	
4	your estimation as a security consultant, the	4	I don't understand how that could	
5	effectiveness of a no loitering sign?	5	possibly you know, I don't know any reasonable DA,	
6	A It's a wonderful question. I don't know	6	let's put it that way, that would take such a charge	
7	that I've got a great answer right now, 'cause I've	7	of loitering; right? I don't know any DAs, by the	
8	not given that any consideration. I don't know that	8	way. But if I did	
9	she would consider it loitering, because again, the	9	Q May be best to keep it that way.	
10	loitering statute is so vague, you know. I mean, it's	10	A Probably.	
11	not like I don't know. I I sense that, like,	11	Q All right. On page 16 in that last	
12	for example, in states where there is a very clear	12	sentence, the middle paragraph, it says if there was a	
13	loitering statute, it's more widely understood as to	13	subject selling food and drinks out of a truck and	
14	what loitering is and is not.	14	people came to purchase them, that would be	
15	But in Georgia, because of the absence of	15	considering loitering and/or trespassing. Is that	
16	a of a really good statute I mean, think about	16	your opinion, or are you referencing there what Kelly	
17	what it says. And I'm and I'm Googling this.	17	Young and/or Sophia Hawk and/or Cynthia Bianco	
18	16-11-36 states that a person commits the offense of	18	A Yeah, I don't have any opinions in this	
19	loitering or prowling when he is in a place or time or	19	in this unless it's after a footnote, like, "These	
20	in a manner not usual for law abiding citizens under	20	are good practices," then I don't have any opinions	
21	circumstances that warrant a justifiable reason and	21	here; right? So no, that came from them.	
22	circumstances that warrant a justifiable and	22	Q Okay. Do you have an opinion about whether	
23	reasonable alarm or immediate concern for safety of	23	or not the food van, the food truck, being in the	
24	persons or property in the vicinity.	24	parking lot at 6:45 prior to the shooting on June 30,	
25	I mean, what about what is it about a	25	2020 is a relevant issue?	
	Page 107		Page 109	
1	food truck that would cause a justifiable and	1	A I I don't see how it's a relevant issue	

2 reasonable alarm or immediate concern for the safety 3 of persons or property in the vicinity? I've got a 4 food truck place, like, half a mile up from me. I've 5 never once thought, "Oh my God, I -- I should be 6 alarmed by this"; right? 7 So I think that's the problem with -- with 8 the Georgia statute on -- on loitering. It's never 9 made any sense to me. 10 Q If, as a security consultant, you had 11 concern about security risks in a particular area, 12 then people gathering or congregating outside in that 13 area around, for example, a food van or a food truck 14 could be a concern to you; you agree? 15 A No. I mean, this is -- this is June 2020. 16 This is, like, COVID. People are supposed to be 17 outside. You know, think about what happened in LA. 18 Think about what happened in -- in Atlanta. Think 19 about what happened in New York. All the restaurant

20 seating got pushed outside and spread apart.

23 you're in a red state or blue state, it was pretty

I mean, it was pretty routine during that

22 time frame, you know, for -- regardless of whether

24 routine in that time frame to be, you know, eating

25 outside and congregating outside. What I'm saying is

2 for a couple of reasons. Number one, not all of the 3 guys that were involved in this, the five plaintiffs, 4 were there because of the food truck. Some of them --5 one of them had already gotten food and, you know, 6 delivered it back to the apartment. One of them was 7 on his way to the gas station. Secondly, the food truck was gone. And 9 thirdly, you know, whether we like it or not, we were 10 overcome by circumstances of 2020; right? I mean, we 11 suffered through what we suffered through, and things 12 kind of had to adapt to meet the needs of this virus; 13 right? So you know, there were different things going 14 on during that time frame. 15 I mean, you know, just -- I know you don't 16 want me -- you don't seem to want me to talk about 17 Mr. Ahmed's opinion, but you know, I've got evidence, 18 clear and convincing evidence, that it wasn't just 19 police departments that were suffering a shortfall in 20 staff. Security companies were suffering the same 21 thing. 22 You know, the notion of, "Oh, well can just 23 backfill with, you know, off-duty police" -- I mean, 24 off-duty -- excuse me, "on-duty security officers to 25 fill the gaps created by the shortage of APD," you

28 (Pages 106 - 109)

770.343.9696

Veritext Legal Solutions 800.808.4958

	Sins, wytera v. wingate Management Company, ELC			
	Page 110		Page 112	
1	know, there's no evidence that that would even be	1	with with an RFP is to ensure everybody's bidding	
2	possible.	2	on apples to apples. The worst thing for me to do is	
3	Yeah, the courthouse can get filled. I	3	get a cold call from a client that's trying to hire	
4	mean, they're paying a high rate. It's a long-term	4	security consultants and they don't have an RFP,	
5	contract. It's an established contract, you know. My	5	because I'm not going to be the cheapest guy, but I'm	
6	ability to staff like, I had an apartment complex	6	going to provide you with the most stuff; right?	
7	that was tiny compared to Bedford Pines, and it was	7	So I like RFPs, and I've written many, many	
8	not a scattered site. But I was trying to fill a	8	specifications on security forces. Like, literally	
9	contract for one of my clients in April, all the way	9	the specification that goes into the you know, into	
10	to November of 2020, and was completely unable to fill	10	the RFP. So the client then just attaches their terms	
11	that contract with security officers during any hours,	11	and conditions, and off it goes.	
12	no matter the number of hours I needed, or even just a	12	Q And I take it you agree that you've not seen	
13	warm body.	13	any evidence in this case that Wingate did an RFP at	
14	There were not security officers to be had	14	any point prior to June 30, 2020 for private security?	
15	in some places, on some types of properties, at	15	A No. I know they hired a private security	
16	certain rates during this time frame. I'm not saying	16	company that I think lasted like five days or	
17	no one could get a security officer. But I could	17	something.	
18	definitely see challenges here that even trying to	18	Q But as it relates to using the RFP process	
19	backfill with security officers during that time would	19	to look for private security at Bedford Pines, do you	
20	be an extraordinary challenge, no different than the	20	have any information that Wingate used the RFP	
21	situation with the APD stuff.	21	process?	
22	Q Where was the apartment complex that you	22	A No. That would be I mean, again, that	
23	were referencing that you had difficulty finding	23	would be extraordinarily rare. That's what I'm	
24	private security for?	24	saying. My 95 percent of my work even my work,	
25	A That one was in Bryan, Texas.	25	hiring a consultant, is not through an RFP. That's	
	Page 111		Page 113	
1	Q Any familiarity with apartment complexes in	1	those are typically governments doing that, or big	

Q Any familiarity with apartment complexes in 2 Atlanta, Georgia, in 2020 looking for private 3 security, being unable to find it?

A I mean, I've read in other cases of this

5 challenge, but not through direct consulting,

6 non-litigation experience. But you know, I've had a

7 number of cases that relate to 2020 crimes in Atlanta 8 and have seen testimony about this. But it's not like

9 they called me and said, "Hey, Karim, go find us a

10 security company"; right?

So I don't have direct knowledge. I've got 12 indirect knowledge from depositions and stuff from 13 cases that are now popping up from 2020.

Q In your experience as a security consultant,

16 go help us find a security company," do you have any

17 experience using the request for proposal process and 17 loitering.

18 soliciting bids from potential security company

19 providers?

20 Yes, sir. Α

Q Do you find that to be a worthwhile exercise

22 when you're shopping for private security?

23 A I find it to be a worthwhile exercise

24 because I am a very big believer in quality control.

25 And the only way that I can ensure quality controls

2 giant companies that are trying to fulfill, typically,

3 some kind of economically disadvantaged minority type

4 certification.

So when I was doing a lot of government

6 contracting, I was dealing with RFPs all the time.

7 But you know, that's not the typical method that

8 people use. Big companies, yes, more so.

Q So have I heard you correctly that if there

10 was a food van on June 30 parked in 645 Parkway

11 Drive's parking lot, attracting customers, that would

12 not be relevant to your consideration of the security

13 risks on Bedford Pines's property on June 30, 2020?

A It would only be a consideration because

15 when you're asked something like, "Hey, Mr. Vellani, 15 management testified -- or not testified, excuse me.

16 Management told me that they would consider that to be

18 Q That's the only reason it would be relevant

19 to you?

20 A Well, that's the only reason that I can

21 think of. Management doesn't like the idea of it

22 happening. That's the primary reason. But you know,

23 you're also talking about the situation where COVID's

24 going on, and having access to food was, I think, a

25 problem for all of us.

29 (Pages 110 - 113)

	Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate M	Ianagement Company, LLC
ĺ	Page 114	Page 116
	I mean, I've got to imagine it happened to	1 being redundant or duplicative. But is it your
	2 you guys, too. I mean, getting in restaurants was	2 understanding that Ms. Cooley was the first property
	3 was challenging. Getting groceries was challenging.	3 activity coordinator at Bedford Pines?
	4 Having a food truck roll up in my neighborhood would	4 A Yep. Yes, sir.
	5 thrill me in June of 2020, even if they want to charge	5 Q Is it your understanding that she was
	6 \$15 for a hot dog.	6 working generally the hours that the leasing office
	7 Q You want to take a break?	7 would have been working?
	8 A I'm I'm good with whatever you guys want.	8 A I did not ask that question, but I had no
	9 MR. BOUCHARD: Okay. Why don't we take	9 reason to believe that it was anything different than
	10 a quick break? I could use one. Thank you.	10 that. It's probably, you know, an assumption that I
	11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please stand by.	11 probably shouldn't have made, but yeah. I would
	12 The time is 3:34 p.m., and we are off the record.	12 imagine she just worked the same hours.
	13 (Off the record.)	13 Q To your knowledge, she was not working or on
	14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:40	14 the property at the time of the shooting on June 30;
	15 p.m., and we are on the record.	15 correct?
	16 BY MR. BOUCHARD:	16 A Correct.
	17 Q The last thing I wanted to ask you about	17 Q Is there a specific person who, to your
	18 page 16, Mr. Vellani, was, when you're saying things	18 knowledge, Mr. Vellani, was responsible for management
	19 like "Bedford Pines staff and/or the security team	19 of security at Bedford Pines within Wingate's team or
	20 would disperse the loiters," or "Bedford Pines staff	20 staff as of June 30?
	21 and/or the security team enforced the parking sticker	21 A I mean, I think I alluded to this earlier.
	22 requirement," you're talking about different things	22 I mean, I imagine that the buck stops with the
	23 that the Bedford Pines staff and/or security would do	23 property manager or regional property manager; right?
	24 on page 16.	24 That's usually where the buck stops when it comes to
	25 A Yes, sir.	25 those issues. But in this case, it's hard to pinpoint
İ	Page 115	Page 117
	1 Q I take it you're talking about things that	1 a specific person because they had, you know, a pretty
	2 they would do when they were working at the property,	2 specialized area and a big team with different
	3 not when they weren't working at the property; right?	3 specialties; right?

So I don't know that there is, like, one 5 single person that would be called -- you know, "I am

6 the security CEO or something," right? But I would

7 always say that, in an apartment complex, it's the

8 property manager, or their immediate supervisor.

Q So you're not saying -- and I wanted to be

10 clear about this. You're not saying that your

11 understanding is that the property activity

12 coordinator was the person responsible for managing

13 security at Bedford Pines?

A Yeah, I'm definitely not saying that.

15 Q Okay. Just wanted to be clear about that.

16 This list -- this bullet-pointed list that you have on

page 17, Mr. Vellani, does that come from a document

18 or from your conversations?

19 A No. That's from the document. That's

20 the -- that's the property activity coordinator job

21 description.

22 Q Your understanding is that those were the

23 property activity coordinator's responsibilities?

24 A Yes, sir.

25 Q And you agree with me the list doesn't say

- not when they weren't working at the property; right?
- A Correct. I don't know how dedicated they 5 are -- showing up after hours. But yeah, I meant when

6 they were on duty.

Q What is your understanding of what the

8 on-duty hours were?

A I don't know about management, but I imagine

10 whatever the leasing office hours were, and then, you

11 know, a little bit before and after. But the -- the

12 security -- Plaza was eight to four. And then I

13 think -- no, I don't think. I know Tate that said he

14 came by at night occasionally to check the lights,

15 think once a month.

Q Okay. So is it your understanding that

17 unless there was off-duty APD working on a particular

18 night or particular portion of the night, there

19 wouldn't have been anybody working at the property; 20 that fair?

21 A I think that's fair, yeah.

22 Q On page 17, you talk about the property

23 activity coordinator position. Obviously we've talked

24 about this a bit already. There are a few things I

25 wanted to just go over with you. I'll try to avoid

30 (Pages 114 - 117)

Sinis, wyteria v. wingate Management Company, LLC			
Page 118	Page 120		
1 one of the responsibilities is to notify residents and	1 in this case has testified to their awareness of crime		
2 guests of crime on or around the property?	2 in the area.		
3 A Well, you definitely wouldn't do guests.	3 Q You haven't interviewed residents as part of		
4 I've never heard of that. But if you go down to the	4 your work in this case; right?		
5 paragraph below that, it talked about, you know, that	5 A No, sir.		
6 she hosted building meetings, which which included	6 Q Obviously, from this list, it doesn't look		
7 the security issues and the house rules. And that's	7 like the property activity coordinator is responsible		
8 where she told me would discuss crime, even though,	8 for monitoring security cameras at Bedford Pines;		
9 for some reason, I didn't write that down. Maybe I	9 right?		
10 maybe I put that in a different section. But yeah.	10 A Correct.		
I mean, that's it's discussed in the	11 Q To your knowledge, there was nobody		
12 following paragraph when she talks about where I	12 performing that job as of June 30; right?		
13 break down what the building meetings were.	13 A So there has been testimony that in the		
14 Q So when you talk about the pre-June 30, 2020	14 well, I guess what they were calling the hub, or		
15 time period, the time period when I think you've	15 they had some word for it. I think it was "hub." The		
16 testified you're not sure if there had been any such	16 cameras could be monitored. But no, I'm not aware of		
17 meetings; is that fair?	17 anybody that was solely responsible for actively		
18 A Yeah. She said what she told me was that	18 monitoring the cameras during any part of the day.		
19 they were they were occurring in 2020, but they	19 That would be very, very unusual at an apartment		
20 they didn't start up really in earnest until 2021. So	20 complex.		
21 do I know that any occurred pre-2020? No. You know,	21 Q It also doesn't say here that the property		
22 2020 obviously we still had seven more months, or	22 activity coordinator is responsible for enforcing the		
23 six more months after the incident where those	23 house rules. Do you agree with that?		
24 meetings could have occurred.	24 A So I would say no. I don't agree. I don't		
25 Q Well and I think we can reasonably assume	25 think that's it doesn't say that. That's correct.		
Page 119	Page 121		
1 that if there were email traffic to the residents	1 I'll leave it at that, 'cause I don't it seems to		
2 1			

2 about a security meeting from prior to June 30, 2020,

3 it probably would have been produced in discovery.

4 But I haven't seen anything like that. I assume

5 you're not aware of anything like that?

A Yeah, I'm not aware of anything like that.

7 I'm not aware that they were done by email, though. I

8 mean, I hate to say this, but it's -- I don't know if

9 this came from the discussion, but I almost got the

10 impression that she would go to the building and

11 gather up the residents; right? I mean, these are not

12 huge buildings with a ton of residents in each

13 building.

14 So I got -- I don't know. For some reason,

15 I got -- in my mind's eye, I'm picturing, you know,

16 that she's just gathering up the residents in real

17 time. But I don't -- I don't know if she told me

18 that.

19 Q So as we sit here right now, you don't know

20 whether the property activity coordinator, Carol

21 Cooley, or somebody else at Wingate was notifying

22 residents of crime on or around the property before

23 June 30, 2020?

24 A Correct. I'll go back to what I said

25 earlier. Almost every resident that has been deposed

2 me that I -- the impression I've got is that everybody

3 that worked there would be responsible for enforcing

4 house rules.

Q It wasn't the property activity

6 coordinator's job specifically, in other words?

7 A Yeah. Correct.

Q Okay. It also doesn't say that the

9 coordinator's responsible for dispersing loiterers.

10 A Correct.

11 Q Or for overseeing nighttime security at the

12 property.

13 A Correct.

14 Or setting hours for security personnel.

15 Α Correct.

Q And you'd agree with me, Mr. Vellani, I take

17 it, that she's not carrying out her coordinator

18 responsibilities until after she's onboarded, some

19 point in the spring of 2020?

20 Yes, sir. Α

21 And you don't know how much of what's on

22 your list on page 17 -- if all of it or some part of

23 it she was doing as of June 30, 2020?

24 A Well, my understanding is this was -- well,

25 yeah. I mean, the way you've asked the question,

31 (Pages 118 - 121)

	Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC			
	Page 122	Page 124		
	1 yeah, I don't know. But I mean, it there's just	1 So that's not to say that that's the case		
	2 to give you an example, I mean, the lease terminations	2 all the time. I'm just trying to illustrate one data		
	3 would have been going on well before the position was	3 point; right? A security officer's job is largely		
	4 created. They just moved it under her. That would	4 observe and report, irrespective of whether they are		
	5 have been a function that existed beforehand.	5 armed or unarmed. So their job is still to observe		
	6 You know, we have testimony regarding the	6 the activity, document the activity, call the police		
	7 APD criminal activity and police reports. That was	7 if necessary. It doesn't just because they're		
	8 going on well before that was created. So I think	8 armed does not mean that they automatically intervene.		
	9 this is more like, take all these functions that we're	9 So that said, there are certainly times when		
	10 doing and put them under one person. I don't I	10 I have recommended armed security, and it depends on		
	11 think these activities were largely going on, except	11 the nature and frequent the nature and type of		
	12 for maybe the building meetings, beforehand under, you	12 location. I've worked on facilities like Bedford		
	13 know, various people.	13 Pines. There's a place called Hunter's Point in San		
	14 Q On page 21, you reference Plaza as the	14 Francisco where I had a client tell me that you		
	15 contracted security consulting firm say it made	15 know, spending some ungodly amount of money. I didn't		
	16 recommendations to Wingate. You see that?	16 believe him. The first thing I did when I got to the		
	17 A Yes, sir.	17 office, the leasing office, is tally up the invoices		
	18 Q Do you have an opinion as to whether or not	18 for the past 12 months, and he was right. He was		
	19 Plaza was qualified to fill the role of a contracted	19 spending an an awful lot of money.		
	20 security consulting firm for Wingate?	20 In that situation, they had eight security		
	21 A I absolutely do.	21 officers on duty at any time, and they were, like,		
	22 Q What's your opinion?	22 walking around with their arms interlocked in a ring,		
	23 A I don't know Kiernan's background. I don't	23 and just walking around like this around the property,		
	24 think I've got any evidence of Kiernan's background.	24 and it was the most ridiculous thing I'd ever seen.		
	25 But Jim Tate, who was at the property 18 to 20 hours a	25 In that situation, I was certainly in favor of them		
	Page 123	Page 125		
	1 week or what you know, some extended period of	1 being armed. That was a very unique facility, you		
	2 time, was most certainly qualified. And I looked at	2 know. But there was a big difference.		
	3 this because your expert, you know, seems to think	3 In California, apparently, or at least in		
	4 that only the CPP gets you there. I feel bad for my	4 South San Francisco where this occurred, they had the		
	5 clients for the four years that I didn't have my CPP	5 ability to be deputized by the South San Francisco		
	6 and had my business.	6 Police Department. I'm not sure what the provision in		
	7 Q Have you recommended the use of security	7 the law is that allows for that, but it actually gave		
	8 officers when consulting with apartment complexes as a	8 them police power to act, as long as they called the		
	9 security consultant?	9 police right away.		
	10 A Yes, sir.	So it was a very unique provision in the law		
	11 Q Armed or unarmed, or both?	11 that gave them that ability. They don't have that		
	12 A That largely depends on the needs of the	12 ability in Georgia. I've never heard of that in		
	13 property. You know, I'm not a fan of armed for myriad	13 Texas. Quite frankly, I've never heard about that in		
	14 liability reasons. And a lot of companies and I'll	14 any other state.		
	15 give this to you by way of example, because it's going	15 Q When you recommend you said that you'd		
	16 to sound ludicrous if I just tell you.	16 recommended private security to clients before. And I		
	17 I was at a facility in Laguna Hills,	17 assume, when we're talking about clients, we're		
	18 California, and I was talking to a security officer	18 talking about apartment complexes; is that fair?		
- 1	19 outside the facility, and I said, "If an employee's	19 A Yes, sir.		
	20. gotting the grap heat out of them incide the facility	20 O Limagina you've recommended mirrote		
	20 getting the crap beat out of them inside the facility,	20 Q I imagine you've recommended private		
	21 what are you going to do?" And his response and he	21 security for a variety of different reasons that		
	21 what are you going to do?" And his response and he 22 was armed. And his response was, "I'm calling the	21 security for a variety of different reasons that 22 depend on the circumstances and the context. But can		
	21 what are you going to do?" And his response and he	21 security for a variety of different reasons that		

32 (Pages 122 - 125)

25 to apartment complexes in the past?

25 though we're armed."

	Karım venamı June 12, 202		
	Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC		
	Page 126	Page 128	
1	-	1 COVID.	
2	2 particular crime risk at the property. So if they	2 So I don't think they want to get caught.	
- 1	3 don't have you know, like you talked about here at	3 They're not stupid, you know. I don't think they want	
- 1	Bedford Pines, if they don't have people overnight,	4 to get caught. But the likelihood of getting caught	
5	but they've got a curfew that goes in place at	5 is so slim that, you know, you can pretty much do what	
1	5 10 p.m., you know, the obvious question is, how do you	6 you want; right?	
7	enforce that curfew?	7 Q So I'm not sure I follow your answer on the	
8	So in that situation, there may be a	8 issue of is it your testimony that the likelihood	
9	recommendation not have, like, a patrol service that	9 of being caught does not deter crime?	
10	drives by like three times a night, and just kind of	10 A No, I do I do think the likelihood of	
11	clears the property if there's anybody hanging out.	11 I'm saying swift and just punishment, if, in reality,	
12	2 They're not dedicated security officers. I'm trying	12 that was a thing, that would deter crime, at least	
13	3 to think of other circumstances in apartments.	13 according to my, you know, first year criminal justice	
14	What I know and what I I think I included	14 classes.	
15	5 in my report is that the presence of and and	15 Q Do you also agree that the likelihood of	
16	5 this is this is going to sound crazy as well.	16 being caught has been proven by academic research to	
17	7 The in 200 and some odd years since Allan Pinkerton	17 actually be a more powerful deterrent to committing	
18	3 came up with the notion of private police or private	18 crime than punishment itself?	
19	security, we have not studied their effectiveness on	19 A I mean, that's that resonates with me.	
20	violent crime except for in two instances, which is	20 But I'm not aware of studies that say that one way or	
21	crazy to me. Like, what's their what's their	21 the other.	
22	2 impact on violent crime?	22 Q You agree that police and security officers	
23	That issue has not been studied other than	23 can deter crime by increasing the perception that	
24	two times. One of them is a really dumb study that	24 criminals will be caught and punished?	
25	was based on survey data, so it's not a good research	25 A Well, you're saying police and security, so	
	Page 127	Page 129	
1	design. And the other one was a very modern study	1 let's back up a second; okay? You have to separate	
2	with a very good research design. But ultimately,	2 police and security. I think they both have different	
3	B both of those concluded that security officers would	3 levels of deterrence. Number two, I can't get inside	
4	not make a difference in terms of violent crime.	4 the mind of any particular criminal to say whether	
5	5 Like, the mere presence of them is not going to deter	5 this criminal versus that criminal would be deterred.	
1	5 a violent criminal.	6 Number three, when you're talking about	
7		7 deterrence and prevention, you also have to break it	
8	B being caught does not deter crime?	8 down by crime type. The 27 studies that I cited in my	
9	,	9 report speak directly to the questions you're asking	
	by the police I mean, that's like criminal justice	10 me, and I will summarize those studies by saying that	
	101; right? I mean, deterrence theory is all based on	11 the vast majority of these studies show that police	
12	2 swift and just punishment. But as we know, swift and	12 officers do not deter or prevent violent crime. Some	
1 10	NOTE OF THE PARTY	110 . 1: 1 . 11 . 6 . 1.	

13 just punishment was not a thing pre-COVID, and it's 14 barely a thing now, and it was -- you know, was not a 15 thing during COVID; right? I mean, we had guys that 16 were being released here in Houston by -- by Harris 17 County judges.

18 And I'm not picking a political side, 'cause 19 I don't even know what politics are involved in this. 20 But judges were releasing robbers, armed robbers, onto

21 the streets, and they were committing violent acts. A

22 New Orleans police officer got killed at a very

23 high-end restaurant here in Houston, you know, because

24 there was not only no swift and just punishment, you

25 know, recently, but there definitely wasn't any during

13 studies show a mixed bag of results. For example, one of -- one of the studies 15 talks about how you can reduce non-domestic firearm 16 assaults, but you're not going to have an effect on 17 robbery; right? So both of them are violent crimes, 18 and you're going to have an effect on one, but not the 19 other. And then the last batch of studies -- I

21 think there was, like, five of them, talk about --

22 that you can reduce violent crime. And the most --

23 the best study -- the best study that shows an effect

25 but the only way you can do that is by engaging in

24 shows that you can reduce violent crime by 23 percent,

33 (Pages 126 - 129)

Veritext Legal Solutions

20

Page 130	Page 132
1 very aggressive stop-and-frisk policing.	1 security and preventing crime because it's difficult
2 I don't know if that's legal in Georgia. I	2 to set up two identical apartment complexes, one with
3 know it's not legal in Florida. But you if you	3 security or police, one without?
4 engage in very aggressive stop-and-frisk policing, you	4 A I don't agree with that. I think if you
5 can reduce violence by 23 percent, according to one	5 look at these 27 studies, the vast majority of them
6 study. That's the best case scenario. And I don't	6 use a very good research design. So it's not that
7 know how you do stop-and-frisk on dudes driving by in	7 difficult. I mean, you know, what in life that's
8 cars.	8 worth doing is not difficult. It's clearly possible,
9 Q So are you saying that the perception that	9 and that's why you're seeing more and more of these
10 criminals will be caught and punished is a deterrent	10 studies nowadays.
11 or is not a deterrent, based on your understanding of	11 Q So you don't agree that it's difficult to
12 the academic literature?	12 study and come to conclusive, reliable determinations?
13 A I don't I don't really answer questions	13 A I mean, it's not as easy as making a ham
14 on deterrence, because that is something that's about	14 sandwich. I mean, it's not as difficult as solving,
15 the mind of the criminal; right? So I don't really	15 you know, a war in the Middle East. It's it's
16 have an answer for this. I mean, my the answers	16 somewhere in the middle there. I don't know how to
17 that I've given you thus far hearken back to literally	17 answer your question. I mean, "difficult" is kind of
18 my first year of, you know, criminal justice.	18 a subjective term. It is clearly possible to do it.
So I don't really have a professional	19 Q Have you personally conducted any such
20 opinion today as to whether, you know, a criminal	20 studies?
21 would be deterred or not deterred. What I can talk	21 A Not that I have published, and not using,
22 about is prevention, and I can talk about these	22 like, a randomized control well, I mean, I have
23 studies. But I don't really have a deterrence	23 done randomized control trials for clients, so I guess
24 opinion.	24 the answer is yes. But not published, like, in a
25 Q Do you have an opinion as to whether or not	25 peer-reviewed journal, 'cause that's not my objective
Page 131	Page 133

1 research shows that the use of police and/or security
2 officers as sentinels in crime hot spots is an
3 effective crime prevention strategy?
4 A So that's what I just talked about. So
5 you're saying crime prevention. I'm talking about
6 violent crime prevention. So -- and I don't know what
7 the word -- where the word "sentinel" is coming from
8 What I'm -- you know, it sounds like you're talking
9 about one study. I'm laying out the 27 studies, and
10 if you want me to give you the details of each one,
11 I'm happy to do that.
12 But these 27 studies, if I remember

10 if you want me to give you the details of each one,
11 I'm happy to do that.
12 But these 27 studies, if I remember
13 correctly, about 15 or 16 of them say that uniformed
14 personnel doing foot or vehicle patrols in small areas
15 such as a "hotspot," a block, an apartment complex,
16 are going to have no effect on violent crime. The
17 small -- a second, smaller batch of studies is going
18 to show mixed results. Like, you're going to stop
19 non-domestic firearm assaults, but not robberies.
20 And then the third batch, which is like five
21 or six studies, is going to show, you know, small
22 amounts of impact, the best case being 23 percent, by
23 engaging in stop-and-frisk policing.

Q Do you agree with me that it's difficult to

25 study the effectiveness of police and/or private

1 in life. 2 Q Are you aware of any research about the 3 effectiveness of notifications to residents of 4 apartment complexes as it relates to reducing the risk 5 of people, either residents or guests on the premises 6 of the apartment complex, being victimized by crime? A So like I said, we -- me -- me and three 8 other consultants and this researcher from Rice are 9 actively engaged in studying and looking at the 10 research on these issues. I am not personally aware 11 of any studies that look at this, but they all fall 12 under the general category of risk communication; 13 right? 14 So when you're talking about risk 15 communication, it's not about the notices. It's 16 about, what do the people ultimately know -- the 17 residents ultimately know? So if they know about 18 crime because they've been victimized before, or they 19 know about crime because they heard the gunshots, and 20 they know about the crime because they watched the ten 21 o'clock news, that's the point. 22 It's not specifically about the notices.

23 It's about communicating risk. And if they are aware

24 of risk -- and every deposition I read yesterday, they

25 all seem to have an awareness of risk. That's kind of

34 (Pages 130 - 133)

Page 134 Page 136 1 the deposition testimony of, you know, the people that 1 the point behind that. It's not that -- you know, 2 it's not the notice in and of itself that's a problem. 2 were involved here. I mean, including some of the 3 It's communicating that risk. 3 plaintiffs who were aware of crime in the area. Q So is it your opinion that if a resident of Q Well -- and that's what I was getting at a 5 Bedford Pines was generally aware of there being crime 5 few questions back was, do you agree that, from a 6 in the area, but not specifically aware of there being 6 notice perspective, as a security consultant, there's 7 three drive-by shootings in the last 90 days, that a 7 a difference between saying, "Hey, there's crime in 8 risk would be unnecessary -- I'm sorry, a notification 8 the area," and saying, "In the last 90 days, we've had 9 would be unnecessary, a waste of time, because, hey, 9 three drive-by shootings on this block. Please be 10 they already generally know about crime in the area? 10 aware as you go to and from your car to the building A So when you're communicating risk to a 11 and are otherwise in common areas"? 12 potential target or victim, you're doing it so they 12 A I -- I don't -- I don't know -- I mean, 13 can take precautions. Everybody that I recall 13 that's -- that's a great question. But I think the 14 reviewing in the last couple of days alluded to an 14 only way I can give you -- respond to you is -- if you 15 awareness or explicitly stated that they were aware of 15 had something like, "Hey, we've noticed a lot of car 16 crime in the area. Now, the notice doesn't cause --16 break-ins. Make sure you take your valuables out of 17 the -- the absence of a notice is not the problem. 17 view in the car," that would be very specific, right, 18 It's the absence of awareness that's a problem. 18 based on the crime. There is no absence of awareness, based on 19 If you're -- if you're already generally 20 the depositions that I've reviewed. Now, I'll give 20 aware of, you know, violent crimes in the area because 21 you an exception to that. If, for example, they all 21 you've got family there, you've lived there, you live 22 as an unauthorized resident there -- if you already 22 of a sudden had this string of catalytic converter 23 thefts, you might want to alert people about that, 23 have that awareness, I don't know what a notice is 24 'cause maybe they go out and spend \$180 and buy those 24 going to do. Like, how does that change your 25 shields that were, you know, out of stock during the 25 behavior? Page 137

Page 135

1

1 catalytic converter theft season that we experienced a

2 couple of years ago, you know. So you've got to be able to take some 4 precaution. And with the catalytic converter stuff, 5 you know, you can't really take precautions against 6 that that make any sense. So I don't know what the -7 I like the notices. Don't get me wrong. I recommend 8 that my clients do the notices. But if -- if the 9 people already have an awareness of crime, I'm not 10 sure what changes with the notice; right? And the notice becomes cumbersome because 12 you have to validate the crime. You can't just send

14 which they were getting, you know. But you have to 15 get the notice -- you have to get the police report to 16 validate that the crime occurred before you send the 17 notice.

13 out a notice. You have to get the police report,

Q Unless you survey the residents, obviously 19 you're not going to know, as an apartment complex 20 property manager, what residents know or don't know 21 about crime in the area.

A I fully agree with that, 100 percent agree 23 with that. From the property manager perspective, 24 you're 100 percent right. How would they know? But 24 because of that knowledge? And management can't

25 we don't -- we're not in that situation. We've got

The purpose of the notice or the risk

2 communication is to create awareness to hopefully

3 change behavior; right? And I think most of the

4 people that testified -- and one of the step-brothers

5 or brothers of one of these guys said, you know, "I 6 have already -- I'd already told him not to be hanging

7 outside." I think multiple people testified to that.

Q And so is it your testimony that, you know,

9 if somebody who lived at the property some time ago

10 says that, that's equivalent to the management company

11 that has day-to-day management responsibilities at the

12 property notifying residents?

A So let's go back. Number one, the standard 14 of care does not require notices. I think they're a

15 good idea; okay? And I certainly recommend them to

16 clients. But they're not the standard of care.

17 Number two, if people have an awareness, it doesn't

18 really matter where that awareness comes from. It can

19 come from management. It can come from grandma. It

20 can come from the ten o'clock news, as long as they

21 have the awareness.

22 But where the rubber meets the road is, do 23 they take action? Do they -- do they change behaviors

25 control that; right? I can't force someone to not go

35 (Pages 134 - 137)

	Karım Vellanı June 12, 202		
Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LL			agement Company, LLC
	Page 138		Page 140
1	outside, or to lock their iPad up in the car, or	1	A Well, there's nothing in that paragraph that
	whatever they're doing; right? I can't that's not	2	says anything about anybody being engaged in gang
	management's role to change that behavior.		activity. This is an this is an opinion based on
4	Q On page 22 of Exhibit 1, you say in the		research. So it's not about Belknap.
5	third paragraph on that page about halfway through	5	Q That's what I thought you were going to say.
	the third paragraph, "APD off-duty police officers	6	I'm just confirming that. When you say in the fourth
7	would generally stay in areas where people tended to	7	line, "Gang affiliation increases an individual's risk
8	congregate or there was a history of problems." You	8	of victimization," what do you mean by "increases an
9	see that?		individual's risk of victimization"?
10	A Yes, sir.	10	A So when these randomized control trials
11	Q Do you agree with that strategy as it	11	and it's based on three studies. When they were doing
12	relates to crime prevention?	12	these studies, they were looking at different
13	A I like the idea of doing that. There's tons	13	populations to determine what their involvement with
14	and tons and tons of research on what's called	14	violence was, and one of the correlating factors was
15	hotspots policing that shows that it's an effective	15	gang affiliation. So those kind of like we were
16	strategy. So I do like that. I do the same thing,	16	talking about security officers and whether it has an
17	you know, when I do a crime analysis for my clients is	17	effect, and comparing it to, you know, another
18	I identify, like, where on the property the crimes are	18	apartment complex; right? Those are randomized
19	occurring. And for my bigger clients, I identify	19	control trials.
20	which of their properties is is the problem; right?	20	So what you're looking at here is, you know,
21	And then I always say to focus on the	21	if you are you know, this group that's affiliated
22	high the high quantitatively, I tell them to	22	with gangs is getting into getting violently
23	focus on the stuff that's above the high threshold	23	victimized at a rate of 284 percent compared to the
24	line; right? And then when they've got all those	24	other group that's not affiliated with gangs. But you
25	problems solved, then focus on the stuff that's above	25	know, the studies are there, and I'm happy to send
	Page 139		Page 141
1	average, and don't worry about the stuff that's below	1	them if you want to read the underlying data.
2	average. So yes, this I like this strategy.	2	Q And so have those studies asked the question
3	Q And if there's not off-duty police available	3	whether or not young boys and men who grow up in
4	to do hotspot policing, have you recommended to	4	Section 8 housing in a disadvantaged community are
5	clients in the past that they devote private security	5	also at an increased risk of victimization?
6	resources to their hot spots?	6	A There are studies on that, but I that's
7	A I I do. But again, we're still	7	not in those three, I don't think. I mean, that's a
	constrained by a couple things here, and I and	8	separate research question.
	I'm and I'm going to be blunt about this, and no	9	Q And do you know
	offense to apparently an APD officer who's pretty high	10	A I assume it's true, but
	ranking now. But you know, as you read through the	11	Q Do you know whether or not that's true or
	testimony, it becomes pretty evident that, you know,	12	not true?
13	the coordinator that was assigned to Bedford Pines was	13	A Yeah, I would suspect it's true. I mean,

14 not successful even before COVID at getting the

15 officers there; right? But as soon as Anaya comes in, 16 problem solved.

17 Now, was the problem solved because COVID's 18 over, or largely subsided, or is it the person that --

19 that was the problem; right? So there was testimony,

20 you know, regarding Anaya being able to solve problems

21 that Vayens wasn't able to.

Q I want to go to your opinions, Mr. Vellani.

23 Is your first opinion, opinion number 1, based on

24 Detective Belknap's report or information provided by

25 Detective Belknap?

14 I've read -- I've -- you know, ancillary read

15 victimization studies. I don't spend a ton of time on

16 that stuff. But you know, I've -- I've done some

17 ancillary reading on that in undergrad and grad

18 school, and, you know, even in modern times, you know,

19 and know that to be true. But I don't know the rates

20 for that.

21 Q And you don't know how that compares to the

22 rate for gang affiliation increasing an individual's

23 risk?

24 A Correct. I mean, the -- the notion of

25 comparing the results of these studies with non-gang

36 (Pages 138 - 141)

	Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC					
Page 142			Page 144			
1	Section 8 housing alone, I don't know if any study	1	this paper and adding a fourth category of violence,			
2	like that has been done. It would be very interesting	2	so we'll fix that. But it basically the idea there			
3	to see if it had been. Tell Dr. Gray to send it to	3	is that there's the the constant retaliation,			
4	me.	4	ongoing disputes, that kind of stuff. It's not			
5	Q And so has in your opinion, you know, if	5	like it's not playground fights. That's not what I			
6	you're trying to assess gang affiliation and what that	6	mean.			
7	means if you grow up in Section 8 housing living	7	Q And so do you have any information,			
8	next door to a gang member, and you play on a	8	Mr. Vellani, about how, for a child, adolescent,			
9	basketball team with a gang member, and you go to	9	teenager, young man, who grew up in Section 8 housing			
10	school with a gang member, and you go to a birthday	10	in the Old Fourth Ward neighborhood, that would impact			
11	party for the gang member, photographed with the gang	11	the likelihood of serious injuries from fighting?			
12	member, are you a gang affiliate because you grew up	12	A No, 'cause it's way too specific. I don't			
13	in Section 8 housing under those circumstances?	13	have such information.			
14	A It's a very good question, a very detailed	14	Q Okay. And so if what I'm trying to			
15	question, and one I'd have to go back and look at the	15	understand is, are you able to compare well, gang			
16	research to know the answer to that. I don't have a	16	affiliation increases it by this much, but merely			
17	personal opinion on that.	17	growing up in a certain kind of neighborhood where			
18	Q You certainly wouldn't opine that somebody	18	there may be gang members in your proximity through no			
19	who grew up under the circumstances I just described	19	choice of your own, but through virtue of where you're			
20	and engaged in the conduct I just described was	20	born in this world. Do you have any understanding of			
21	necessarily a gang "affiliate," would you?	21	how that risk changes or is different?			
22	A No. The way I would the way I the way	22	A Again, it's you asked me this before.			
23	I'm understanding the research and I'd again,	23	It's a great question. I have seen studies. I cannot			
24	I'd have to go back and look at the way they defined	24	quote them to you. I'm not relying on such studies.			
25	these terms. I mean, it's mostly your I mean, you	25 It is a great question.				
	Page 143		Page 145			
1	know, if you talk in 1990s mafia terms, you can have	1	Q Does gang affiliation increase an			
2	the made guys, and then you can have the associates;		individual's risk of being shot in a drive-by			
3	right?	3	shooting?			
4	So the made guys are the ones that are the	4	A That's pretty specific, so I'm not sure of			
	gang members, and the associates are the ones that	5	any study. I mean, I can only give you that it sounds			
6	just hang out and commit crimes and assist in the		reasonable. But you know, your your proposition			
7	crime commission with the gang members. That's the	7	there sounds reasonable. But I'm not seeing data to			
8	way I'm I'm understanding the word "affiliation."		support that. All I can go back to is, when I look at			
	It's not just that you happen to be friends with the		these big giant databases of crime, like the Dallas			
	guy, or play basketball with him, or grew up next door		open data portal the Atlanta one may do it too.			
11	to him.		But when you look at those big giant databases, you			
12	Q When it says in the same paragraph, opinion		will see oftentimes drive-by as a subcategory. You			
	1, "Gang affiliation increased the odds of serious		will see gang affiliation as a subcategory; right?			
	injuries from fighting by 284 percent," what do you	14	So I've seen data on that issue. Have I			
15	mean by "fighting"?		seen it specifically for Bedford Pines? Have I seen			
16	A Yeah. You know, it's an interesting thing,	16	it specifically for Atlanta? Maybe Atlanta.			

17 because we've got to change that word, 'cause that's

18 actually not the word that's used in the underlying

19 study. It's -- it's -- it was Christine's term about,

20 you know, engaging with other gang members; right?

21 That's -- there's probably a clearer way to say it.

22 It's not like, you know, we're talking about a

23 schoolyard fight. That's not what we meant with this.

24 It was probably not the best word choice.

25 We're actually in the middle of revising 17 Definitely not Bedford Pines. But yes, I've seen that

18 correlation in data. I've never seen it, like,

19 necessarily in a study.

20 Q I take it, then, that's not an opinion

21 you're offering in this case?

22 A No, sir.

23 Q Same question, but changing gang affiliation

24 for gang membership. Does that increase an

25 individual's risk of being shot in a drive-by

37 (Pages 142 - 145)

Veritext Legal Solutions 800.808.4958 770.343.9696

	Shins, wyteria v. wingate Management Company, EDC				
	Page 146		Page 148		
1	shooting?	1	innocent bystander. But I don't have a study that I		
2	A Same answer. I mean, I think I think	2	can cite to.		
3	you know, it's not like this magic thing where you	3	Q Is that an opinion you intend to provide?		
4	know absolutely know someone's in a gang versus	4	A I haven't provided that in my report, so the		
5	not. A lot of times, they get put into the gang	5	answer is no. You'll only you'll elicit it.		
6	database based on they either admit it to the	6	You're eliciting a whole lot of opinions out of me.		
7	police or something, or they have numerous signs	7	Mr. Dial may do the same thing; right? But it's not		
8	correlated with gang membership.	8	in my report.		
9	So I don't think it makes a difference	9	Q Is it your opinion that people shot in a		
10	whether it's gang membership or gang affiliation.	10	drive-by are more likely than not shot because they're		
11	That's not a distinction I'm drawing. That's not a	11	associated with a gang?		
12	that's not a distinction the research draws.	12	A No, that's I don't I I wouldn't		
13	Q Is it your opinion or do you have an	13	even know where to begin with studying that issue.		
14	opinion, Mr. Vellani, about whether or not drive-by	14	It's really specific.		
15	shootings are preventable or not preventable?	15	Q Think we talked about this a little bit		
16	A I mean, if you take all the cars away, or	16	already, but because I think you explained that at		
17	you take all the guns away, they're preventable;	17	least some of the language that's in opinion 2 on page		
18	right? The question is, I'm assuming, reasonably	18	31 appears earlier in your report. Do you agree with		
19	preventable. You can't put up bullet-resistant	19	that?		
20	barriers, so I don't know how you prevent it.	20	A Yes, sir.		
21	You know, I don't know if you ever read the	21	Q And I think we discussed already that, at		
22	book Talking to Strangers by Malcolm Gladwell. He	22	least in part, opinion 2 is based at least in part on		
23	talks about basically this issue; right? About	23	the expert report of Detective Mark A. Belknap. Do		
24	getting illegal guns off the street. I don't know	24	you agree with that?		
25	that there were illegal guns involved in this, or	25	A I I mean, I don't specifically think		
	Page 147		Page 149		
Ι.	1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	١.	1 mg 1 1 /		

2 footnoted in that section. Q Okay. I want to talk about the sources

4 other than Detective Belknap's report that you're 5 relying on for opinion 2. Setting aside his report,

6 what information is opinion 2 based upon?

7 The various testimony, which I cannot cite 8 to you which -- all the depositions. I mean, but

9 there was all the questioning throughout the

10 depositions about the gang activity. There was also,

11 ultimately, the police reports that talked about --

12 that categorized it as gang-related. So that's no

13 different than the answer I gave you before.

Q And I take it your understanding is the

15 police report was filled out on or soon after the June 16 30th event, the four-page police report that you're

17 referring to?

18 A I mean, yeah. I mean, again -- "assume"

19 being a subjective word -- but yes.

20 Q To your knowledge, nobody's been charged or 21 arrested for the June 30th shooting; is that correct?

22 A Yes, sir.

23 Q You don't have any independent knowledge of 24 who did it or who you think did it?

25 A No, sir.

1 whether they were, you know, licensed guns. But you 1 that -- I mean, part of it is. Yes. It's certainly 2 know, is there a way to prevent it? Sure. Is there a

3 way to reasonably prevent it? I don't know. I don't

4 know what it is.

Q I think we covered this earlier, so forgive 6 me if it's duplicative. But I understand that you do 7 not have an opinion about whether people shot in 8 drive-by shootings are more likely than not targets of 9 the drive-by shootings; is that correct?

10 A I mean, I would tend to think -- again, I

11 don't know -- I'm not sure how to answer this. I

12 mean, it's not like we have news story after news

13 story after news story about "Random person gets

14 struck in drive-by." We have stories about it.

15 That's not the overwhelming majority of the stories; 16 right?

17 Any data that I've seen on this, all the

18 police reports that I've read on drive-by shootings,

19 the vast majority of them involve the person that was

20 targeted. Now, some of them do involve people that

21 were not targeted; right? The innocent bystanders.

22 That does happen.

23 But the vast majority of the police reports

24 that I've ever read regarding a drive-by specifically,

25 you know, involve the target being struck, not an

38 (Pages 146 - 149)

770.343.9696

Page 150 Page 152 Q Setting aside Detective Belknap's report, 1 1 using off-duty police. Do you agree with that 2 you don't know why the shooting was perpetrated on 2 recommendation from Plaza, or do you not have an 3 June 30, 2020. Is that fair? 3 opinion about it? A Correct. That's the only source of that A I think -- I think any of us, you know, 5 information. 5 Ahmed, Groussman, or me, we're Monday morning Q Is it your opinion that Wingate did not know 6 quarterbacking on this issue. I generally agree with 7 that gangs operated in and around Bedford Pines and 7 it. I get the logic behind it. I -- again, there 8 that that was a security risk in and around Bedford 8 are -- you know, there are -- like I told you about 9 Pines? 9 Hunter's Point in South San Francisco, you know, those 10 A So I'm pretty sure that that is in the 10 security officers were deputized by the police 11 testimony that they were aware of that. And again, 11 department. That made sense to me in that kind of an 12 that's why they had rules about off-lease tenants. 12 environment. 13 That's why they had criminal trespass citations. 13 This -- by the way, this -- this property in 14 That's why they try to identify and give lease 14 Bryan -- it was actually in Hurst, Texas, which is, 15 violations to unauthorized residents. I mean, a 15 you know, a little tiny town next to Bryan. Even the 16 property management company's got to do what's 16 police department was scared to respond to that 17 reasonable; right? 17 apartment complex, which is crazy to me; right? Like, And one of the biggest things that they 18 literally the craziest thing I'd ever heard. They had 19 do -- two of the biggest things, in my opinion, that 19 eight fugitives that were there, with warrants. They 20 they do is establish rules for who can live there, 20 still wouldn't go there and get them; right? So 21 conduct the criminal background checks on the 21 the -- the apartment management got stuck dealing with 22 people -- on the prospective residents. And then 22 them. That's the property that I couldn't get 23 number two is identify, to the best of their ability, 23 security officers for. 24 and managing the problem of off-lease tenants. 24 So to some degree, what we're doing is 25 And that is the -- one of the biggest 25 Monday morning quarterbacking. Having said that, I do Page 151 Page 153 1 agree with it. I do understand why you would do that

1 problems in property management, apartment property

2 management, from a security perspective. But

3 nobody -- and trust me, I have this conversation with

4 property managers all the time. I'm like, "Identify

5 for me all the ways you identify unauthorized

6 residents." Some of them could be gang members. Some

7 of them -- most of them are not. But some of them are

8 gang members; right?

So identify for me all the methods you have.

10 And nobody has a foolproof method. What you can do is

11 establish rules, and then cite people when they --

12 when it comes to your attention that they've broken

13 the rules. And that's exactly what Bedford Pines was

14 doing.

15 I wish there was a foolproof method of doing

16 it. I've developed one. It's not a reasonable

17 measure. But you can put up facial recognition

18 cameras everywhere, and that would probably work,

19 until they shoot the cameras out, I guess, or put gum 20 on them.

Q Opinion 3 -- is opinion 3 on page 31 based

22 at all on Detective Belknap's report?

23 A Not at all.

24 Q I wanted to ask you about Plaza's

25 recommendation that you reference here regarding only

2 in a -- in a situation like this where you cannot

3 deputize the police -- I mean, the security officers.

4 And I also understand the substantial constraints on

5 unarmed -- I mean, I'm sorry, on armed security

6 officers. Either their company will still only allow

7 them to -- to work and observe in report mode. If

8 they can, meaning, unless there's, like, imminent

9 threat to life.

10 So -- and the huge liability risk that armed 11 security companies take. And I don't think unarmed

12 security was the right approach.

13 Q You don't think they would have been

14 effective?

15 A Effective at what; right? Like, effective 16 at -- at pushing bad actors who had a criminal

17 trespass from the police off the property? No,

18 they're not going to be effective against that.

Q What about effective at creating the

20 perception on the behalf of criminals in the area that

21 the risk of getting caught or apprehended is higher?

22 A You're going back to the deterrence stuff;

23 right? I mean, that's not -- that is so perpetrator

24 specific. It's ultimately -- you know, to me, that's

25 a criminal profiling opinion, and I'm not a criminal

39 (Pages 150 - 153)

Veritext Legal Solutions 800.808.4958 770.343.9696

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC			
Page 154	Page 156		
1 profiler. I can't get inside the mind of the bad	1 talk about Mr. Ahmed. We will get to Mr. Ahmed. I		
2 actors. We don't even know who the bad actors are.	2 don't know why you're I haven't said that, so I'm		
3 I mean, as a general proposition, that's	3 happy to talk about that in a few minutes. But my		
4 kind of what I was taught in criminal justice 101;	4 question to you there was not about Mr. Ahmed. It		
5 right? But the way you're asking it and the way it	5 was, what do you consider the standard of care to be?		
6 would be relevant to this case, in my mind, that's a	6 And you have answered that. So I think I understand		
7 criminal profiling opinion. And I'm not I don't	7 your answer.		
8 think any of none of the experts involved in this	8 You've used throughout your report, on pages		
9 case are criminal profilers. And we have no profile	9 18, 21, and 31, the term "standard of care." Does it		
10 of of the criminal.	10 mean the same thing in all three places, what you just		
11 Q When you say in opinion 3 here that the	11 defined it to mean?		
12 Bedford Pines security program consisted of a	12 A That's a great that's a great question.		
13 multi-pronged approach to mitigating security risk, is	13 You're making me question myself. Hang on a second.		
14 that what you've outlined in your report previously,	14 Eighteen and what?		
15 or is there anything else you're referring to there?	15 Q Page 18, page 21, and then page 31.		
16 A No. This this is all about this	16 A Yeah. I mean, yes. Yes.		
17 opinion is all about the the crime prevention	17 Q So I guess to clarify and make sure I've		
18 section. So it's three buckets.	18 understood correctly, setting aside your experience on		
19 Q Understood. When you refer to Wingate	19 the ground with 500 to 1,000 apartment complexes as		
20 meeting "the applicable standard of care relating to	20 you described, and/or interviewing property managers,		
21 security," in opinion 3, what are you referring to as	21 I heard you say that as it relates to memorialized,		
22 the standard of care?	22 documented standards or guidelines I heard you		
23 A So I have analyzed, you know, somewhere	23 reference the National Apartment Association. I heard		
24 between I wish I had a more specific number, but	24 you say ASIS is not a valid standard for apartment		
25 somewhere between 500 and 1,000 apartment complexes;	25 complexes.		
25 somewhere between 500 and 1,000 apartment complexes; Page 155			
Page 155	Page 157		
Page 155 1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or  6 whatever.	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS for if you adopt it if they adopt it, for example, the		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or  6 whatever.  7 So my development of the standard of care is	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS figure you adopt it if they adopt it, for example, the 2024 security risk assessment standard, or if they		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or  6 whatever.  7 So my development of the standard of care is  8 based on the huge amount of experience that I have as	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS if you adopt it if they adopt it, for example, the 2024 security risk assessment standard, or if they adopted the 2015 risk assessment standard, or they		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or  6 whatever.  7 So my development of the standard of care is  8 based on the huge amount of experience that I have as  9 boots-on-the-ground consultant at these apartment	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS if you adopt it if they adopt it, for example, the 2024 security risk assessment standard, or if they adopted the 2015 risk assessment standard, or they adopted the 2003 general security risk assessment		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or  6 whatever.  7 So my development of the standard of care is  8 based on the huge amount of experience that I have as  9 boots-on-the-ground consultant at these apartment  10 complexes. I know you don't seem to want to talk	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS figure adopt it if they adopt it, for example, the 2024 security risk assessment standard, or if they adopted the 2015 risk assessment standard, or they adopted the 2003 general security risk assessment guideline, then you can hold them accountable to it,		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or  6 whatever.  7 So my development of the standard of care is  8 based on the huge amount of experience that I have as  9 boots-on-the-ground consultant at these apartment  10 complexes. I know you don't seem to want to talk  11 about Mr. Ahmed, but ASIS does not establish the	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS if you adopt it if they adopt it, for example, the 2024 security risk assessment standard, or if they adopted the 2015 risk assessment standard, or they adopted the 2003 general security risk assessment guideline, then you can hold them accountable to it, lokay, because that's the purpose of it. Like, "We are		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or  6 whatever.  7 So my development of the standard of care is  8 based on the huge amount of experience that I have as  9 boots-on-the-ground consultant at these apartment  10 complexes. I know you don't seem to want to talk  11 about Mr. Ahmed, but ASIS does not establish the  12 standard of care for the apartment business. They  13 don't even they barely establish the standard of  14 care for the security business.	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS if you adopt it if they adopt it, for example, the 2024 security risk assessment standard, or if they adopted the 2015 risk assessment standard, or they adopted the 2003 general security risk assessment guideline, then you can hold them accountable to it, okay, because that's the purpose of it. Like, "We are adopting this, and now come hold us come audit us";		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or  6 whatever.  7 So my development of the standard of care is  8 based on the huge amount of experience that I have as  9 boots-on-the-ground consultant at these apartment  10 complexes. I know you don't seem to want to talk  11 about Mr. Ahmed, but ASIS does not establish the  12 standard of care for the apartment business. They  13 don't even they barely establish the standard of	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS if you adopt it if they adopt it, for example, the 2024 security risk assessment standard, or if they adopted the 2015 risk assessment standard, or they adopted the 2003 general security risk assessment guideline, then you can hold them accountable to it, hokay, because that's the purpose of it. Like, "We are adopting this, and now come hold us come audit us"; Tright? Then you can hold them accountable.		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or  6 whatever.  7 So my development of the standard of care is  8 based on the huge amount of experience that I have as  9 boots-on-the-ground consultant at these apartment  10 complexes. I know you don't seem to want to talk  11 about Mr. Ahmed, but ASIS does not establish the  12 standard of care for the apartment business. They  13 don't even they barely establish the standard of  14 care for the security business.	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS if you adopt it if they adopt it, for example, the 2024 security risk assessment standard, or if they adopted the 2015 risk assessment standard, or they adopted the 2003 general security risk assessment guideline, then you can hold them accountable to it, hokay, because that's the purpose of it. Like, "We are adopting this, and now come hold us come audit us"; right? Then you can hold them accountable.  Other than that, you have to go with what		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or  6 whatever.  7 So my development of the standard of care is  8 based on the huge amount of experience that I have as  9 boots-on-the-ground consultant at these apartment  10 complexes. I know you don't seem to want to talk  11 about Mr. Ahmed, but ASIS does not establish the  12 standard of care for the apartment business. They  13 don't even they barely establish the standard of  14 care for the security business.  15 So you've got to go based on, what do other  16 reasonable apartment complex managers do? And that is  17 what I am basing my opinion on. Further, some of this	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS if you adopt it if they adopt it, for example, the 2024 security risk assessment standard, or if they adopted the 2015 risk assessment standard, or they adopted the 2003 general security risk assessment guideline, then you can hold them accountable to it, hokay, because that's the purpose of it. Like, "We are adopting this, and now come hold us come audit us"; right? Then you can hold them accountable.  Other than that, you have to go with what their industry. So what primarily exists in their industry is whatever governance is there with respect to NAA, which I hate to say doesn't provide a		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or  6 whatever.  7 So my development of the standard of care is  8 based on the huge amount of experience that I have as  9 boots-on-the-ground consultant at these apartment  10 complexes. I know you don't seem to want to talk  11 about Mr. Ahmed, but ASIS does not establish the  12 standard of care for the apartment business. They  13 don't even they barely establish the standard of  14 care for the security business.  15 So you've got to go based on, what do other  16 reasonable apartment complex managers do? And that is	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS if you adopt it if they adopt it, for example, the 2024 security risk assessment standard, or if they adopted the 2015 risk assessment standard, or they adopted the 2003 general security risk assessment guideline, then you can hold them accountable to it, key we are adopting this, and now come hold us come audit us"; right? Then you can hold them accountable.  Other than that, you have to go with what their industry. So what primarily exists in their industry is whatever governance is there with		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or  6 whatever.  7 So my development of the standard of care is  8 based on the huge amount of experience that I have as  9 boots-on-the-ground consultant at these apartment  10 complexes. I know you don't seem to want to talk  11 about Mr. Ahmed, but ASIS does not establish the  12 standard of care for the apartment business. They  13 don't even they barely establish the standard of  14 care for the security business.  15 So you've got to go based on, what do other  16 reasonable apartment complex managers do? And that is  17 what I am basing my opinion on. Further, some of this	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS if you adopt it if they adopt it, for example, the 2024 security risk assessment standard, or if they adopted the 2015 risk assessment standard, or they adopted the 2003 general security risk assessment guideline, then you can hold them accountable to it, lokay, because that's the purpose of it. Like, "We are adopting this, and now come hold us come audit us"; right? Then you can hold them accountable.  Other than that, you have to go with what exists in their industry. So what primarily exists in their industry is whatever governance is there with respect to NAA, which I hate to say doesn't provide a ton of documentation. Like, Texas is phenomenal at		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or  6 whatever.  7 So my development of the standard of care is  8 based on the huge amount of experience that I have as  9 boots-on-the-ground consultant at these apartment  10 complexes. I know you don't seem to want to talk  11 about Mr. Ahmed, but ASIS does not establish the  12 standard of care for the apartment business. They  13 don't even they barely establish the standard of  14 care for the security business.  15 So you've got to go based on, what do other  16 reasonable apartment complex managers do? And that is  17 what I am basing my opinion on. Further, some of this  18 stuff is documented in, like, National Apartment  19 Association, which you could compare them to that.  20 Like, are they meeting what the NAA says about, you	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS if you adopt it if they adopt it, for example, the 2024 security risk assessment standard, or if they adopted the 2015 risk assessment standard, or they adopted the 2003 general security risk assessment guideline, then you can hold them accountable to it, lokay, because that's the purpose of it. Like, "We are adopting this, and now come hold us come audit us"; right? Then you can hold them accountable.  Other than that, you have to go with what sexists in their industry. So what primarily exists in their industry is whatever governance is there with respect to NAA, which I hate to say doesn't provide a ton of documentation. Like, Texas is phenomenal at this, okay, and I don't I'm not trying to brag on Texas, 'cause I'm trying to get out of here. It's too		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or  6 whatever.  7 So my development of the standard of care is  8 based on the huge amount of experience that I have as  9 boots-on-the-ground consultant at these apartment  10 complexes. I know you don't seem to want to talk  11 about Mr. Ahmed, but ASIS does not establish the  12 standard of care for the apartment business. They  13 don't even they barely establish the standard of  14 care for the security business.  15 So you've got to go based on, what do other  16 reasonable apartment complex managers do? And that is  17 what I am basing my opinion on. Further, some of this  18 stuff is documented in, like, National Apartment  19 Association, which you could compare them to that.  20 Like, are they meeting what the NAA says about, you  21 know, apartment management and security for apartment	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS if you adopt it if they adopt it, for example, the 2024 security risk assessment standard, or if they adopted the 2015 risk assessment standard, or they adopted the 2003 general security risk assessment guideline, then you can hold them accountable to it, key we are adopting this, and now come hold us come audit us"; right? Then you can hold them accountable.  Other than that, you have to go with what sexists in their industry. So what primarily exists in their industry is whatever governance is there with respect to NAA, which I hate to say doesn't provide a ton of documentation. Like, Texas is phenomenal at this, okay, and I don't I'm not trying to brag on Texas, 'cause I'm trying to get out of here. It's too		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or  6 whatever.  7 So my development of the standard of care is  8 based on the huge amount of experience that I have as  9 boots-on-the-ground consultant at these apartment  10 complexes. I know you don't seem to want to talk  11 about Mr. Ahmed, but ASIS does not establish the  12 standard of care for the apartment business. They  13 don't even they barely establish the standard of  14 care for the security business.  15 So you've got to go based on, what do other  16 reasonable apartment complex managers do? And that is  17 what I am basing my opinion on. Further, some of this  18 stuff is documented in, like, National Apartment  19 Association, which you could compare them to that.  20 Like, are they meeting what the NAA says about, you  21 know, apartment management and security for apartment  22 management; right? That makes sense to me. But	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS if you adopt it if they adopt it, for example, the 2024 security risk assessment standard, or if they adopted the 2015 risk assessment standard, or they adopted the 2003 general security risk assessment guideline, then you can hold them accountable to it, hokay, because that's the purpose of it. Like, "We are adopting this, and now come hold us come audit us"; right? Then you can hold them accountable.  Other than that, you have to go with what sexists in their industry. So what primarily exists in their industry is whatever governance is there with respect to NAA, which I hate to say doesn't provide a ton of documentation. Like, Texas is phenomenal at this, okay, and I don't I'm not trying to brag on Texas, 'cause I'm trying to get out of here. It's too hot. But you know, Texas Apartment Association is		
Page 155  1 right? Like, fully assessed. Not not just did a  2 drive-by, but fully assessed. I have interviewed  3 approximately the same amount of property managers.  4 Probably more, because, you know, multiple people on  5 the phone, multiple property managers on the phone or  6 whatever.  7 So my development of the standard of care is  8 based on the huge amount of experience that I have as  9 boots-on-the-ground consultant at these apartment  10 complexes. I know you don't seem to want to talk  11 about Mr. Ahmed, but ASIS does not establish the  12 standard of care for the apartment business. They  13 don't even they barely establish the standard of  14 care for the security business.  15 So you've got to go based on, what do other  16 reasonable apartment complex managers do? And that is  17 what I am basing my opinion on. Further, some of this  18 stuff is documented in, like, National Apartment  19 Association, which you could compare them to that.  20 Like, are they meeting what the NAA says about, you  21 know, apartment management and security for apartment	Page 157  Other than the NAA, are there any other written, memorialized standards that you would say set forth the standard of care for apartment complexes like Bedford Pines?  A Let me let me clarify something. ASIS if you adopt it if they adopt it, for example, the 2024 security risk assessment standard, or if they adopted the 2015 risk assessment standard, or they adopted the 2003 general security risk assessment guideline, then you can hold them accountable to it, key we are adopting this, and now come hold us come audit us"; right? Then you can hold them accountable.  Other than that, you have to go with what sexists in their industry. So what primarily exists in their industry is whatever governance is there with respect to NAA, which I hate to say doesn't provide a ton of documentation. Like, Texas is phenomenal at this, okay, and I don't I'm not trying to brag on Texas, 'cause I'm trying to get out of here. It's too		

40 (Pages 154 - 157)

25 There's a security guideline document that goes

Q You've said a few times that I don't want to

25

Sims, Wyteria V. Wingate Wallagement Company, 220				
Page 158	Page 160			
1 into into every resident's lease. This was a HUD	1 to probably, I don't know, 60, 70, 80 apartment			
2 property. HUD has standards with respect to security	2 complexes in Atlanta, and this by far had way more			
3 and and, you know, guidelines with respect to	3 security.			
4 security, and I'm not seeing any violations of any HUD	4 Now, you would argue that, yeah, they had			
5 provision; right? And there's a whole book on on	5 way more crime. I don't know that, but you know, I			
6 the HUD provisions; right? So there's no standard of	6 have no reason to dispute you. You know, they had a			
7 care violation there.	7 lot of security. There's a lot going on here that is			
8 So it's the HUD stuff. It's the NAA stuff,	8 impressive. And at least one idea, property activity			
9 which is pretty loosey goosey. It's the Institute of	9 coordinator, that I'm going to steal.			
10 Real Estate Management, which has two topics on	10 Q Something I meant to ask you earlier, when			
11 security. And then it's whatever policies they write	11 you talked about informal security risk assessments			
12 for themselves. That's where the standard of care	12 taking place on an ongoing basis by Wingate, is it			
13 would come from, primarily. It's not just my	13 your opinion that the people within Wingate the			
14 experience; right? It's a whole lot more than that.	14 regional property manager, the property manager, maybe			
And if they just just to add to that.	15 the property activity coordinator, who would have had			
16 If they adopted ASIS, great. Hold them accountable to	16 some involvement in what you've described as informal			
17 it. Absolutely hold them accountable. But you cannot	17 security risk assessments that these people had the			
18 hold them accountable to it, you know, when they	18 competence and qualifications to be preparing security			
19 didn't adopt it, and they probably never heard of it.	19 risk assessments?			
20 Q Do the NAA or HUD or IRM or any other bases	20 A Well, I don't think it was just them,			
21 for the standard of care, in your opinion, ask what	21 though; right? I mean, they had they had the ear			
22 the subject property's crime history consisted of	22 of the police; right? They have this extraordinary			
23 A Yes.	23 relationship with the police. And they have, on			
24 Q in defining what the subject property's	24 contract, full time, a security management team;			
25 standard of care should be? In other words, not all	25 right? I mean, you've got to understand how unique			
Page 159	Page 161			
1 properties are similarly situated. And I imagine,	1 that is.			

2 under these standards and guidelines, steps that a

3 property should take to comply with the standard of

4 care depend on the property's crime history. Do you

5 agree with that?

6 A I like what you're saying, but I'm not sure

7 that -- I don't know about HUD. I know HUD does talk

8 about crime levels and stuff. I don't know if -- I

 $9\,$  don't think NAA does, and I know IRM doesn't.

10 Q Okay. So setting aside those standards,

11 then, you agree with what I just said, that crime

12 history is relevant to what a property's actions

13 should be in order to conform to the standard of care?

14 A Not really. I mean, I don't -- I don't

15 necessarily agree with that. I think there is a

16 baseline standard of care that's got to be met, which

17 are all the things that I asked them about, you know,

18 which would have bases in, you know, the IRM stuff,

19 which would have bases in the NAA stuff, possibly even

19 which would have bases in the IVAA stuff, possibly ev

20 the GAA stuff, and a basis in the HUD stuff.

21 And obviously, what are other -- I know

22 Mr. Ahmed's not a fan of community standards, but you

23 know, those questions that were coming down about what

24 other apartment complexes in the area were doing would

25 be relevant. I mean, I've done -- I've -- I've been

You know, I think -- you know, most of my

3 colleagues, and perhaps even me in my early days,

4 would have dreamed of such a contract, right, where

 $5\,$  you had that much activity going on that you could

6 hire a qualified person to go and sit in that role.

7 It's a beautiful job.

8 That was -- you know, I don't know that

9 management needed the expertise. I think most

10 property managers have enough information to be able

11 to make those decisions. But in this case, even if

12 they had the requisite experience and training and

13 education, they also had the security management team

14 on board, including APD and Plaza. I mean, I'm not

15 trying to pat them on the back, but this is way

16 abnormal, what they're doing. It was really

17 impressive.

8 Q Have you done any survey or comparison,

19 Mr. Vellani, of what similarly situated properties in

20 Atlanta had with respect to nighttime security in

21 2020?

A Well, again, I think your point earlier was

23 well taken; right? I mean, it depends on what the

24 crime situation is. So what I can tell you is I -- I

 $25\,$  have a -- a database -- an unofficial database that I

41 (Pages 158 - 161)

Veritext Legal Solutions 770.343.9696

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC			
Page 162	Page 164		
1 dump all my crime stats into, because and	1 could I have recommendations without doing the		
2 specifically for apartments, and a lot of them in	2 assessment? You've got to do the assessment first.		
3 Atlanta. And I track the data in there, and I'm	3 And that's why I said we're all Monday morning		
4 looking at trends, and I'm looking at what are what	4 quarterbacking Plaza.		
5 is what is this database of apartments telling me?	5 Q Do you only recommend security measures to		
6 How much of the crime is committed by	6 apartment complexes if there's evidence-based research		
7 strangers? How much is committed by non-strangers?	7 to support them? That's not a prerequisite?		
8 How much is committed inside versus outside? What's	8 A Nope. In fact, that's what I'm telling you		
9 the ratio of violent crime to property crime? What's	9 that, you know, the vast majority of things in in		
10 the time frame? When are crimes mostly occurring? So	10 security are unfortunately not evidence-based. It's		
11 this notion that more crimes are occurring at night,	11 the rarer thing where you can either steal from the		
12 you know, if that's what you're alluding to, I don't	12 police research, or there is actual security research,		
13 know that that's the case.	13 and tap into that stuff.		
You would have to do the analysis. I have	But I wish there was more research on this		
15 not. Maybe Dr. Gray's doing it. But you most	15 stuff, and that's what you know, I've started two		
16 apartment complexes don't have a problem at night.	16 committees on research, one specifically in healthcare		
17 Most apartment complexes, as a general proposition,	17 that I led for five years and then handed it off.		
18 seem to have a problem mostly between 4 p.m. and,	18 Started and led for five years. And now, for a year		
19 like, 8 p.m., maybe 9 p.m.; right?	19 and a half, I've been running one through the IAPSC,		
But you have to look at the specific	20 and we're doing great work, but, you know, there's not		
21 apartment. You can't just you know, nobody in this	21 enough people and not enough money to be able to		
22 case is going to be able to walk in and say that more	22 research everything that needs to be researched.		
23 security was needed at night without having done the	23 Q So I think this is an extension of what you		
24 analysis specifically for Bedford Pines.	24 just said, but you don't have an opinion, then, on		
25 Q And you have not done	25 whether or not there should have been security or		
Page 163	Page 165		
1 A I have no opinion on that. Right. Correct.	1 off-duty APD working on the night of June 30 when the		
2 I have no opinion on that.	2 shooting occurred?		
3 Q I take it, then, that the answer to this	3 A I don't have an opinion that they should		
4 question is no, but tell me if I'm wrong. Are you in	4 have been there based on crime data. I have an		
5 a position to provide an opinion about what you would	5 opinion that, you know, as far as preventing a		
6 have recommended to Wingate for Bedford Pines as of,	6 drive-by shooting, I don't think it would have made		
7 let's say, May 2020, if Wingate had called you up and	7 any difference whatsoever, and I'll tell you this for		
8 said, "Mr. Vellani, we'd like you to come visit the	8 a couple of reasons.		
9 property and provide recommendations on how we can	9 Number one, when you're coming from a public		
10 enhance security at the property"?	10 street, I don't think a police officer's going to do a		
11 A You're asking	11 whole lot of good. Those guys are going to jet off in		
MR. DIAL: Object to the form.	12 two different directions before the police officer is		
13 THE WITNESS: You're asking me to jump	13 able to jump in a car or call backup and chase after		
14 the assessment and just tell you what my	14 them. It's not going to deter; okay? It's not going		
15 recommendations would be?	15 to prevent.		
16 BY MR. BOUCHARD:	And I and I said "deter," and by		
17 Q Well, I'm asking, do you have an opinion as	17 accident; I meant "prevent." You know, a police		

25 fence; okay?

42 (Pages 162 - 165)

18 officer is not going to be able to intervene. When

20 security program, you're looking at ultimately three

21 factors. You're looking at deterrence, because it'd

22 be nice to deter people, but I know that I cannot

24 old. I'm overweight. I'm not jumping an 8-foot

19 you're looking -- when you're looking at developing a

23 deter everybody 'cause some -- you know, I'm 52 years

Veritext Legal Solutions 800.808.4958 770.343.9696

18 to what you would say or would have said in those

20 I don't, because I didn't conduct an assessment."

21

22

25

24 about that?

19 circumstances? And I'm taking it the answer is, "No,

Q And I'm just trying to confirm that my

23 understanding is correct. You don't have an opinion

A Yeah. I mean, I can't -- it's not -- how

	Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC				
	Page 166		Page 168		
1		1			
2	doing it. So the 8-foot fence is going to deter me.	2			
3 It may not deter you. I can't get inside the mind of		3	A I'm sure it has.		
	4 every bad actor; okay? So deterrence is something we		Q Do you know on what topics or issues?		
	look at, but it's not something we focus on. We focus	5			
	on prevention, and how do you prevent a drive-by	6	'cause it's the only one I know about. Like, I was		
	shooting? You put up bullet-resistant barriers. Is		7 walking into court one day to testify on a case, and I		
	that a reasonable measure? No, it's not; okay?	8	8 had planned to talk about, like, 30 different crimes		
9	And then we talk about intervention. Is a	9	that happened on the property, and the the attorney		
10	police officer walking around the property who's on	10	told me that the judge only, like, admitted, like,		
11	another part of the property, or driving around the		18 reports, so I wasn't able to talk about all 30.		
	other part of the property is he going to be able	12	_		
13	to intervene in a drive-by shooting committed by two	13	of limitations on your testimony?		
14	cars? I have no earthly idea how in God's name he's	14	A No. I'm sure they're out there, but I'm not		
	going to be able to do that.	15	aware of any.		
16		16	Q Do you know approximately how many times		
17	years later, and we don't even have an effective	17	you've testified as an expert for a plaintiff in a		
18	investigation that's led to an an arrest, or a	18	deposition or at trial?		
19	trial, or a conviction, or anything. So it's	19	A In total?		
20	deterrence, prevention, intervention, investigation,	20	Q Yes, sir.		
21	conviction. We have nothing on this case. They can't	21	A I don't know.		
22	even get to the arrest.	22	Q Do you know for defendant?		
23	Q Mr. Vellani, you obviously believe that the	23	A Don't know.		
24	IAPSC methodology is reliable; right?	24	•		
25	A Yes, sir.	25	A I've always gone with, you know, generally		
	Page 167		Page 169		
1	Q You applied it?		50/50. It's probably teetering towards probably 60/40		
2	, 11	2	in favor of defense nowadays.		
3	relevant to what to what I was asked to opine on.	3	§ 8		
4	Q And do you agree that the methodology asks		as a retained expert?		
	security consultants to apply their judgment,	5			
	experience, and expertise?		never get notice that the case settled, and then you		
7	A Yes, sir.		get called up and go, "Yeah, it settled two years		
8			ago." It's like, "Well, thanks for letting me know."		
	mathematical formula and it requires forensic		So as far as active cases, right now, it's a little		
	consultants to use their judgment, experience, and		crazy. Probably about 20.		
	expertise in performing a qualitative and quantitative assessment?	11	Q And what percentage of Threat Analysis Group's revenue comes from your expert work?		
13	A Yes, sir.	13			
13	· ·		been asked this question about revenue. That's not		
	applying the IAPSC forensic methodology may analyze		something I've ever looked at. I can tell you where		
	information differently based on their different		my time commitments are, which change throughout the		
17			year. But I've never looked at the revenue question.		
18			I mean, I work on some really, really big consulting		
19		19			
	consultants could exercise their judgment and come to		consulting projects.		
	different conclusions after applying the IAPSC	21	So I've never really thought about going,		
	methodology?	22	"Oh, is the expert stuff, you know, worth the time?"		
23	A Happens all the time.	23	I know it's not something I've ever considered.		

43 (Pages 166 - 169)

Q So in terms of time, how do you allocate

25 your time to retained expert work versus other types

25 excluded?

Q Mr. Vellani, has your testimony ever been

24

Sinis, Wyteria V. Wingate Management Company, EDC			
Page 170	Page 172		
1 of work?	So the sign, probably pretty effective as a		
2 A Yeah, so that's what I was saying. It	2 deterrent to juvenile thieves, but not an effective		
3 changes throughout the year. At the beginning of the	3 deterrent to organized retail criminals. So that's		
4 year, I'm conducting threat assessments at properties	4 the distinction I'm drawing is I can't get inside the		
5 across the country for literally thousands of	5 mind of the offender.		
6 properties.	6 Q I think I've asked you this, but you're		
7 So my beginning of the year is largely 8 consumed with, you know, doing crime analysis work.	7 obviously going to defer to whatever the evidence in 8 the case is from witnesses in the documents. You		
9 And then if things slow down, I start to spend more 10 time on the research stuff. And then if there's	9 don't have any first-hand knowledge of any of the 10 issues in the case; is that fair?		
11 deadlines like, you know, here in Texas, we have a	11 A I'm sorry. I missed the question, sir?		
12 new report requirement, which is driving me bonkers.	12 Q You're going to defer to whatever the		
13 You know, I'm spending more time when I've got an	13 evidence in the case is through witnesses and		
14 influx of cases with stupid deadlines.	14 documents. You don't have any independent first-hand		
15 So I don't have a great answer, but	15 knowledge of the issues in the case; is that correct?		
16 generally speaking, I would say nowadays, at least as	16 A Correct.		
17 I think about the last year, it's probably like 10	17 Q You haven't spoken to any of the plaintiffs		
18 percent of my time, on average, is expert stuff.	18 or the plaintiff's families in this case; right?		
19 Q Do you agree that stranger crime is easier	19 A Correct.		
20 to deter than non-stranger crime?	20 Q You have not asked to do so; is that right?		
21 A Yes. Well, yeah, to prevent. But yes.	21 A Correct.		
22 Q Sorry, I wasn't trying to trick you with	22 Q All of your opinions in this case are set		
23 that word. To prevent? Yeah. What's the distinction	23 forth in your expert report; is that correct?		
24 in sort of summary terms of deterrence versus	24 A Except for rebuttal, if I'm asked.		
25 prevention? When you're saying "I'm not offering a	25 Q Do you intend to offer a rebuttal opinion?		
Page 171	Page 173		
Page 171 1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention	Page 173  1 A If I'm asked to do so.		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention	1 A If I'm asked to do so.		
	1 A If I'm asked to do so.		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention 2 opinion."	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked?		
<ul> <li>1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention</li> <li>2 opinion."</li> <li>3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's</li> </ul>	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked?		
<ul> <li>1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention</li> <li>2 opinion."</li> <li>3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's</li> <li>4 a very, very good question; okay? The the research</li> </ul>	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial		
<ol> <li>deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention</li> <li>opinion."</li> <li>A Well, the problem this is a good it's</li> <li>a very, very good question; okay? The the research</li> <li>will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because</li> </ol>	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask		
<ol> <li>deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention</li> <li>opinion."</li> <li>A Well, the problem this is a good it's</li> <li>a very, very good question; okay? The the research</li> <li>will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because</li> <li>they're not using the terms the way a security person</li> </ol>	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town.		
<ol> <li>deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention</li> <li>opinion."</li> <li>A Well, the problem this is a good it's</li> <li>a very, very good question; okay? The the research</li> <li>will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because</li> <li>they're not using the terms the way a security person</li> <li>uses the terms. So because you're dealing with</li> </ol>	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town. 7 Q It would be awfully weird if I asked you at		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention 2 opinion." 3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's 4 a very, very good question; okay? The the research 5 will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because 6 they're not using the terms the way a security person 7 uses the terms. So because you're dealing with 8 researchers; right? They may be sociologists, 9 criminologists, whatever. So they will use the word 10 "deterrence," but when you dig into what they're	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town. 7 Q It would be awfully weird if I asked you at 8 this point, Mr. Vellani.		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention 2 opinion." 3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's 4 a very, very good question; okay? The the research 5 will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because 6 they're not using the terms the way a security person 7 uses the terms. So because you're dealing with 8 researchers; right? They may be sociologists, 9 criminologists, whatever. So they will use the word 10 "deterrence," but when you dig into what they're 11 saying, they're really talking about prevention.	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town. 7 Q It would be awfully weird if I asked you at 8 this point, Mr. Vellani. 9 A You seem like you want to be ready for it, 10 so you should ask. 11 Q Well, you were referencing at different		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention 2 opinion." 3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's 4 a very, very good question; okay? The the research 5 will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because 6 they're not using the terms the way a security person 7 uses the terms. So because you're dealing with 8 researchers; right? They may be sociologists, 9 criminologists, whatever. So they will use the word 10 "deterrence," but when you dig into what they're 11 saying, they're really talking about prevention. 12 The distinction to me as a security person	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town. 7 Q It would be awfully weird if I asked you at 8 this point, Mr. Vellani. 9 A You seem like you want to be ready for it, 10 so you should ask. 11 Q Well, you were referencing at different 12 points that I didn't want to ask you about your		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention 2 opinion." 3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's 4 a very, very good question; okay? The the research 5 will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because 6 they're not using the terms the way a security person 7 uses the terms. So because you're dealing with 8 researchers; right? They may be sociologists, 9 criminologists, whatever. So they will use the word 10 "deterrence," but when you dig into what they're 11 saying, they're really talking about prevention. 12 The distinction to me as a security person 13 is, deterrence means I'm getting inside the mind of	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town. 7 Q It would be awfully weird if I asked you at 8 this point, Mr. Vellani. 9 A You seem like you want to be ready for it, 10 so you should ask. 11 Q Well, you were referencing at different 12 points that I didn't want to ask you about your 13 opinions of Mr. Ahmed's report, which I'm happy to		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention 2 opinion." 3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's 4 a very, very good question; okay? The the research 5 will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because 6 they're not using the terms the way a security person 7 uses the terms. So because you're dealing with 8 researchers; right? They may be sociologists, 9 criminologists, whatever. So they will use the word 10 "deterrence," but when you dig into what they're 11 saying, they're really talking about prevention. 12 The distinction to me as a security person 13 is, deterrence means I'm getting inside the mind of 14 the offender and I'm trying to figure out what they	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town. 7 Q It would be awfully weird if I asked you at 8 this point, Mr. Vellani. 9 A You seem like you want to be ready for it, 10 so you should ask. 11 Q Well, you were referencing at different 12 points that I didn't want to ask you about your 13 opinions of Mr. Ahmed's report, which I'm happy to 14 hear about. Do you intend to provide rebuttal		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention 2 opinion." 3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's 4 a very, very good question; okay? The the research 5 will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because 6 they're not using the terms the way a security person 7 uses the terms. So because you're dealing with 8 researchers; right? They may be sociologists, 9 criminologists, whatever. So they will use the word 10 "deterrence," but when you dig into what they're 11 saying, they're really talking about prevention. 12 The distinction to me as a security person 13 is, deterrence means I'm getting inside the mind of 14 the offender and I'm trying to figure out what they 15 what would cause that particular individual or that	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town. 7 Q It would be awfully weird if I asked you at 8 this point, Mr. Vellani. 9 A You seem like you want to be ready for it, 10 so you should ask. 11 Q Well, you were referencing at different 12 points that I didn't want to ask you about your 13 opinions of Mr. Ahmed's report, which I'm happy to 14 hear about. Do you intend to provide rebuttal 15 opinions to Mr. Ahmed's report, or are these just sort		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention 2 opinion." 3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's 4 a very, very good question; okay? The the research 5 will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because 6 they're not using the terms the way a security person 7 uses the terms. So because you're dealing with 8 researchers; right? They may be sociologists, 9 criminologists, whatever. So they will use the word 10 "deterrence," but when you dig into what they're 11 saying, they're really talking about prevention. 12 The distinction to me as a security person 13 is, deterrence means I'm getting inside the mind of 14 the offender and I'm trying to figure out what they 15 what would cause that particular individual or that 16 type of individual from committing a crime.	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town. 7 Q It would be awfully weird if I asked you at 8 this point, Mr. Vellani. 9 A You seem like you want to be ready for it, 10 so you should ask. 11 Q Well, you were referencing at different 12 points that I didn't want to ask you about your 13 opinions of Mr. Ahmed's report, which I'm happy to 14 hear about. Do you intend to provide rebuttal 15 opinions to Mr. Ahmed's report, or are these just sort 16 of your reactions to what you read?		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention 2 opinion." 3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's 4 a very, very good question; okay? The the research 5 will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because 6 they're not using the terms the way a security person 7 uses the terms. So because you're dealing with 8 researchers; right? They may be sociologists, 9 criminologists, whatever. So they will use the word 10 "deterrence," but when you dig into what they're 11 saying, they're really talking about prevention. 12 The distinction to me as a security person 13 is, deterrence means I'm getting inside the mind of 14 the offender and I'm trying to figure out what they 15 what would cause that particular individual or that 16 type of individual from committing a crime. 17 Like, if you're talking about an organized	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town. 7 Q It would be awfully weird if I asked you at 8 this point, Mr. Vellani. 9 A You seem like you want to be ready for it, 10 so you should ask. 11 Q Well, you were referencing at different 12 points that I didn't want to ask you about your 13 opinions of Mr. Ahmed's report, which I'm happy to 14 hear about. Do you intend to provide rebuttal 15 opinions to Mr. Ahmed's report, or are these just sort 16 of your reactions to what you read? 17 A No. I think some of these would definitely		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention 2 opinion." 3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's 4 a very, very good question; okay? The the research 5 will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because 6 they're not using the terms the way a security person 7 uses the terms. So because you're dealing with 8 researchers; right? They may be sociologists, 9 criminologists, whatever. So they will use the word 10 "deterrence," but when you dig into what they're 11 saying, they're really talking about prevention. 12 The distinction to me as a security person 13 is, deterrence means I'm getting inside the mind of 14 the offender and I'm trying to figure out what they 15 what would cause that particular individual or that 16 type of individual from committing a crime. 17 Like, if you're talking about an organized 18 retail criminal, they're probably not going to be	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town. 7 Q It would be awfully weird if I asked you at 8 this point, Mr. Vellani. 9 A You seem like you want to be ready for it, 10 so you should ask. 11 Q Well, you were referencing at different 12 points that I didn't want to ask you about your 13 opinions of Mr. Ahmed's report, which I'm happy to 14 hear about. Do you intend to provide rebuttal 15 opinions to Mr. Ahmed's report, or are these just sort 16 of your reactions to what you read? 17 A No. I think some of these would definitely 18 be rebuttal. But I'm not intending to do a report,		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention 2 opinion." 3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's 4 a very, very good question; okay? The the research 5 will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because 6 they're not using the terms the way a security person 7 uses the terms. So because you're dealing with 8 researchers; right? They may be sociologists, 9 criminologists, whatever. So they will use the word 10 "deterrence," but when you dig into what they're 11 saying, they're really talking about prevention. 12 The distinction to me as a security person 13 is, deterrence means I'm getting inside the mind of 14 the offender and I'm trying to figure out what they 15 what would cause that particular individual or that 16 type of individual from committing a crime. 17 Like, if you're talking about an organized 18 retail criminal, they're probably not going to be 19 deterred by a sign in the Walmart, in the bathroom,	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town. 7 Q It would be awfully weird if I asked you at 8 this point, Mr. Vellani. 9 A You seem like you want to be ready for it, 10 so you should ask. 11 Q Well, you were referencing at different 12 points that I didn't want to ask you about your 13 opinions of Mr. Ahmed's report, which I'm happy to 14 hear about. Do you intend to provide rebuttal 15 opinions to Mr. Ahmed's report, or are these just sort 16 of your reactions to what you read? 17 A No. I think some of these would definitely 18 be rebuttal. But I'm not intending to do a report, 19 necessarily, if that's what you're asking. I mean, I		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention 2 opinion." 3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's 4 a very, very good question; okay? The the research 5 will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because 6 they're not using the terms the way a security person 7 uses the terms. So because you're dealing with 8 researchers; right? They may be sociologists, 9 criminologists, whatever. So they will use the word 10 "deterrence," but when you dig into what they're 11 saying, they're really talking about prevention. 12 The distinction to me as a security person 13 is, deterrence means I'm getting inside the mind of 14 the offender and I'm trying to figure out what they 15 what would cause that particular individual or that 16 type of individual from committing a crime. 17 Like, if you're talking about an organized 18 retail criminal, they're probably not going to be 19 deterred by a sign in the Walmart, in the bathroom, 20 above the urinal, that says "Shoplifting's not a joke;	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town. 7 Q It would be awfully weird if I asked you at 8 this point, Mr. Vellani. 9 A You seem like you want to be ready for it, 10 so you should ask. 11 Q Well, you were referencing at different 12 points that I didn't want to ask you about your 13 opinions of Mr. Ahmed's report, which I'm happy to 14 hear about. Do you intend to provide rebuttal 15 opinions to Mr. Ahmed's report, or are these just sort 16 of your reactions to what you read? 17 A No. I think some of these would definitely 18 be rebuttal. But I'm not intending to do a report, 19 necessarily, if that's what you're asking. I mean, I 20 have opinions about what he has done, and what he's		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention 2 opinion." 3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's 4 a very, very good question; okay? The the research 5 will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because 6 they're not using the terms the way a security person 7 uses the terms. So because you're dealing with 8 researchers; right? They may be sociologists, 9 criminologists, whatever. So they will use the word 10 "deterrence," but when you dig into what they're 11 saying, they're really talking about prevention. 12 The distinction to me as a security person 13 is, deterrence means I'm getting inside the mind of 14 the offender and I'm trying to figure out what they 15 what would cause that particular individual or that 16 type of individual from committing a crime. 17 Like, if you're talking about an organized 18 retail criminal, they're probably not going to be 19 deterred by a sign in the Walmart, in the bathroom, 20 above the urinal, that says "Shoplifting's not a joke; 21 Walmart prosecutes"; right? But Walmart does have	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town. 7 Q It would be awfully weird if I asked you at 8 this point, Mr. Vellani. 9 A You seem like you want to be ready for it, 10 so you should ask. 11 Q Well, you were referencing at different 12 points that I didn't want to ask you about your 13 opinions of Mr. Ahmed's report, which I'm happy to 14 hear about. Do you intend to provide rebuttal 15 opinions to Mr. Ahmed's report, or are these just sort 16 of your reactions to what you read? 17 A No. I think some of these would definitely 18 be rebuttal. But I'm not intending to do a report, 19 necessarily, if that's what you're asking. I mean, I 20 have opinions about what he has done, and what he's 21 right about and what he's wrong about. I also have		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention 2 opinion." 3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's 4 a very, very good question; okay? The the research 5 will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because 6 they're not using the terms the way a security person 7 uses the terms. So because you're dealing with 8 researchers; right? They may be sociologists, 9 criminologists, whatever. So they will use the word 10 "deterrence," but when you dig into what they're 11 saying, they're really talking about prevention. 12 The distinction to me as a security person 13 is, deterrence means I'm getting inside the mind of 14 the offender and I'm trying to figure out what they 15 what would cause that particular individual or that 16 type of individual from committing a crime. 17 Like, if you're talking about an organized 18 retail criminal, they're probably not going to be 19 deterred by a sign in the Walmart, in the bathroom, 20 above the urinal, that says "Shoplifting's not a joke; 21 Walmart prosecutes"; right? But Walmart does have 22 those signs in some stores, and they're trying to	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town. 7 Q It would be awfully weird if I asked you at 8 this point, Mr. Vellani. 9 A You seem like you want to be ready for it, 10 so you should ask. 11 Q Well, you were referencing at different 12 points that I didn't want to ask you about your 13 opinions of Mr. Ahmed's report, which I'm happy to 14 hear about. Do you intend to provide rebuttal 15 opinions to Mr. Ahmed's report, or are these just sort 16 of your reactions to what you read? 17 A No. I think some of these would definitely 18 be rebuttal. But I'm not intending to do a report, 19 necessarily, if that's what you're asking. I mean, I 20 have opinions about what he has done, and what he's 21 right about and what he's wrong about. I also have 22 opinions about, you know I mean, by his		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention 2 opinion." 3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's 4 a very, very good question; okay? The the research 5 will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because 6 they're not using the terms the way a security person 7 uses the terms. So because you're dealing with 8 researchers; right? They may be sociologists, 9 criminologists, whatever. So they will use the word 10 "deterrence," but when you dig into what they're 11 saying, they're really talking about prevention. 12 The distinction to me as a security person 13 is, deterrence means I'm getting inside the mind of 14 the offender and I'm trying to figure out what they 15 what would cause that particular individual or that 16 type of individual from committing a crime. 17 Like, if you're talking about an organized 18 retail criminal, they're probably not going to be 19 deterred by a sign in the Walmart, in the bathroom, 20 above the urinal, that says "Shoplifting's not a joke; 21 Walmart prosecutes"; right? But Walmart does have 22 those signs in some stores, and they're trying to 23 communicate risk to juvenile thieves. They're not	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town. 7 Q It would be awfully weird if I asked you at 8 this point, Mr. Vellani. 9 A You seem like you want to be ready for it, 10 so you should ask. 11 Q Well, you were referencing at different 12 points that I didn't want to ask you about your 13 opinions of Mr. Ahmed's report, which I'm happy to 14 hear about. Do you intend to provide rebuttal 15 opinions to Mr. Ahmed's report, or are these just sort 16 of your reactions to what you read? 17 A No. I think some of these would definitely 18 be rebuttal. But I'm not intending to do a report, 19 necessarily, if that's what you're asking. I mean, I 20 have opinions about what he has done, and what he's 21 right about and what he's wrong about. I also have 22 opinions about, you know I mean, by his 23 testimony whatever. We can get you want to get		
1 deterrence opinion; I'm offering a prevention 2 opinion." 3 A Well, the problem this is a good it's 4 a very, very good question; okay? The the research 5 will oftentimes use the word "deterrence" because 6 they're not using the terms the way a security person 7 uses the terms. So because you're dealing with 8 researchers; right? They may be sociologists, 9 criminologists, whatever. So they will use the word 10 "deterrence," but when you dig into what they're 11 saying, they're really talking about prevention. 12 The distinction to me as a security person 13 is, deterrence means I'm getting inside the mind of 14 the offender and I'm trying to figure out what they 15 what would cause that particular individual or that 16 type of individual from committing a crime. 17 Like, if you're talking about an organized 18 retail criminal, they're probably not going to be 19 deterred by a sign in the Walmart, in the bathroom, 20 above the urinal, that says "Shoplifting's not a joke; 21 Walmart prosecutes"; right? But Walmart does have 22 those signs in some stores, and they're trying to	1 A If I'm asked to do so. 2 Q I take it, then, that you're saying you 3 haven't been asked? 4 A Well, you haven't asked me yet, and Mr. Dial 5 haven't asked me yet. So if one of you want to ask 6 me, I'm going to town. 7 Q It would be awfully weird if I asked you at 8 this point, Mr. Vellani. 9 A You seem like you want to be ready for it, 10 so you should ask. 11 Q Well, you were referencing at different 12 points that I didn't want to ask you about your 13 opinions of Mr. Ahmed's report, which I'm happy to 14 hear about. Do you intend to provide rebuttal 15 opinions to Mr. Ahmed's report, or are these just sort 16 of your reactions to what you read? 17 A No. I think some of these would definitely 18 be rebuttal. But I'm not intending to do a report, 19 necessarily, if that's what you're asking. I mean, I 20 have opinions about what he has done, and what he's 21 right about and what he's wrong about. I also have 22 opinions about, you know I mean, by his		

44 (Pages 170 - 173)

	Sinis, wyteria v. winigate Management Company, LLC				
	Page 174		Page 176		
1	your opinions are, if you have rebuttal opinions.	1	I think he talked about it in his deposition and his		
2	A So number one, and I've already said this,	2	report. He talked about the requirement for the		
3	ASIS does not establish a standard of care for anyone.	3	cameras to be monitored. And he was questioned on		
4	An organization would have to adopt their standards in	4	project greenlight in his deposition.		
5	order to be held accountable to them. It's a lovely	5	The research regarding monitoring cameras in		
6	thought that he's got. It's a very naive and very	6	violent crime is seminal. We are we're, like we		
7	he's young, or he's he's young in his career, in	7	are, like, at the beginning of research on that. I'm		
8	his consulting career, so I know why he doesn't get	8	not saying it's not effective. The research seems to		
9	this. But ASIS does not establish a standard of care	9	indicate it's not, but there's not enough research out		
10	for any organization unless you adopt the standard or	10	there to be able to support the opinion that, you		
11	the guideline.	11	know, had they had monitoring, it would have changed		
12	It certainly would have very limited	12	the outcome here.		
13	applicability, because nothing they write is specific	13	And again, he's he's young. He's never		
14	for the multi-family residential housing industry.	14	done I say young. He's the same age as me, but		
15	Number two, love Mike Silva. He quotes Mike Silva. I	15	he's young in his consulting career. He's never done		
16	texted Mike Silva this morning. Mike Silva's a great	16	an apartment complex, so I understand why he's		
17	guy. But Mike's book does not establish a standard of	17	struggling with this, but he'll get better. I I		
18	care. It's a damn good book, but it does not	18	know he's going to get better. He's going to be a		
19	establish a standard of care. My books don't	19	member of the IAPSC. He's definitely going to get		
20	establish a standard of care.	20	better.		
21	Number three, his reference to NFPA 730. If	21	He talked about challenging well, I I		
22	he ever looked at the history of NFPA 730, he would	22	generally agree with him that limiting the pedestrian		
23	see massive opposition to NFPA 730 from ASIS, who he	23	activity in this you know, is is a good idea,		
24	obviously loves, and the IAPSC, for which he's trying	24	but damn near impossible at a scattered site. And you		
25	to apply to membership. So I don't think he	25	know, when you have residents that they themselves		
	Page 175		Page 177		
1	understands NFPA 730, and I will go back to the 500 to	1	break the rules by having unauthorized residents, and		
2	1,000 property managers I've interviewed. Not one of	2	the fact that two of the guys had an existing criminal		
3	them has adopted it. Not one of them has heard of it.	3	trespass citation against them and shouldn't have been		
4	So it's really hard to hold someone accountable to	4	on the property but they knew that. You know, they		
5	something they've never heard of.	5	knew that. One of them had been arrested, you know,		
6	CPP is not the standard of care for a	6	in January, six months before.		
7	security manager or a consultant. I was a security	7	So it's a good idea, limiting the the		
8	consultant for about five years before I became a CPP.	8	activity. You know, we don't need freedom in this		
9	Basically, what he's saying is that I was doing my	9	country, I guess. But you know, if you're going to		
10	clients a disservice for those first five years.	10	limit it, you should limit it, I guess. And but I		
11	That's incorrect. And I've got friends that have	11	don't know how; right? There's no great measures to		
1	jumped off the ASIS train and given up their CPP just		do that. Reasonable he talked about reasonable		
	because ASIS has kind of become this, you know, "Give	13	notifications to the residents. You and I have		
14	us money, give us money" organization, and don't do		already talked about that. You've heard my opinions		
15	anything for membership, for the members.	15	on it, but that's obviously opposite to what he said.		
16	So I've got friends that have 30 years, 40	16	His support for security officer efficacy in		
17			his report is based on property crime, not violent		
18	, •		crime. There's a difference between preventing		
19	they're no longer qualified? Give me a break. Next,		violent crime versus preventing property crime. I		
20	he does not seem to believe in community standards,		would agree that security officers are way better at		
21	, .		reducing property crime than they are violent crime.		
22	7 1		But his singular study doesn't even support his own		
23	that was multi-layered. They clearly did, and it was		opinion, and I've got 27 studies supporting my		
24	reasonable.	24	opinion.		
1					

45 (Pages 174 - 177)

He has no basis for saying that armed

25

He talked about, in his deposition -- well,

25

1 the record for a minute, I'll take a look at my notes. 2 officers. I don't know exactly in what way he means 3 superior. I guess he means in terms of prevention of 4 crime. I'm not sure what the basis is for that. I - 5 I've never seen data to support that. Yes, armed 6 security officers are more expensive. Yes, they're a 7 step up in the ladder, you know, of people's 8 consideration. But I'm not sure how they're susperior. 9 He also testified - and I think this is 10 where the rubber meets the road. He said specifically 11 at the outset, "What I've been asked to do is conduct 12 an analysis against the publications that exist in the 13 security industry." That's a really strange scope of 14 work, and I'm not sure why that scope of work would 15 have any value in a case like this, or frankly, any 16 other, where the subject property did not adopt said 15 so it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Affanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  Page 179 1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he he - the last thing is he 5 talked about industry strandards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There 8 rae no standards for lighting. There 9 are no standards for up of the received of the cord of the receord. 12 I'ms the record of read minute, I'll take a look at my otes.  13 I'ms the done, or about done, and M.R. BOUCHARD: Thank you.  14 the record done, or about done, and M.R. BOUCHARD: Thank you.  15 the record of or about done, and m.R. BOUCHARD: Thank you.  16 the record.  17 HE WITNESS: Thank you, in t		Sinis, wyteria v. wingate w	Turi.	agement company, EEC
2 I may be done, or about done. 3 superior. I guess he means in terms of prevention of 4 crime. The not sure what the basis is for that. I 5 I've never seen data to support that. Yes, armed 6 security officers are more expensive. Yes, they're a 7 step up in the ladder, you know, of people's 8 consideration. But I'm not sure how they're superior. 9 He also testified - and I think this is 10 where the rubber meets the road. He said specifically 11 at the outset, "What Ye been asked to do is conduct 22 an analysis against the publications that exist in the 13 security industry." That's a really strange scope of 14 work, and I'm not sure why that scope of work would 15 have any value in a case like this, or frankly, any 16 other, where the subject property dist not adopt said 17 standard or publication. 18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  19 A then he - he - the thust thing is he 16 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 17 are no standards for lighting. There 18 rare no standards for lighting. There 19 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 19 Next, he's not aware of he research on the 20 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 21 developed the 210 fe version. They're - they're 22 the fall disting scale. So - and I think most people in this 23 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 24 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 25 Q Does that cover it? 26 A Yes, sir. 27 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 28 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 29 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition, S 1 if I don't - if I can reserve - 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 28 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 29 The REPORTER: Okay. 30 Cone second. This concludes this video deposition. 31 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you		Page 178		Page 180
3 MR. DIAL: Okay. 4 crime. I'm not sure what the basis is for that. 1— 5 I've never send that to support that. Yes, arrived. 6 security officers are more expensive. Yes, they're a 7 step up in the ladder, you know, of people's 8 consideration. But I'm not sure how they're superior. 9 He also testified — and I think this is 10 where the rubber meets the road. He said specifically 11 at the outset, "What I've been asked to do is conduct 22 an analysis against the publications that exist in the 13 security industry." That's a really strange scope of 14 work, and I'm not sure why that scope of work would 15 have any value in a case like this, or frankly, any 16 other, where the subject property did not adopt said 17 standard or publication. 18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  Page 179 1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC member. This is publicly 3 society of North America. I was on the committee that developed the 2016 version. They're — they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So—and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Least the fire of TED Talk. 18 THE REPORTER: Okay. 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition, So I— if I don't—if I can reserve— 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 How so fright now, I do				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you.  5 Ive never seen data to support that. Yes, armed 6 security officers are more expensive. Yes, they're a 7 step up in the ladder, you know, of people's 8 consideration. But I'm not sure whow they're superior. 9 He also testified — and I think this is 10 where the rubber meets the road. He said specifically 11 at the outset, "What I've been asked to do is conduct 12 an analysis against the publications that exist in the 13 security industry." That's a really strange scope of 14 work, and I'm not sure why that scope of work would 15 have any value in a case like this, or frankly, any 16 other, where the subject property did not adopt said 17 standard or publication. 18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 fisky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  Page 179 1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he – he — the lust thing is he 6 talked about industry stundards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're — they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So – and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Dose that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Lease of a power in the reserve — 18 deposition. So 1 – if I don't - if I can reserve — 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opini				•
5 Pve never seen data to support that. Yes, armed 6 security officers are more expensive. Yes, they're a 7 step up in the ladder, you know, of people's 8 consideration. But I'm not sure how they're superior. 9 He also testified - and I think this is 10 where the rubber meets the road. He said specifically 11 at the outset, "What I've been asked to do is conduct 22 an analysis against the publications that exist in the 13 security industry." That's a really strange scope of 14 work, and I'm not sure why that scope of work would 15 have any value in a case like this, or frankly, any 16 other, where the subject property did not adopt said 17 standard or publication. 18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because 1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belkang talked about in his report. 5 And then he — he — the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're – they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 stiding seale. So — and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A 1 don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition.	- 1			•
6 security officers are more expensive. Yes, they're a 7 step up in the ladder, you know, of people's 8 consideration. But I'm not sure how they're superior. 9 He also testified – and I think this is 10 where the rubber meets the road. He said specifically 11 at the outset, "What I've been asked to do is conduct 12 an analysis against the publications that exist in the 13 security industry." That's a really strange scope of 14 work, and firm not sure why that scope of work would 15 have any value in a case like this, or frankly, any 16 other, where the subject property did not adopt said 17 standard or publication. 18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  Page 170  1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he – he – the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're – they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Or. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition.				•
7 step up in the ladder, you know, of people's 8 consideration. But I'm not sure how they're superior. 9 He also testified — and I think this is 10 where the rubber meets the road. He said specifically 11 at the outset, "What I've been asked to do is conduct 12 an analysis against the publications that exist in the 13 security industry." That's a really strange scope of 14 work, and I'm not sure why that scope of work would 15 have any value in a case like this, or frankly, any 16 other, where the subject property did not adopt said 17 standard or publication. 18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS Standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  Page 179 1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he — he — the last thing is he 6 talked about industry strandards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're — they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So — and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 16 Q Does that cover it? 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I — if I don't — if I can reserve — 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any further 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER			-	
8 consideration. But I'm not sure how they're superior. 9 He also testified — and I think this is 10 where the rubber meets the road. He said specifically 11 at the outset, "What I've been asked to do is conduct 12 an analysis against the publications that exist in the 13 security industry." That's a really strange scope of 14 work, and I'm not sure why that scope of work would 15 have any value in a case like this, or frankly, any 16 other, where the subject property did not adopt said 17 standard or publication. 18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 2 scope of work? You carr hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 2 get off the record. 19 (Off the record. 11 THE WIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11 5:23 p.m., and we're on the record. 11 for you time today. I don't have any further 14 questions for you. 13 for you time today. I don't have any further 14 questions for you. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 15 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 16 MR. DIAL: I don't have any further 14 questions for you. 17 He'll want to read his sign his 18 deposition, 1 assume; right, Karim? 18 deposition, 1 assume; right, Karim? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 21 get off the record. 22 get off the record. 23 transcript? 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, vir. 25 MR. DIAL: Yesh. 26 THE REPORTER: Sorry, I'm still on the 23 record. Did you say you wanted to read and sign? 27 THE WITNESS: Yes, wir. 28 THE YESPORTER: Yes? Okay, I'm sorry. 29 And then he — he — the last thing is he 18 deposition, 1 assume; right, Karim? 20 Let me today. I don't have anything. 21 THE REPORTER: Sorry, I'm still on the 23 record. Did you say you wanted to read and sign? 22 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay, I'm sorry. 23 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you li				
9 He also testified — and I think this is 10 where the rubber meets the road. He said specifically 11 at the outset, "What I've been asked to do is conduct 12 an analysis against the publications that exist in the 13 security industry." That's a really strange scope of 14 work, and I'm not sure why that scope of work would 15 have any value in a case like this, or frankly, any 16 other, where the subject property did not adopt said 15 standard or publication. 18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  15 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he — he — the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 1 developed the 2016 version. They're — they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So — and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 HE WITNESS: Thank you, 29 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 20 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 31 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 32 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 34 deposition, I assume; right, Karim? 35 deposition, I assume; right, Karim? 36 deposition, I assume; right, Karim? 37 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 38 deposition, I assume; right, Karim? 39 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 30 THE WITNESS: Thank you,			'	
10 where the rubber meets the road. He said specifically 11 at the outset, "What I've been asked to do is conduct 12 an analysis against the publications that exist in the 13 security industry." That's a really strange scope of 14 work, and I'm not sure why that scope of work would 15 have any value in a case like this, or frankly, any 16 other, where the subject property did not adopt said 17 standard or publication. 18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any senses. Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  16 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he – he – the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There 8 The re no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're – they're 11 guidelines. They're on standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So – and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 G Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 18 A J don't know, because I don't know what 29 C Dr. Jane Gray? 20 Dr. Jane Gray? 21 deposition. So I – if I don't – if I can reserve – 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any poinions with 24 trespect to Dr. Gray. 24 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 25 deposition. So I – if I don't – if I can r	8	• •		•
11 at the outset, "What I've been asked to do is conduct 12 an analysis against the publications that exist in the 13 security industry." That's a really strange scope of 14 work, and I'm not sure why that scope of work would 15 have any value in a case like this, or frankly, any 16 other, where the subject property did not adopt said 17 standard or publication.  18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because 24 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 25 And then he — he — the last thing is he 26 talked about industry standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 26 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 27 Society of North America. I was on the committee that of developed the 2016 version. They're — they're 21 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 22 If the REPORTER: Yes, 20 kay, 1'm sorry. 23 THE REPORTER: Yes, 2 kay, 1'm sorry. 24 Mr. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am. 25 THE REPORTER: Wir. Olal, would you like to order a 26 to order a transcript? 27 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do 28 that. 29 THE REPORTER: Nr. Dial, would you like to order a 30 transcript. 31 THE REPORTER: Nr. Dial, would you like to order a 31 THE REPORTER: Nr. Dial, would you like to order a 32 THE REPORTER: Nr. Dial, would you like to order a 33 THE REPORTER: Nr. Dial, would you like to order a 34 THE REPORTER: Nr. Dial, would you like to order a 35 THE REPORTER: Nr. Dial, would you like to order a 36 THE REPORTER: Nr. Dial, would you like to order a 37 THE REPORTER: Nr. Dial, would you like to order a 38 THE REPORTER: Nr. Dial, would you like to order a 38 THE REPORTER: Nr. Dial, would you like to order a 39 THE REPORTER: Nr. Dial, would you like to order a 40 THE RE				
12 an analysis against the publications that exist in the 13 security industry. That's a really strange scope of 14 work, and I'm not sure with that scope of work would 15 have any value in a case like this, or frankly, any 16 other, where the subject property did not adopt said 17 standard or publication. 18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  Page 179 1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC member. This is publicly 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he – he — the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There 8 re no standards for lighting. There 9 are no standards for lighting. There 12 are on standards for lighting. There 13 gliding scale. So — and I think most people in this 14 questions for you. 15 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 16 MR. DIAL: I don't have anything. 17 HE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 18 deposition, I assume; right, Karim? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 21 THE REPORTER: Sorry, I'm still on the 22 try of the record. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 25 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 26 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 27 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 28 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 29 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay, I'm sorry. 29 Mr. Bouchard, would you like to order a 31 transcript? 31 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 32 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 33 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 34 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 35 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 36 the record. 37 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 38 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay, I'm sorry. 39 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like to ord				
13 security industry." That's a really strange scope of 14 work, and I'm not sure why that scope of work would 15 have any value in a case like this, or frankly, any 16 other, where the subject property did not adopt said 17 standard or publication.  18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard.  24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because 24 THE REPORTER: Sorry, I'm still on the 26 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because 25 mR. DIAL: Yeah.  Page 179 Page 179 Page 179 Page 181 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay, I'm sorry. Mr. Bouchard, would you like to order a 3 transcript?  1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he — he — the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 16 developed the 2016 version. They're—they're 110 developed the 2016 version. They're—they're 112 standards. And it's a 111 HE REPORTER: Okay. 112 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 113 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 114 THE REPORTER: All right. Thank you, 115 THE REPORTER: All right. Thank you, 116 this is gin bits of your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 116 may 116 the 2016 version. They're—they're 117 the proceeding was not a factor, at 118 deposition, I assume; right, Karim? 117 HE WITNESS: Thank you wanted to read his sign his deposition, 1 sasume; right, Karim? 118 deposition, I assume; right, Karim? 119 THE WITNESS: Thank you wanted to read and sign? 116 the WITNESS: Yes, sir. 118 the				•
14 work, and I'm not sure why that scope of work would 15 have any value in a case like this, or frankly, any 16 other, where the subject property did not adopt said 17 standard or publication. 18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  Page 179 1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he he the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 11 glate according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q D oy ou intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 18 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's at thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 2 questions for you. 14 Questions for you. 15 MR. DIAL: I don't have anything. 16 MR. DIAL: I don't have anything. 17 HE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 18 deposition, I assume; right, Karim? 19 THE WITNESS: Totan's public deposition. I assume; right, Karim? 19 THE WITNESS: Totan's public deposition in America in America and sign? 18 deposition, I assume; right, Karim? 19 THE WITNESS: Totan's public deposition in America and sign? 18 dep	- 1		12	•
15 have any value in a case like this, or frankly, any 16 other, where the subject property did not adopt said 17 standard or publication. 18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  Page 179 1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he - he - the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're - they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 HE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 18 deposition, I assume; right, Karim? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by. Let m 21 get off the record. 22 record. Did you say you wanted to read and sign? 24 THEWITNIESS: Yes, sir. 25 THE REPORTER: Sorry, I'm still on the 26 to roder a transcript? 3 transcript? 3 transcript? 4 MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am. 5 THE REPORTER: MR. Dial, would you lik to order a transcript? 4 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 18 that. 19 THE REPORTER: Okay. 10 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 12 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 14 It aleast according to your plaintiffs. End of	13	B security industry." That's a really strange scope of	13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 other, where the subject property did not adopt said 17 standard or publication. 18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because 26 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because 27 record. Did you say you wanted to read and sign? 28 will he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 29 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he he the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There are unidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're - they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 HE REPORTER: Stand by. Let me 21 deposition, I assume; right, Karim? 18 deposition, I assume; right, Karim? 19 THE REPORTER: Stand by. Let me 21 get off the record. 22 THE REPORTER: Stand by. Let me 22 THE REPORTER: Stand by. Let me 23 get off the record. 23 THE REPORTER: Stand by. Let me 24 THE WITYESS: Yes. MR. DIAL: I don't have any opinions with 24 THE WITYESS: Yes. MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am. 25 THE REPORTER: Wh. Dial, would you like 26 to order a transcript? 28 THE REPORTER: Okay. 30 THE REPORTER: Okay. 40 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 41 THE REPORTER: Okay. 41 THE REPORTER: Okay. 41 THE REPORTER: Okay. 42 THE RE	14	work, and I'm not sure why that scope of work would		•
17 He'll want to read his sign his 18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  Page 179 1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he he the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're - they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 5 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 HE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sorry, I'm still on the 22 record. Did you say you wanted to read and sign? 24 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay, I'm sorry. 25 MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am. 26 to order a transcript? 27 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do 28 that. 29 THE REPORTER: Wes, Did you'd plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 30 transcript? 4 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 31 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 31 transcript? 4 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 32 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 33 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 34 transcript? 4 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 35 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 36 to order a transcript? 36 to order a transcript? 4 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 36 to order a transcript? 4 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 36 to do have some spelli	15	5 have any value in a case like this, or frankly, any	15	
18 So it's kind of like holding a property 19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  Page 179 1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belkhap talked about in his report. 5 And then he he the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There are are not standards. And it's a 10 developed the 2016 version. They're they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q D oy on intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition, I assume; right, Karim? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 23 get off the record. 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 25 MR. DIAL: Yeah.  Page 181  THE REPORTER: Stand by. Let m 26 get off the record. 27 THE WITNESS: Yes. 28 MR. DIAL: Yeah.  Page 181  THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay, I'm sorry.  Mr. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am. 29 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by. Let m 20 to id off the record. 21 do not a transcript? 20 to order a transcript? 31 transcript? 4 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 32 transcript? 4 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 33 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 34 transcript? 4 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 35 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 36 transcript? 36 transcript? 37 the REPORTER: Okay. 38 transcript? 4 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 38 ma'am	16	other, where the subject property did not adopt said	16	, ,
19 accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta 20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  Page 179 1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he – he – the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're—they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So—and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I – if I don't – if I can reserve— 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 25 THE REPORTER: Stand by. Let m 26 the record. 26 THE REPORTER: Stand by. Let m 27 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by. Let m 28 transcript? 28 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 29 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 MR. DIAL: Yeah. 21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by. Let m 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 25 MR. DIAL: Yeah. 26 THE REPORTER: Ority, I'm sorry. 26 THE REPORTER: Ority, I'm sorry. 27 Mr. Bouchard, would you like to order a transcript? 28 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like to order a transcript? 39 THE WITNESS: Yes. 30 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do what. 3	17	standard or publication.	17	He'll want to read his sign his
20 when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how 21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 23 record. Did you say you wanted to read and sign? 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because 25 mR. DIAL: Yeah. 26 MR. DIAL: Yeah. 27 THE WITNESS: Yes. 27 MR. DIAL: Yeah. 28 MR. DIAL: Yeah. 29 MR. Bouchard, would you like to order a 3 transcript? 3 transcript? 3 transcript? 4 MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am. 5 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like to order a 4 transcript? 7 mR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 9 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay. 17 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 9 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay. 18 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 19 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay. 19 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 10 developed the 2016 version. They're — they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So — and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I — if I don't — if I can reserve — 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)	18	So it's kind of like holding a property	18	deposition, I assume; right, Karim?
21 does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant 22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  Page 179 1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he he the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 THE REPORTER: Sorry, I'm still on the 26 record. Did you say you wanted to read and sign? 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. DIAL: Yeah.  Page 181 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay, I'm sorry. MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am. 5 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like to order a transcript? 7 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 9 THE REPORTER: Okay. 10 MS. WOODRICK: Yes. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 12 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you are nectronic copy for me. 13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 14 In the cord. Did you are form to be clear, in a did you are form to be confirm, though. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 17 The REPORTER	19	accountable for not meeting the fire code in Atlanta	19	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
22 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines 23 accountable to an ASIS standard. 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  Page 179  1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he he the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 THE REPORTER: Sorry, I'm still on the 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 25 MR. DIAL: Yeah.  THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay, I'm sorry.  Mr. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am.  THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like to order a transcript?  MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 14 THE REPORTER: Okay.  MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: Okay.  MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: Sorry, I'm still on the 26 THE WITNESS: Yes. 27 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 9 THE REPORTER: Okay.  MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: Okay.  MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 17 THE REPORTER: Okay.  MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 18 THE RE	20	when that property exists in Zimbabwe. It's like, how	20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by. Let m
23 record. Did you say you wanted to read and sign? 24 Next, he's not aware of the research on the 25 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because  Page 179  1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he he the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 25 MR. DIAL: Yeah.  Page 181  THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay, I'm sorry.  MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am.  5 THE REPORTER: Wr. Dial, would you like to order a  3 transcript?  4 MR. BOUCHARD: Wr. Dial, would you like to order a  4 btat.  9 THE REPORTER: Wr. Dial, would you like to order a  15 transcript?  16 to order a transcript?  17 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear,  18 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me.  19 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you.  10 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear,  11 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like to order a  12 transcript?  13 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like to order a  14 that.  9 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like to order a transcript?  14 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like to order a tra	21	does this make any sense? Why is this even a relevant	21	get off the record.
Page 179  1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he he the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There 8 guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So 1 if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.) 25 MR. DIAL: Yeah. 25 MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, Okay, I'm sorry. 26 Mr. Bouchard, would you like to order a 3 transcript? 4 MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am. 5 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like to order a 4 transcript? 7 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do to order a transcript? 7 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do to a that. 3 that. 9 THE REPORTER: Okay. 11 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay. 12 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 14 THE REPORTER: Okay. 13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 14 THE REPORTER: Okay. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: Okay. 16 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 17 that's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to 18 confirm, though. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude (Signature reserved.) (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT	22	2 scope of work? You can't hold Bedford Pines	22	THE REPORTER: Sorry, I'm still on the
Page 179  1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he he the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 SMR. DIAL: Yeah.  Page 181  THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay, I'm sorry.  1 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like to order a transcript?  4 MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am.  5 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like to order a transcript?  7 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do sthat.  9 THE REPORTER: Okay.  10 MS. WOODRICK: Yes.  11 THE REPORTER: Okay.  11 THE REPORTER: Okay.  12 Mr. BOUCHARD: And is a THE REPORTER: Okay.  13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me.  14 THE REPORTER: Okay.  15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you.  16 THE REPORTER: Okay.  17 THE REPORTER: Okay.  18 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me.  19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude to confirm, though.  19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude of that is a thing I'm allowed to do to.  20 One second. This concludes this video deposition.  21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record.  22 (Signature reserved.)  23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:	23	accountable to an ASIS standard.	23	record. Did you say you wanted to read and sign?
Page 179  Page 179  Page 181  1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he — he — the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're — they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So — and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I — if I don't — if I can reserve— 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)	24	Next, he's not aware of the research on the	24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he he the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay, I'm sorry. 25 Mr. Bouchard, would you like to order a 3 transcript? 4 MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am. 5 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you lik 6 to order a transcript? 7 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 9 THE REPORTER: Okay. 10 MS. WOODRICK: Yes. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 12 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank just to be clear, 13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 14 THE REPORTER: Okay. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: Okay. 16 THE REPORTER: Okay. 17 THE REPORTER: Okay. 18 THE REPORTER: Okay. 19 THE REPORTER: Okay. 10 MS. WOODRICK: Yes. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 12 THE REPORTER: Okay. 13 THE REPORTER: Okay. 14 I deast according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: Okay. 17 THE REPORTER: Okay. 18 THE REPORTER: Okay. 19 THE REPORTER: Okay. 10 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay	25	5 risky behaviors, which is a little concerning, because	25	MR. DIAL: Yeah.
1 he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly 2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he he the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay, I'm sorry. 25 Mr. Bouchard, would you like to order a 3 transcript? 4 MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am. 5 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you lik 6 to order a transcript? 7 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 9 THE REPORTER: Okay. 10 MS. WOODRICK: Yes. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 12 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank just to be clear, 13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 14 THE REPORTER: Okay. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: Okay. 16 THE REPORTER: Okay. 17 THE REPORTER: Okay. 18 THE REPORTER: Okay. 19 THE REPORTER: Okay. 10 MS. WOODRICK: Yes. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 12 THE REPORTER: Okay. 13 THE REPORTER: Okay. 14 I deast according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: Okay. 17 THE REPORTER: Okay. 18 THE REPORTER: Okay. 19 THE REPORTER: Okay. 10 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay		Page 179		Page 181
2 available on the IAPSC website. And this is probably 3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the 4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he he the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 2 MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am. 5 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like to order a 3 transcript? 4 MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am. 5 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like to order a 4 MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am. 5 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like to order a 4 MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am. 5 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like to order a 4 MR. BOUCHARD: Twill let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 9 THE REPORTER: Okay. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 12 MR. BOUCHARD: Twill let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 9 THE REPORTER: Okay. 13 MR. BOUCHARD: Twill let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 14 THE REPORTER: Wr. Dial, would you like to order a transcript? 7 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 9 THE REPORTER: Okay. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 12 MR. BOUCHARD: Twill let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 9 THE REPORTER: Okay. 13 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 14 THE REPORTER: Okay. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: Okay. 16 THE REPORTER: Okay. 17 The VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concl	1	he's trying to be an IAPSC member. This is publicly	1	
4 stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report. 5 And then he he the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  4 MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am. 5 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like 6 to order a transcript? 7 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 9 THE REPORTER: Okay. 10 MS. WOODRICK: Yes. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 12 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 14 THE REPORTER: Okay. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 16 THE REPORTER: All right. Thank you. 17 That's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to 18 confirm, though. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)			2	Mr. Bouchard, would you like to order a
5 And then he he the last thing is he 6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  5 THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you lik to order a transcript? 7 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 9 THE REPORTER: Okay. 10 MS. WOODRICK: Yes. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 12 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 14 THE REPORTER: Okay. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: All right. Thank you. 17 that's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to confirm, though. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)	3	3 not his fault, but he was not aware of, you know, the	3	transcript?
6 talked about industry standards for lighting. There 7 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  6 to order a transcript? 7 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 9 THE REPORTER: Okay. 10 MS. WOODRICK: Yes. 11 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay. 12 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 14 THE REPORTER: Okay. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: All right. Thank you. 17 that's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to sonfirm, though. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)	4	stuff that Mark Belknap talked about in his report.	4	MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, ma'am.
7 are no standards for lighting. There are guidelines. 8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  7 MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do 8 that. 9 THE REPORTER: Okay. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 12 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 14 THE REPORTER: Okay. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: All right. Thank you. 17 that's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to 18 confirm, though. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 Gignature reserved.) 24 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m.	5	And then he he the last thing is he	5	THE REPORTER: Mr. Dial, would you like
8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're—they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So—and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I—if I don't—if I can reserve— 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 wespect to Dr. Gray.  8 that.  9 THE REPORTER: Okay. 10 MS. WOODRICK: Yes. 11 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. 12 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 14 THE REPORTER: Okay. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: All right. Thank you, 17 that's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to 18 confirm, though. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 respect to Dr. Gray.	6	talked about industry standards for lighting. There	6	to order a transcript?
8 They're published by the Illuminating Engineering 9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're—they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So—and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I—if I don't—if I can reserve— 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 wespect to Dr. Gray.  8 that.  9 THE REPORTER: Okay. 10 MS. WOODRICK: Yes. 11 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay. 11 THE REPORTER: And just to be clear, 12 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 14 THE REPORTER: Okay. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: All right. Thank you, 17 that's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to 18 confirm, though. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 respect to Dr. Gray.			7	MR. DIAL: I will let Ms. Woodrick do
9 Society of North America. I was on the committee that 10 developed the 2016 version. They're they're 11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 THE REPORTER: Okay. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: All right. Thank you, 17 that's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to 18 confirm, though. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)			8	that.
11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  11 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay. 12 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 14 THE REPORTER: Okay. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: All right. Thank you, 17 that's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to 18 confirm, though. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)	9	Society of North America. I was on the committee that	9	THE REPORTER: Okay.
11 guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a 12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  11 THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay. 12 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 14 THE REPORTER: Okay. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: Okay. 16 THE REPORTER: Okay. 17 that's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to 18 confirm, though. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)	10	developed the 2016 version. They're they're	10	MS. WOODRICK: Yes.
12 sliding scale. So and I think most people in this 13 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at 14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  12 MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear, 13 ma'am, just an electronic copy for me. 14 THE REPORTER: Okay. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: All right. Thank you, 17 that's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to 18 confirm, though. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)	11	guidelines. They're not standards. And it's a	11	THE REPORTER: Yes? Okay.
14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  14 THE REPORTER: Okay. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: All right. Thank you, 17 that's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to 18 confirm, though. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)	- 1		12	MR. BOUCHARD: And just to be clear,
14 least according to your plaintiffs. End of TED Talk. 15 Q Does that cover it? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  14 THE REPORTER: Okay. 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: All right. Thank you, 17 that's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to 18 confirm, though. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)	13	3 case testified that lighting was not a factor, at	13	ma'am, just an electronic copy for me.
15 Q Does that cover it?  16 A Yes, sir.  17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray?  19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  15 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. 16 THE REPORTER: All right. Thank you, 17 that's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to 18 confirm, though. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)	- 1		14	THE REPORTER: Okay.
16 A Yes, sir.  17 Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray? 19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  16 THE REPORTER: All right. Thank you, 17 that's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to 18 confirm, though. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)			15	MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you.
17 that's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to 18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray?  19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  17 that's all I need. I do have some spellings I need to 18 confirm, though. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)	16		16	•
18 as it relates to Dr. Jane Gray?  19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  18 confirm, though.  19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m.	17	Q Do you intend to provide rebuttal opinions	17	
19 A I don't know, because I don't know what 20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude 20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)	18		18	
20 Dr. Jane Gray is ultimately going to do in her 21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  20 One second. This concludes this video deposition. 21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)	- 1	-	19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let me conclude
21 deposition. So I if I don't if I can reserve 22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  21 The time is 5:24 p.m. and we are off the record. 22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)	20		20	
22 I don't know if that's a thing I'm allowed to do to. 23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray.  22 (Signature reserved.) 23 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)			21	_
23 But as of right now, I don't have any opinions with 24 respect to Dr. Gray. 24 (Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m. CDT/5:24 p.m. 25 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)	- 1			
24 respect to Dr. Gray. 24 EDT, the proceeding was concluded.)			23	
			24	
	25	MR. BOUCHARD: Jad, if we can go off	25	

46 (Pages 178 - 181)

	Page 182		Page 184
1	CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSITION OFFICER	1 TO: JACKSON DIAL, ESQ.	
2	I, SUSAN KARETNY, the officer before whom	2 Re: Signature of Deponent Karim Vellani	
3	the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby	3 Date Errata due back at our offices: 30 days 4	
4	certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing	5 Greetings:	
5	proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn;	6 The deponent has reserved the right to read and sign.	
6		Please have the deponent review the attached PDF	
7	thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified	7 transcript, noting any changes or corrections on the	
	transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of	attached PDF Errata. The deponent may fill out the 8 Errata electronically or print and fill out manually.	
	said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the	9	
	best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am	Once the Errata is signed by the deponent and notarized,	
11		10 please mail it to the offices of Veritext (below).	
12		When the signed France is not used to us we will see	
	and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of	When the signed Errata is returned to us, we will seal 12 and forward to the taking attorney to file with the	
	any counsel or attorney employed by the parties	original transcript. We will also send copies of the	
	hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the	13 Errata to all ordering parties.	
	outcome of this actio	14	
10	Juliu Carely	If the signed Errata is not returned within the time 15 above, the original transcript may be filed with the	
17	SUSAN KARETNY	court without the signature of the deponent.	
18	Notary Public in and for the	16	
19	State of Georgia	17	
20	State of Georgia	18 Please send completed Errata to:	
21	[X] Review of the transcript was requested.	<ul><li>19 Veritext Production Facility</li><li>20 20 Mansell Court</li></ul>	
22	[A] Review of the transcript was requested.	21 Suite 300	
23		22 Roswell, GA 30076	
24		23 (770) 343-9696	
25		24 CS-SOUTHEAST@VERITEXT.COM 25	
		25	
	Page 183		Page 185
1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677	Page 185
1 2	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677 2 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the	Page 185
1 2 3	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677	Page 185
1 2 3 4	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said	ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677     I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that	Page 185
1 2 3	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677 2 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that 3	Page 185
1 2 3 4 5 6	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and	<ol> <li>ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677</li> <li>I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that</li> <li>There are no changes noted.</li> <li>The following changes are noted:</li> </ol>	Page 185
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to,	<ol> <li>ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677</li> <li>I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that</li> <li>There are no changes noted.</li> <li>The following changes are noted:</li> <li>Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil</li> </ol>	Page 185
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677 2 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that 3 4 There are no changes noted. 5 The following changes are noted: 6 Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil 7 Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or	Page 185
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a	<ol> <li>ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677</li> <li>I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that</li> <li>There are no changes noted.</li> <li>The following changes are noted:</li> <li>Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil</li> <li>Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall</li> </ol>	Page 185
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677 2 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that 3 4 There are no changes noted. 5 The following changes are noted: 6 Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil 7 Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall 8 be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the	Page 185
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or	<ol> <li>ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677</li> <li>I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that</li> <li>There are no changes noted.</li> <li>The following changes are noted:</li> <li>Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil</li> <li>Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall</li> </ol>	Page 185
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or	<ol> <li>ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677</li> <li>I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that</li> <li> There are no changes noted.</li> <li> The following changes are noted:</li> <li>Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil</li> <li>Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall</li> <li>be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reasons given for making them. To assist you in making any</li> </ol>	Page 185
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.	<ol> <li>ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677</li> <li>I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that</li> <li> There are no changes noted.</li> <li> The following changes are noted:</li> <li>Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil</li> <li>Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall</li> <li>be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reasons given for making them. To assist you in making any</li> <li>such corrections, please use the form below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish same and attach.</li> </ol>	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.	<ol> <li>ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677</li> <li>I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that</li> <li> There are no changes noted.</li> <li> The following changes are noted:</li> <li>Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil</li> <li>Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall</li> <li>be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reasons given for making them. To assist you in making any</li> <li>such corrections, please use the form below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish same and attach.</li> <li>Page Line Change</li> </ol>	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677 2 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that 3 4 There are no changes noted. 5 The following changes are noted: 6 Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil 7 Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall 8 be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reasons given for making them. To assist you in making any 9 such corrections, please use the form below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish same and attach. 10 11 Page Line Change	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677 2 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that 3 4 There are no changes noted. 5 The following changes are noted: 6 Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil 7 Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall 8 be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reasons given for making them. To assist you in making any 9 such corrections, please use the form below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish same and attach. 10 11 Page Line Change	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677 2 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that 3 4 There are no changes noted. 5 The following changes are noted: 6 Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil 7 Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall 8 be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reasons given for making them. To assist you in making any 9 such corrections, please use the form below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish same and attach. 10 11 Page Line Change	_
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677 2 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that 3 4 There are no changes noted. 5 The following changes are noted: 6 Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil 7 Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall 8 be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reasons given for making them. To assist you in making any 9 such corrections, please use the form below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish same and attach. 10 11 Page Line Change	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677 2 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that 3 4 There are no changes noted. 5 The following changes are noted: 6 Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil 7 Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall 8 be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reasons given for making them. To assist you in making any 9 such corrections, please use the form below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish same and attach. 10 11 Page Line Change	_
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677 2 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that 3 4 There are no changes noted. 5 The following changes are noted: 6 Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil 7 Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall 8 be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reasons given for making them. To assist you in making any 9 such corrections, please use the form below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish same and attach. 10 11 Page Line Change	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677 2 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that 3 4 There are no changes noted. 5 The following changes are noted: 6 Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil 7 Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall 8 be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reasons given for making them. To assist you in making any 9 such corrections, please use the form below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish same and attach. 10 11 Page Line Change	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677 2 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that 3 4 There are no changes noted. 5 The following changes are noted: 6 Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil 7 Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall 8 be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reasons given for making them. To assist you in making any 9 such corrections, please use the form below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish same and attach. 10 11 Page Line Change	
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677 2 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that 3 4 There are no changes noted. 5 The following changes are noted: 6 Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil 7 Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall 8 be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reasons given for making them. To assist you in making any 9 such corrections, please use the form below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish same and attach. 10 11 Page Line Change	
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677 2 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that 3 4 There are no changes noted. 5 The following changes are noted: 6 Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil 7 Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall 8 be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reasons given for making them. To assist you in making any 9 such corrections, please use the form below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish same and attach. 10 11 Page Line Change	
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, AMANDA WELLS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.	1 ERRATA for ASSIGNMENT #6748677 2 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript of my testimony, and that 3 4 There are no changes noted. 5 The following changes are noted: 6 Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil 7 Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall 8 be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reasons given for making them. To assist you in making any 9 such corrections, please use the form below. If additional pages are necessary, please furnish same and attach. 10 11 Page Line Change	

47 (Pages 182 - 185)

# Case 1:22-cv-01696-VMC Document 130-12 Filed 12/30/24 Page 48 of 111 Karim Vellani June 12, 2024

#### Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC

		Page 186
	Page Line Change	
	Reason for change Change	
	1 age Line Change	
6	Reason for change	
	Page Line Change	
	Reason for change	
	Page Line Change	
	Reason for change	
	Page Line Change	
14		
15	Reason for change	
	Page Line Change	
17		
	Reason for change	
19 20		
20	DEPONENT'S SIGNATURE	_
21	22101.2.1120101.11101.2	
	Sworn to and subscribed before me this day of	
22		
23		
24	NOTARY PUBLIC	
	My Commission Expires:	
	Try Commission Expires	

48 (Page 186)

[**&** - **30**] Page 1

		I	1
&	<b>123</b> 98:3	<b>2.8</b> 69:12	<b>2024</b> 1:14 5:3
<b>&amp;</b> 2:13,20 6:16	<b>126</b> 39:19 40:2	<b>20</b> 122:25	5:14 157:7
23:9	<b>12:04</b> 1:15	169:10 184:20	<b>21</b> 75:12
0	<b>13</b> 50:23 85:13	<b>200</b> 126:17	122:14 156:9
	85:25 90:2	<b>2003</b> 157:9	156:15
<b>01.02</b> 23:9	<b>14</b> 36:16 99:11	<b>2013</b> 64:14	<b>22</b> 138:4
<b>01692</b> 8:2	99:16	<b>2015</b> 23:15	<b>229</b> 2:5
<b>01695</b> 8:2	<b>1420</b> 2:21	157:8	<b>23</b> 92:19
<b>01696</b> 1:9 8:1	<b>15</b> 36:16 99:16	<b>2016</b> 179:10	129:24 130:5
<b>01:07</b> 31:6	114:6 131:13	<b>2019</b> 81:16	131:22
1	<b>16</b> 99:11,17	<b>2020</b> 31:5	<b>24/7</b> 85:8
<b>1</b> 4:8 28:5,9,11	101:24 102:23	38:15 41:8,17	<b>2400</b> 2:14
30:5,6,22,25	108:11 114:18	42:3,6,11,23	<b>25</b> 61:18,20
36:13 50:14	114:24 131:13	47:14 54:11	62:1,4,14,25
61:13 72:16	16-11-36	56:3 59:11	63:2,9 75:12
74:5,5 101:24	106:18 108:2	61:15 62:15	<b>25484</b> 182:16
138:4 139:23	<b>17</b> 72:25	64:1 67:7 71:9	<b>27</b> 69:14 72:25
143:13	115:22 117:17	80:16 83:13,18	129:8 131:9,12
<b>1,000</b> 27:11	121:22	93:12,16,23	132:5 177:23
154:25 156:19	<b>18</b> 122:25	96:1,3,7,8	<b>28</b> 4:9
175:2	156:9,15	102:20 107:15	<b>280</b> 17:24
<b>10</b> 70:25 72:16	168:11	108:25 109:10	<b>284</b> 140:23
75:20 78:25	<b>180</b> 134:24	110:10 111:2,7	143:14
126:6 170:17	<b>19</b> 40:2 73:3	111:13 112:14	<b>29th</b> 29:24
<b>100</b> 40:25	<b>19's</b> 40:5	113:13 114:5	<b>2:32</b> 72:10
135:22,24	<b>1990s</b> 143:1	118:14,19,21	<b>2:38</b> 72:13
<b>101</b> 127:11	<b>1:04</b> 1:15 5:3,9	118:22 119:2	3
154:4	<b>1:07</b> 31:6	119:23 121:19	
<b>11</b> 39:23 40:2,4	<b>1:22</b> 1:9 8:1,2,2	121:23 150:3	<b>3</b> 74:5,7 151:21
72:18 74:9	<b>1:40</b> 35:12	161:21 163:7	151:21 154:11
11703 5:14	<b>1:43</b> 35:15	<b>2021</b> 59:18	154:21
<b>11703</b> 5.14 <b>12</b> 1:14 5:3,13	2	93:14 96:1	<b>30</b> 20:13 31:5
57:5 82:10		101:20 118:20	37:24 41:8,17
84:24 124:18	<b>2</b> 39:19 148:17	<b>2022</b> 93:14	42:3,6,11,23
04.24 124.10	148:22 149:5,6		47:14 49:14
			63:25 67:7

Veritext Legal Solutions

Page 2

### [30 - accountable]

	1	T	1
79:16 80:16	<b>4:24</b> 181:23	175:1	53:7 57:1
83:13,18 87:7	5	<b>733</b> 61:5	77:24 105:21
96:2 108:24	<b>5</b> 28:5 50:14,22	<b>75</b> 61:16 62:3	135:3 139:20
112:14 113:10	<b>50/50</b> 169:1	63:8	139:21 144:15
113:13 116:14	<b>500</b> 27:11	<b>770</b> 184:23	161:10 162:22
116:20 118:14	154:25 156:19	<b>77407</b> 1:17	164:21 165:13
119:2,23	175:1	5:14	165:18 166:12
120:12 121:23	<b>52</b> 165:23	8	166:15 168:11
150:3 165:1	<b>55</b> 50:25	<b>8</b> 31:2 39:9	176:10
168:8,11	<b>557</b> 61:6 62:3	61:12,14 141:4	abnormal
175:16 184:3	<b>5:08</b> 180:8	142:1,7,13	161:16
185:6	<b>5:23</b> 180:11	144:9 162:19	<b>above</b> 66:15
<b>300</b> 184:21	<b>5:24</b> 181:21,23	165:24 166:1,2	97:12,15
<b>30014</b> 183:14		<b>80</b> 160:1	138:23,25
<b>30076</b> 184:22	6	<b>800</b> 2:21	171:20 184:15
<b>30303</b> 2:6	<b>60</b> 81:11 160:1	<b>876-2700</b> 2:17	absence 106:15
<b>30309</b> 2:22	<b>60/40</b> 169:1	<b>888-6168</b> 2:24	134:17,18,19
<b>30326</b> 2:15	<b>60s</b> 17:11 39:12		absent 5:19
<b>30th</b> 33:23 35:2	<b>639</b> 36:20	9	absolutely
<b>30th</b> 33:23 35:2 36:21 47:1	48:17 49:17	<b>9</b> 39:9 63:17	<b>absolutely</b> 122:21 146:4
	48:17 49:17 105:5 106:1	<b>9</b> 39:9 63:17 69:6,14 162:19	
36:21 47:1	48:17 49:17 105:5 106:1 <b>645</b> 105:3	<b>9</b> 39:9 63:17 69:6,14 162:19 <b>9-11-30</b> 185:7	122:21 146:4
36:21 47:1 101:13 149:16	48:17 49:17 105:5 106:1 <b>645</b> 105:3 113:10	9 39:9 63:17 69:6,14 162:19 9-11-30 185:7 90 134:7 136:8	122:21 146:4 158:17
36:21 47:1 101:13 149:16 149:21	48:17 49:17 105:5 106:1 <b>645</b> 105:3 113:10 <b>658-9070</b> 2:8	9 39:9 63:17 69:6,14 162:19 9-11-30 185:7 90 134:7 136:8 90s 58:24	122:21 146:4 158:17 <b>abstract</b> 45:24
36:21 47:1 101:13 149:16 149:21 <b>31</b> 39:18	48:17 49:17 105:5 106:1 <b>645</b> 105:3 113:10 <b>658-9070</b> 2:8 <b>6748677</b> 1:19	9 39:9 63:17 69:6,14 162:19 9-11-30 185:7 90 134:7 136:8 90s 58:24 95 112:24	122:21 146:4 158:17 <b>abstract</b> 45:24 <b>academic</b> 77:7
36:21 47:1 101:13 149:16 149:21 <b>31</b> 39:18 148:18 151:21	48:17 49:17 105:5 106:1 <b>645</b> 105:3 113:10 <b>658-9070</b> 2:8 <b>6748677</b> 1:19 185:1	9 39:9 63:17 69:6,14 162:19 9-11-30 185:7 90 134:7 136:8 90s 58:24	122:21 146:4 158:17 <b>abstract</b> 45:24 <b>academic</b> 77:7 128:16 130:12
36:21 47:1 101:13 149:16 149:21 <b>31</b> 39:18 148:18 151:21 156:9,15	48:17 49:17 105:5 106:1 <b>645</b> 105:3 113:10 <b>658-9070</b> 2:8 <b>6748677</b> 1:19	9 39:9 63:17 69:6,14 162:19 9-11-30 185:7 90 134:7 136:8 90s 58:24 95 112:24	122:21 146:4 158:17 <b>abstract</b> 45:24 <b>academic</b> 77:7 128:16 130:12 <b>accepted</b> 18:11
36:21 47:1 101:13 149:16 149:21 31 39:18 148:18 151:21 156:9,15 3344 2:14	48:17 49:17 105:5 106:1 <b>645</b> 105:3 113:10 <b>658-9070</b> 2:8 <b>6748677</b> 1:19 185:1	9 39:9 63:17 69:6,14 162:19 9-11-30 185:7 90 134:7 136:8 90s 58:24 95 112:24 99.9 40:24	122:21 146:4 158:17 <b>abstract</b> 45:24 <b>academic</b> 77:7 128:16 130:12 <b>accepted</b> 18:11 68:20
36:21 47:1 101:13 149:16 149:21 31 39:18 148:18 151:21 156:9,15 3344 2:14 343-9696	48:17 49:17 105:5 106:1 <b>645</b> 105:3 113:10 <b>658-9070</b> 2:8 <b>6748677</b> 1:19 185:1 <b>6:45</b> 108:24	9 39:9 63:17 69:6,14 162:19 9-11-30 185:7 90 134:7 136:8 90s 58:24 95 112:24 99.9 40:24	122:21 146:4 158:17 <b>abstract</b> 45:24 <b>academic</b> 77:7 128:16 130:12 <b>accepted</b> 18:11 68:20 <b>access</b> 15:20
36:21 47:1 101:13 149:16 149:21 31 39:18 148:18 151:21 156:9,15 3344 2:14 343-9696 184:23	48:17 49:17 105:5 106:1 <b>645</b> 105:3 113:10 <b>658-9070</b> 2:8 <b>6748677</b> 1:19 185:1 <b>6:45</b> 108:24	9 39:9 63:17 69:6,14 162:19 9-11-30 185:7 90 134:7 136:8 90s 58:24 95 112:24 99.9 40:24 a a.m. 31:6 36:13	122:21 146:4 158:17 <b>abstract</b> 45:24 <b>academic</b> 77:7 128:16 130:12 <b>accepted</b> 18:11 68:20 <b>access</b> 15:20 44:10 60:22 88:12 113:24 <b>accident</b>
36:21 47:1 101:13 149:16 149:21 31 39:18 148:18 151:21 156:9,15 3344 2:14 343-9696 184:23 3:34 114:12	48:17 49:17 105:5 106:1 645 105:3 113:10 658-9070 2:8 6748677 1:19 185:1 6:45 108:24 7	9 39:9 63:17 69:6,14 162:19 9-11-30 185:7 90 134:7 136:8 90s 58:24 95 112:24 99.9 40:24 a a.m. 31:6 36:13 abiding 106:20	122:21 146:4 158:17 <b>abstract</b> 45:24 <b>academic</b> 77:7 128:16 130:12 <b>accepted</b> 18:11 68:20 <b>access</b> 15:20 44:10 60:22 88:12 113:24 <b>accident</b> 165:17
36:21 47:1 101:13 149:16 149:21 31 39:18 148:18 151:21 156:9,15 3344 2:14 343-9696 184:23 3:34 114:12 4 4 162:18	48:17 49:17 105:5 106:1 <b>645</b> 105:3 113:10 <b>658-9070</b> 2:8 <b>6748677</b> 1:19 185:1 <b>6:45</b> 108:24 <b>7</b> <b>7</b> 4:3 50:24 51:4 54:12	9 39:9 63:17 69:6,14 162:19 9-11-30 185:7 90 134:7 136:8 90s 58:24 95 112:24 99.9 40:24 a a.m. 31:6 36:13 abiding 106:20 ability 20:22	122:21 146:4 158:17 <b>abstract</b> 45:24 <b>academic</b> 77:7 128:16 130:12 <b>accepted</b> 18:11 68:20 <b>access</b> 15:20 44:10 60:22 88:12 113:24 <b>accident</b> 165:17 <b>accountable</b>
36:21 47:1 101:13 149:16 149:21 31 39:18 148:18 151:21 156:9,15 3344 2:14 343-9696 184:23 3:34 114:12 4 4 162:18 40 175:16	48:17 49:17 105:5 106:1 <b>645</b> 105:3 113:10 <b>658-9070</b> 2:8 <b>6748677</b> 1:19 185:1 <b>6:45</b> 108:24 <b>7</b> <b>7</b> 4:3 50:24 51:4 54:12 185:6	9 39:9 63:17 69:6,14 162:19 9-11-30 185:7 90 134:7 136:8 90s 58:24 95 112:24 99.9 40:24 a a.m. 31:6 36:13 abiding 106:20 ability 20:22 110:6 125:5,11	122:21 146:4 158:17 <b>abstract</b> 45:24 <b>academic</b> 77:7 128:16 130:12 <b>accepted</b> 18:11 68:20 <b>access</b> 15:20 44:10 60:22 88:12 113:24 <b>accident</b> 165:17 <b>accountable</b> 157:10,13
36:21 47:1 101:13 149:16 149:21 31 39:18 148:18 151:21 156:9,15 3344 2:14 343-9696 184:23 3:34 114:12 4 4 162:18 40 175:16 404 2:8,17,24	48:17 49:17 105:5 106:1 <b>645</b> 105:3 113:10 <b>658-9070</b> 2:8 <b>6748677</b> 1:19 185:1 <b>6:45</b> 108:24 <b>7</b> <b>7</b> 4:3 50:24 51:4 54:12 185:6 <b>70</b> 160:1	9 39:9 63:17 69:6,14 162:19 9-11-30 185:7 90 134:7 136:8 90s 58:24 95 112:24 99.9 40:24 a a.m. 31:6 36:13 abiding 106:20 ability 20:22 110:6 125:5,11 125:12 150:23	122:21 146:4 158:17 <b>abstract</b> 45:24 <b>academic</b> 77:7 128:16 130:12 <b>accepted</b> 18:11 68:20 <b>access</b> 15:20 44:10 60:22 88:12 113:24 <b>accident</b> 165:17 <b>accountable</b> 157:10,13 158:16,17,18
36:21 47:1 101:13 149:16 149:21 31 39:18 148:18 151:21 156:9,15 3344 2:14 343-9696 184:23 3:34 114:12 4 4 162:18 40 175:16	48:17 49:17 105:5 106:1 645 105:3 113:10 658-9070 2:8 6748677 1:19 185:1 6:45 108:24 7 7 4:3 50:24 51:4 54:12 185:6 70 160:1 700 75:18	9 39:9 63:17 69:6,14 162:19 9-11-30 185:7 90 134:7 136:8 90s 58:24 95 112:24 99.9 40:24 a a.m. 31:6 36:13 abiding 106:20 ability 20:22 110:6 125:5,11 125:12 150:23 182:10 183:7	122:21 146:4 158:17 <b>abstract</b> 45:24 <b>academic</b> 77:7 128:16 130:12 <b>accepted</b> 18:11 68:20 <b>access</b> 15:20 44:10 60:22 88:12 113:24 <b>accident</b> 165:17 <b>accountable</b> 157:10,13

Veritext Legal Solutions

### [accountable - agree]

Page 3

178:19,23	116:3 117:11	address 15:19	affiliated 16:22
accurate 9:14	117:20,23	56:16 84:5	17:15 18:5,7
182:9 183:5	119:20 120:7	100:12	18:10 64:22
acknowledge	120:22 121:5	addressed 15:2	65:2 66:12
95:16	122:7 124:6,6	15:2 53:18	73:12 78:3
acknowledg	140:3 149:10	55:25 102:1,4	81:25 140:21
5:16	160:8,15 161:5	102:7,8 103:10	140:24
act 8:21 98:19	176:23 177:8	addressing	affiliates 18:19
125:8	<b>actor</b> 166:4	40:7	affiliating
<b>action</b> 137:23	actors 38:20	adequate 82:13	64:19
182:12,16	59:5 100:13	administer	affiliation
183:8,12	153:16 154:2,2	5:16	63:19 64:17
<b>actions</b> 159:12	acts 127:21	administrative	67:3 76:15
<b>active</b> 26:25	<b>actual</b> 26:17	54:13 55:10	140:7,15
41:7 42:6,22	27:20 66:4	admit 66:5	141:22 142:6
43:3,21 89:21	164:12	146:6	143:8,13
169:9	actually 18:11	admitted 64:13	144:16 145:1
actively 120:17	19:24 20:22	64:15 66:7	145:13,23
133:9	22:25 23:15	67:15,20	146:10
activities	32:6 37:4	168:10	afternoon 5:6
122:11	41:13 52:2	adolescent	6:9 7:10
activity 11:25	53:11 58:1	144:8	<b>age</b> 176:14
14:25 15:8,13	65:11 71:3	<b>adopt</b> 157:6,6	agency 71:4
16:13 17:4	73:14 86:19	158:19 174:4	103:21
18:18,23 48:19	94:10,12 102:9	174:10 178:16	aggravated
58:2 59:20	125:7 128:17	adopted 157:8	31:4 77:19
60:2 64:11	143:18,25	157:9 158:16	aggressive
69:7,9,13,15,21	152:14	175:3	130:1,4
69:23 70:1,5	<b>adapt</b> 109:12	adopting	<b>ago</b> 13:25
70:25 73:12	<b>add</b> 158:15	157:12	69:12 90:6
74:6,18,21,23	adding 144:1	advance 96:15	135:2 137:9
75:8,12 76:15	additional	<b>affect</b> 105:17	169:8
87:17 88:6	185:9	affiliate 17:21	<b>agree</b> 5:17,21
91:5,21 95:22	additionally	65:19,21 66:22	9:8 18:1 22:6
97:14 115:23	5:19	142:12,21	22:10,15 25:23

Veritext Legal Solutions

#### [agree - apartment]

Page 4

			_
39:16 40:9	alarmed 107:6	162:24 169:11	answered 9:18
47:2 72:23	<b>alert</b> 134:23	170:8 178:12	10:19 19:13
78:4 85:4 89:9	<b>align</b> 53:10	analyze 167:15	156:6
102:9 107:14	<b>allan</b> 126:17	analyzed	answering
112:12 117:25	allocate 169:24	154:23	32:21
120:23,24	<b>allow</b> 153:6	<b>anaya</b> 139:15	<b>answers</b> 130:16
121:16 128:15	allowed 45:20	139:20	anticipate
128:22 131:24	98:8 179:22	ancillary	25:16 26:1,9
132:4,11	allowing 62:5	141:14,17	75:21
135:22,22	<b>allows</b> 125:7	anecdotally	anticipating
136:5 138:11	<b>alluded</b> 58:4,22	78:19	26:12
148:18,24	116:21 134:14	answer 8:11	anybody 52:14
152:1,6 153:1	alludes 39:14	9:16 17:2	94:22,23,24
159:5,11,15	alluding 162:12	27:19 32:8,11	95:20 115:19
167:4,8,14,19	alternatively	32:16,19 33:3	120:17 126:11
170:19 176:22	26:23	33:14 34:22	140:2
177:20	<b>amanda</b> 183:2	36:24 44:19	<b>apart</b> 107:20
agreeable 8:9	183:15	48:20 53:8,11	apartment
8:13,15,23	america 179:9	55:15 56:19	10:20 11:4
agreed 18:21	<b>amoco</b> 37:8,9	57:1 58:18	27:5,11 37:8
ahead 86:8	48:9,10 49:5,6	62:14 64:3,20	48:9,10 57:19
<b>ahmed</b> 20:1,14	<b>amount</b> 27:12	64:22 65:3	57:20 60:1
20:17 91:9	94:9 124:15	66:5 67:25	62:8,24 86:6
152:5 155:11	155:3,8	71:18 80:18	88:2 90:23
156:1,1,4	amounts	81:4 91:16	95:9 96:13
<b>ahmed's</b> 30:16	131:22	106:7 128:7	97:6 98:2,5,21
86:11 109:17	analysis 4:8	130:13,16	98:25 99:2,6
159:22 173:13	6:21 11:25	132:17,24	109:6 110:6,22
173:15	12:20,22 13:3	142:16 146:2	111:1 117:7
<b>ain't</b> 62:5 166:1	26:18 34:5	147:11 148:5	120:19 123:8
<b>al</b> 4:9 28:22	37:18 59:3	149:13 156:7	125:18,25
<b>alanna</b> 56:13	69:24 70:2,4	163:3,19	131:15 132:2
56:20	82:17,23 83:7	170:15	133:4,6 135:19
<b>alarm</b> 106:23	84:17,23	answer's 13:12	140:18 151:1
107:2	138:17 162:14		152:17,21

Veritext Legal Solutions

#### [apartment - assess]

Page 5

	_		
154:25 155:9	appears 46:13	120:2 134:6,10	156:24 157:5
155:12,16,18	80:8 148:18	134:16 135:21	158:16 174:3,9
155:21,21	appendix 86:22	136:3,8,20	174:23 175:12
156:19,23,24	<b>apples</b> 112:2,2	153:20 159:24	175:13 178:23
157:3,21	applicability	areas 88:7,8	<b>asked</b> 37:3 43:4
159:24 160:1	174:13	94:19 98:22	46:14 48:13
162:16,17,21	applicable 5:25	131:14 136:11	55:17 56:1,15
164:6 176:16	154:20	138:7	64:24 75:2
apartments	applied 19:18	<b>argue</b> 71:15	82:19 83:1
99:23 104:22	167:1,2	160:4	89:7 111:15
126:13 162:2,5	<b>apply</b> 167:5	<b>armed</b> 84:11	121:25 141:2
<b>apd</b> 33:8 37:22	174:25	123:11,13,22	144:22 159:17
38:4,6,10,11	applying	123:25 124:5,8	167:3 169:13
39:11 42:2,5	167:15,21	124:10 125:1	169:14 172:6
42:10,15,16,19	appreciate 7:17	127:20 153:5	172:20,24
42:21 43:2,23	27:24 34:24	153:10 177:25	173:1,3,4,5,7
44:7,11 46:7	55:22	178:5	178:11
47:17 49:23	apprehended	<b>arms</b> 124:22	asking 13:11
87:21 89:9,14	153:21	arrest 12:12	20:16,17 33:20
91:18,18 93:10	approach 25:2	166:18,22	43:4 44:17
102:4,8,17	91:23 153:12	arrested	48:18 54:3
103:8 109:25	154:13	149:21 177:5	60:4 65:14
110:21 115:17	approximately	arrests 64:5	81:12,15 129:9
122:7 138:6	31:5 54:24	<b>art</b> 89:5	154:5 163:11
139:10 161:14	61:16 155:3	article 82:14	163:13,17
165:1	168:16	articles 14:19	173:19
apd's 42:22	<b>april</b> 29:24	23:20	asks 167:4
92:10	96:7 110:9	<b>aside</b> 10:18	aspect 22:1
apologize 75:16	archive 15:10	80:17 81:8,9	aspects 12:19
apparently	<b>area</b> 16:19 17:1	81:13 149:5	12:23 13:8
67:18 79:20	68:13 94:11	150:1 156:18	assault 31:4
125:3 139:10	95:1,17 101:1	159:10	assaults 77:19
appear 72:22	102:12,13,16	asis 21:5,11	129:16 131:19
appeared 37:6	106:1 107:11	22:13 23:16	assess 142:6
	107:13 117:2	155:11,23	

Veritext Legal Solutions

#### [assessed - back]

Page 6

	I		T
assessed 155:1	associated	160:2 161:20	average 139:1
155:2	16:22 17:15	162:3 178:19	139:2 170:18
assessing 87:15	39:11 56:10,12	attach 185:9	<b>avoid</b> 85:18
assessment	65:1 66:16,24	attached 184:6	115:25
22:23,24 23:2	68:15 78:24,25	184:7	<b>aware</b> 42:2,7
23:4,7,14,15	90:18 148:11	attaches 112:10	69:3 78:17
24:16,21 25:3	associates	attachments	95:16 104:15
25:9,25 26:6	18:19 143:2,5	90:16	119:5,6,7
86:15,18,19	associating	<b>attend</b> 23:23	120:16 128:20
87:1,24 88:4,9	17:22	attendance 6:7	133:2,10,23
88:15,18,22	association	attended 9:25	134:5,6,15
90:5,8,14 91:1	14:21 23:8	13:17 14:12	136:3,10,20
91:13 92:2	155:19 156:23	attention 94:10	150:11 168:12
157:7,8,9	157:21	98:1,18 151:12	168:15 178:24
163:14,20	<b>assume</b> 118:25	attorney 168:9	179:3
164:2,2 167:12	119:4 125:17	182:14 183:10	awareness
assessments	141:10 149:18	184:12	120:1 133:25
22:18,22 23:11	180:18	attorneys 41:22	134:15,18,19
23:19 24:13	assuming 91:10	55:18	135:9 136:23
86:2 92:13,25	146:18	attracting	137:2,17,18,21
160:11,17,19	assumption	113:11	<b>awful</b> 124:19
170:4	116:10	<b>audio</b> 51:16	awfully 173:7
assigned 5:7	<b>assure</b> 29:10	182:8 183:3	b
139:13	58:13	<b>audit</b> 157:12	<b>b</b> 1:6 4:5 66:7
assignment	<b>atlanta</b> 1:3 2:6	author 23:12	<b>baby</b> 100:23
185:1	2:15,22 6:10	authority 84:12	back 24:4
assist 143:6	6:13,16 7:11	100:21	39:10,22 44:20
185:8	16:19 17:2,4	authorized	47:16 53:8
assistant 54:13	18:18,20,23	5:15 42:21	55:24 56:11
55:10	36:9 41:3,6,16	47:9 59:7	58:24 61:1,6
assisted 13:5	71:10 93:11,13	automatically	67:15,18,19
assisting 59:25	93:15,17	124:8	68:2 75:8,15
associate 65:15	103:19 104:6	available 7:17	81:22 87:2
65:17,18 66:14	107:18 111:2,7	62:1,2,8 72:17	100:16 109:6
66:23	145:10,16,16	139:3 179:2	119:24 129:1
			117.24 127.1

### [back - belknap's]

Page 7

130:17 136:5	65:23,24 66:17	<b>batch</b> 129:20	beginning
137:13 142:15	66:18,18 72:17	131:17,20	170:3,7 176:7
142:24 145:8	75:6,21,23	bathroom	<b>behalf</b> 2:2,10
153:22 161:15	77:8,14 80:6,7	171:19	5:12 6:11,13
175:1 184:3	81:4 92:24	<b>bear</b> 27:3,16	6:16 7:24,25
backfill 55:25	93:1 101:3,4	35:10 40:3	153:20
109:23 110:19	126:1,25	<b>beat</b> 123:20	behavior 14:25
background	127:11 130:11	beautiful 161:7	63:19,25 64:18
48:2 61:2,13	134:19 136:18	bedford 33:23	64:19 136:25
89:19 99:25	139:23 140:3	35:2 47:18	137:3 138:3
122:23,24	140:11 146:6	54:1,11 56:2	behaviors
150:21	148:22 149:6	59:3 60:18	14:23,24 15:1
backgrounds	151:21 155:8	70:9 71:9	16:9 63:21
48:1 79:6	155:15 164:6	82:18 83:12	82:4 137:23
99:14	164:10 165:4	84:2,25 85:7	178:25
backpack	167:16 177:17	86:6 89:10,15	believe 13:19
68:22	baseline 159:16	92:14,21,21	15:8 17:18
<b>backup</b> 165:13	<b>bases</b> 158:20	93:3,23 94:2	42:17 47:13
<b>bad</b> 59:5 94:21	159:18,19	97:21 98:23	69:9,11 74:4
98:21 100:13	basically 16:14	102:1,25 103:4	80:10,15
123:4 153:16	70:2 71:7	103:10 110:7	101:17 116:9
154:1,2 166:4	101:6 144:2	112:19 113:13	124:16 166:23
<b>bag</b> 129:13	146:23 175:9	114:19,20,23	175:20
<b>bar</b> 10:2	<b>basing</b> 155:17	116:3,19	believer 111:24
<b>barely</b> 127:14	<b>basis</b> 16:11,12	117:13 120:8	<b>believing</b> 19:6
155:13	32:21,22 42:5	124:12 126:4	68:2,4
barriers 84:14	69:23 80:15	134:5 139:13	belknap 40:13
146:20 166:7	81:17 90:6,9	145:15,17	42:20 43:1
<b>base</b> 81:8	91:14,15 92:4	150:7,8 151:13	47:8 50:23,25
<b>based</b> 22:18	92:7 159:20	154:12 157:4	73:4 80:24
26:9 31:14	160:12 177:25	162:24 163:6	81:11 139:25
32:24 34:20,21	178:4	175:22 178:22	140:4 148:23
36:7,14 37:20	basketball	<b>began</b> 32:23	179:4
48:25 61:7	142:9 143:10	33:9 53:25	belknap's
63:12 64:4,11			31:24 32:4,16

#### [belknap's - bys]

Page 8

			9
32:24 34:3,21	<b>bigger</b> 138:19	bouchard 2:3	<b>broken</b> 98:19
39:1,10,17	biggest 60:23	4:3 6:8,9,10	151:12
40:10 43:20	77:14 99:22	7:9,11,23 8:12	brothers 137:4
44:5,10,18	150:18,19,25	8:16 9:1 32:15	137:5
45:1,10 46:25	birthday	32:20 33:5	brought 48:23
66:19 73:6	142:10	35:8,16 45:4	56:4
79:12 80:2,17	<b>bit</b> 17:4 33:14	45:23 46:1	<b>bryan</b> 110:25
81:9 139:24	52:9 115:11,24	47:7 72:4,6,8	152:14,15
149:4 150:1	148:15	72:14 114:9,16	<b>buck</b> 92:5
151:22	<b>block</b> 52:12	163:16 179:25	116:22,24
<b>best</b> 85:19,23	88:16 131:15	180:4,6,12	buckets 12:25
108:9 129:23	136:9	181:2,4,12,15	26:17 154:18
129:23 130:6	<b>blocks</b> 94:25	boulevard	<b>building</b> 37:17
131:22 143:24	95:10	47:23 102:10	59:13,14 62:23
150:23 182:10	<b>blown</b> 24:21	<b>box</b> 61:10	76:19,21,25
183:6	<b>blue</b> 17:9 29:12	<b>boyd</b> 74:10	95:24 96:17,24
<b>better</b> 176:17	107:23	<b>boys</b> 141:3	118:6,13
176:18,20	<b>blunt</b> 139:9	<b>brag</b> 157:19	119:10,13
177:20	<b>board</b> 161:14	<b>brand</b> 60:8	122:12 136:10
<b>betty</b> 98:2,5	<b>body</b> 69:16	<b>break</b> 13:2,2	<b>buildings</b> 60:23
<b>beyond</b> 68:3,10	80:15 110:13	33:15 35:6,18	60:24 61:8,25
68:11	<b>bonkers</b> 170:12	72:3 98:16	63:16 119:12
<b>bianco</b> 108:17	<b>book</b> 15:24	114:7,10	<b>bullet</b> 84:13
bibliography	16:16 22:25	118:13 129:7	117:16 146:19
69:14	146:22 157:24	136:16 175:19	166:7
<b>bid</b> 20:20	158:5 174:17	177:1	bullets 31:22
bidding 112:1	174:18	breezeway 98:3	77:5 80:14
<b>bids</b> 111:18	<b>books</b> 15:14,15	98:8	<b>bunch</b> 25:19
<b>big</b> 14:12 19:22	15:17,19 22:25	<b>brilliant</b> 60:13	87:3
19:22 21:1,2	174:19	<b>bring</b> 27:16	<b>business</b> 104:8
27:9 57:23	<b>boots</b> 155:9	bringing 27:2	123:6 155:12
58:16 111:24	<b>born</b> 144:20	<b>broad</b> 12:18	155:14
113:1,8 117:2	<b>bottom</b> 31:2	83:14	<b>buy</b> 134:24
125:2 145:9,11	72:18 84:24	broader 34:1	<b>bys</b> 84:21
169:18	93:15		

Veritext Legal Solutions

## [bystander - certificate]

Page 9

bystander	capacity 87:14	40:23,25 41:2	<b>caught</b> 127:8,9
148:1	capital 34:9,11	41:4,5,22	128:2,4,4,9,16
bystanders	capitalized	42:21 43:19	128:24 130:10
70:19 71:16,20	34:8	56:11 60:16	153:21
78:22 147:21	car 31:22 68:2	61:25 65:5	cause 40:3 41:1
c	94:25 136:10	69:22 75:18	47:15 53:8
	136:15,17	79:7 81:6,9	79:19 80:13,19
c 2:1 3:1 5:1	138:1 165:13	83:16 84:18,23	88:23 89:7
21:21	care 49:10	87:12 88:20	95:4 103:13
calendar 52:5	55:24 66:11	94:13 96:16	106:7 107:1
california	70:17,18,19	112:13 116:25	121:1 127:18
123:18 125:3	97:6,13,15	120:1,4 124:1	132:25 134:16
call 54:23	137:14,16	130:6 131:22	134:24 143:17
70:15,20 97:11	154:20,22	145:21 154:6,9	144:12 157:20
98:5,25 112:3	155:7,12,14	161:11 162:13	165:23 168:6
124:6 165:13 called 7:3 11:17	156:5,9 157:3	162:22 166:21	171:15
14:22 15:8,22	158:7,12,21,25	168:7 169:6	<b>cdt</b> 1:15 181:23
15:25 27:3	159:4,13,16	172:8,10,13,15	center 24:24
69:17 76:16	174:3,9,18,19	172:18,22	centered 75:25
81:21 82:5	174:20 175:6	178:15 179:13	77:6,6
87:8 111:9	career 23:25	cases 7:13 8:1,6	centers 13:7
117:5 124:13	24:2,4 174:7,8	28:3 29:4 30:1	<b>ceo</b> 117:6
125:8 138:14	176:15	30:20,23 40:18	certain 45:5
157:23 163:7	<b>carol</b> 51:6,16	41:9 52:23	48:19 110:16
169:7	54:7,19 57:9	64:9 76:11	144:17
calling 120:14	95:22 119:20	111:4,7,13	certainly 14:4,6
123:22	carries 39:9	169:3,9 170:14	15:20 19:25
cameras 48:16	carrying	catalytic 95:19	20:13 21:12
49:10,11 71:14	121:17	134:22 135:1,4	24:2 49:15
71:15 88:12,13	cars 31:23 35:3	<b>catch</b> 13:7	69:1 89:17,20
120:8,16,18	68:12,14 130:8	categorized	123:2 124:9,25
151:18,19	146:16 166:14	149:12	137:15 142:18
176:3,5	case 1:8 7:25	category	149:1 174:12
capacities	15:5 29:2,16	133:12 144:1	certificate
10:13	37:21 40:14,22		182:1 183:1

### [certification - comes]

Page 10

certification	184:7 185:4,5	142:19 163:19	<b>clears</b> 126:11
19:23 20:5,24	185:7	<b>cissp</b> 21:24	client 20:9
21:2,16 22:18	changing	citation 39:5	24:19,19 25:20
113:4	145:23	177:3	26:1,8,8,11
certifications	chapter 73:19	citations 38:13	87:10 89:7
21:4,22	74:15	44:24 150:13	112:3,10
certified 5:22	characterizati	<b>cite</b> 73:19 74:15	124:14
21:15	31:13,14	148:2 149:7	clients 23:5
certifies 21:17	characterize	151:11	25:2,13 57:4
certify 182:4	78:9	<b>cited</b> 39:1,15	58:15 87:11
183:2 185:2	charge 108:6	40:10 129:8	89:3 110:9
chairs 105:5	114:5	<b>cites</b> 75:12	123:5 125:16
106:1	charged 67:6	<b>cities</b> 104:6	125:17 132:23
challenge	67:11 149:20	<b>citizens</b> 106:20	135:8 137:16
110:20 111:5	charges 68:19	<b>city</b> 95:10	138:17,19
challenges	<b>chase</b> 17:3 84:1	103:19	139:5 175:10
110:18	165:13	<b>civil</b> 8:20,20	<b>close</b> 94:23
challenging	cheapest 112:5	185:6	<b>code</b> 74:5
114:3,3 176:21	<b>check</b> 61:10	clarify 9:11	178:19
<b>chance</b> 17:23	99:25 115:14	156:17 157:5	<b>coffee</b> 35:10
change 60:25	<b>checks</b> 150:21	clarity 30:17	<b>cold</b> 112:3
61:24 136:24	<b>child</b> 144:8	33:19 34:5	colleagues
137:3,23 138:3	choice 56:4	<b>classes</b> 128:14	161:3
143:17 169:16	67:3 143:24	<b>clear</b> 18:17	<b>colon</b> 16:1
185:11,13,14	144:19	31:24 36:11,24	<b>come</b> 16:3 20:6
185:16,17,19	christina 65:8	38:11 46:10	25:2 44:20
185:20,22,23	67:3	53:19 75:5	74:13,23 94:10
185:25 186:1,3	christine's	106:12 109:18	117:17 132:12
186:4,6,7,9,10	143:19	117:10,15	137:19,19,20
186:12,13,15	circumstance	181:12	157:12,12
186:16,18	43:6	<b>clearer</b> 143:21	158:13 163:8
changed	circumstances	clearly 73:20	167:20
176:11	106:21,22	87:19 88:19	<b>comes</b> 27:6
changes 135:10	109:10 125:22	90:4 132:8,18	69:5 80:12
144:21 170:3	126:13 142:13	175:23	87:2 97:25

Veritext Legal Solutions

### [comes - conducted]

Page 11

98:4,18 116:24	communicating	complete 9:14	concept 57:15
137:18 139:15	133:23 134:3	77:18	58:7 81:21,23
151:12 169:12	134:11	completed 86:3	concern 26:25
<b>coming</b> 79:18	communication	184:18	27:15 106:23
86:10 131:7	133:12,15	completely	107:2,11,14
159:23 165:9	137:2	45:18 110:10	concerning
comment 81:13	community	complex 10:20	178:25
commission	141:4 159:22	57:19,20 60:1	concerns 26:22
11:17,18,20	175:20	62:24 86:6	26:23 43:18,21
143:7 186:25	companies	95:9 96:13	43:25 44:6
<b>commit</b> 100:3	109:20 113:2,8	97:7 110:6,22	conclude 81:2
143:6	123:14 153:11	117:7 120:20	81:18 181:19
commitments	company 1:9	131:15 133:6	concluded
169:16	2:10 5:13 6:17	135:19 140:18	127:3 181:24
commits	97:9 111:10,16	152:17 155:16	concludes
106:18	111:18 112:16	176:16	181:20
committed	137:10 153:6	complexes 11:4	conclusions
84:10 162:6,7	company's	27:5,11 88:3	69:22 167:21
162:8 166:13	150:16	98:22 111:1	conclusive
committee	compare	123:8 125:18	132:12
23:17 179:9	144:15 155:19	125:25 132:2	condition 75:22
committees	compared	133:4 154:25	75:23,25 76:6
164:16	110:7 140:23	155:10 156:19	76:14 100:17
committing	compares	156:25 157:3	100:17
66:2 67:14	141:21	159:24 160:2	conditions
127:21 128:17	comparing	162:16,17	112:11
171:16	140:17 141:25	164:6	conduct 22:17
<b>common</b> 25:17	155:23	complicated	23:19 24:12
30:23 66:25	comparison	91:16	30:13 60:15,20
67:1 88:1	161:18	<b>comply</b> 159:3	67:7 99:24
106:1 136:11	competence	component	142:20 150:21
communicate	160:18	87:25 88:3	163:20 178:11
171:23,24	competency	compstat 58:21	conducted 16:5
communicated	22:7	58:22 59:2	82:17,23 90:5
40:12,17			90:8 91:13

Veritext Legal Solutions

### [conducted - coordinator]

Page 12

92:3 132:19         86:5 106:9         133:8 167:5,10         132:22,23           conducting         13:16 156:5         consideration         167:14,20         137:25 140:10           91:14 170:4         106:8 113:12         consulted         13:6         140:19         controls         111:25           confidential         42:5 43:2,11         considered         86:4 87:14         59:16 96:25         conversation           43:14,17,22         19:22 20:23         111:5 122:15         151:3         conversations           confirm         68:8         70:22 71:19,21         122:20 123:8         conversations           90:7 163:22         88:6 101:11         174:8 176:15         consults         converter           181:18         169:23         consults         99:20         95:19 134:22           conflating         26:2,10 108:15         consults         99:20         95:19 134:22           conflating         26:2,10 108:15         constant         144:3         26:7,8,12         166:19,21         converting           tonflating         49:20         154:12 158:22         constant         144:3         25:22         contents         68:21         conventing           tongregate         139:8         46:17 100:3         54:7				_
23:11 26:5         consideration         consulted 13:6         140:19         controls 111:25           91:14 170:4         106:8 113:12         13:14 178:8         25:19 57:4,18         conversation           42:5 43:2,11         43:14,17,22         19:22 20:23         111:5 122:15         151:3           44:7,12 46:8         21:12 67:16         122:20 123:8         conversations           confirm 68:8         70:22 71:19,21         169:18,20         117:18           90:7 163:22         88:6 101:11         174:8 176:15         converter           181:18         169:23         consults 99:20         95:19 134:22           confirming         26:2,10 108:15         170:8         converting           conflating         154:12 158:22         contained         34:3           conform         154:12 158:22         contained         33:1         converting           159:13         constantly         52:22         contents 68:21         109:18           congregate         90:25         40:21 125:22         33:15           congregate         139:8         46:17 100:3         54:7,19 57:9           107:25         153:4         25:5         95:22 116:2           connection         24:11 91:7         10:0,11,19	92:3 132:19	86:5 106:9	133:8 167:5,10	132:22,23
91:14 170:4         106:8 113:12         consulting         controls         111:25           confidential         42:5 43:2,11         43:14,17,22         19:22 20:23         111:5 122:15         59:16 96:25           44:7,12 46:8         21:12 67:16         122:20 123:8         conversation           confirm         68:8         70:22 71:19,21         169:18,20         117:18           90:7 163:22         88:6 101:11         174:8 176:15         converter           181:18         169:23         considering         170:8         converter           confirming         26:2,10 108:15         considering         170:8         converting           51:4 140:6         consisted         170:8         converting           49:20         154:12 158:22         contained         34:3           conform         154:12 158:22         contained         34:3           45:21         87:20         contents         68:21         109:18           congregate         139:8         constitute         6:4         40:21 125:22         33:15           congregating         139:8         continue         16:9         54:7,19 57:9           107:25         153:4         consultant         10:9         46:17 100:3	conducting	113:16 156:5	167:14,20	137:25 140:10
confidential         113:14 178:8         25:19 57:4,18         conversation           42:5 43:2,11         considered         86:4 87:14         59:16 96:25           43:14,17,22         19:22 20:23         111:5 122:15         151:3           44:7,12 46:8         21:12 67:16         122:20 123:8         conversations           confirm         68:8         70:22 71:19,21         169:18,20         17:18           90:7 163:22         88:6 101:11         174:8 176:15         converter           181:18         169:23         consults 99:20         95:19 134:22           confirming         considering         consults 99:20         95:19 134:22           conflating         26:2,10 108:15         consumed         135:1,4           conflating         26:2,10 108:15         cont'd 3:1         converting           tonform         constant         144:3         36:7,8,12         166:19,21           confused         43:13         87:20         contents 68:21         conviction           congregate         90:25         40:21 125:22         33:15           congregating         139:8         46:17 100:3         54:7,19 57:9           107:25         153:4         25:5         95:22 116:2           <	23:11 26:5	consideration	consulted 13:6	140:19
42:5 43:2,11         considered         86:4 87:14         59:16 96:25           43:14,17,22         19:22 20:23         111:5 122:15         151:3           confirm 68:8         70:22 71:19,21         169:18,20         117:18           90:7 163:22         88:6 101:11         174:8 176:15         converter           181:18         169:23         consults 99:20         95:19 134:22           confirming         considering         consults 99:20         95:19 134:22           conflating         consisted         170:8         converting           49:20         154:12 158:22         contained 34:3         convecting           confused 43:13         87:20         contents 68:21         conviction           congregate         90:25         40:21 125:22         convoluted           congregating         139:8         46:17 100:3         54:7,19 57:9           107:25         153:4         25:5         95:22 116:2           connecting         consultant 10:9         25:5         95:22 116:2           connection         21:14,16,17         10:10,11,19,21         15:9         coordinator           connections         105:8 106:4         160:24 16:4         87:17 89:24         64:11 79:21         107:10 111:14	91:14 170:4	106:8 113:12	consulting	controls 111:25
43:14,17,22       19:22 20:23       111:5 122:15       151:3         44:7,12 46:8       21:12 67:16       122:20 123:8       conversations         confirm 68:8       70:22 71:19,21       169:18,20       117:18         90:7 163:22       88:6 101:11       174:8 176:15       converter         181:18       169:23       consults 99:20       95:19 134:22         confirming       26:2,10 108:15       170:8       converting         conflating       26:2,10 108:15       170:8       converting         conform       constant 144:3       36:7,8,12       166:19,21         confused 43:13       87:20       contents 68:21       convoluted         congregate       90:25       40:21 125:22       convoluted         congregating       139:8       46:17 100:3       54:7,19 57:9         104:17 107:12       constraints       continue       16:9         107:25       153:4       25:5       95:22 116:2         connecting       10:10,11,19,21       25:5       95:22 116:2         connection       21:14,16,17       contract 20:9       57:25 58:3         37:5 40:23       24:11 91:7       110:5,5,9,11       59:2,20 60:2         consider 10:4       10:21 13 <td< td=""><td>confidential</td><td>113:14 178:8</td><td>25:19 57:4,18</td><td>conversation</td></td<>	confidential	113:14 178:8	25:19 57:4,18	conversation
44:7,12 46:8         21:12 67:16         122:20 123:8         conversations           confirm         68:8         70:22 71:19,21         169:18,20         117:18           90:7 163:22         88:6 101:11         174:8 176:15         converter           181:18         169:23         consults         99:20         95:19 134:22           confirming         considering         consumed         135:1,4           conflating         consisted         cont'd 3:1         13:6           conform         constant 144:3         36:7,8,12         166:19,21           confused 43:13         87:20         contents 68:21         109:18           congregate         90:25         40:21 125:22         33:15           138:8         constrained         continue 16:9         cooley 51:6,16           congregating         139:8         46:17 100:3         54:7,19 57:9           104:17 107:12         constraints         continue 16:9         cooley 51:6,16           connecting         consultant 10:9         25:5         95:22 116:2           connection         21:14,16,17         contract 20:9         57:25 58:3           37:5 40:23         24:11 91:7         110:5,5,9,11         59:2,20 60:2           consider 10:4	42:5 43:2,11	considered	86:4 87:14	59:16 96:25
confirm         68:8         70:22 71:19,21         169:18,20         117:18           90:7 163:22         88:6 101:11         174:8 176:15         converter           181:18         169:23         consults         99:20         95:19 134:22           confirming         considering         consumed         135:1,4           51:4 140:6         26:2,10 108:15         170:8         converting           conflating         consisted         cont'd         3:1         13:6           49:20         154:12 158:22         contained         34:3         conviction           conform         constantly         52:22         convincing           confused         43:13         87:20         contents         68:21         109:18           congregate         90:25         40:21 125:22         33:15           138:8         constrained         continue         16:9         cooley         51:6,16           congregating         139:8         46:17 100:3         54:7,19 57:9         57:13 59:19           104:17 107:12         153:4         25:5         95:22 116:2           connecting         10:10,11,19,21         15:9         continuum           37:5 40:23         24:11 91:7	43:14,17,22	19:22 20:23	111:5 122:15	151:3
90:7 163:22         88:6 101:11         174:8 176:15         converter           181:18         169:23         consults 99:20         95:19 134:22           confirming         26:2,10 108:15         170:8         converting           51:4 140:6         26:2,10 108:15         170:8         converting           conflating         consisted         cont'd 3:1         13:6           conform         constant 144:3         36:7,8,12         conviction           confused 43:13         87:20         contents 68:21         convincing           congregate         90:25         continue 16:9         convoluted           congregating         139:8         46:17 100:3         54:7,19 57:9           104:17 107:12         constraints         continuing         57:13 59:19           107:25         153:4         25:5         95:22 116:2           connecting         21:14,16,17         contract 20:9         57:25 58:3           37:5 40:23         24:11 91:7         110:5,5,9,11         59:2,20 60:2           consider 10:4         112:25 123:9         122:15,19         97:14 115:23           10:22 11:3         136:6 155:9         contracted         91:18,21 95:22           consider 10:4         112:25 123:9	44:7,12 46:8	21:12 67:16	122:20 123:8	conversations
181:18         169:23         consults         99:20         95:19 134:22           confirming         26:2,10 108:15         170:8         converting           conflating         consisted         cont'd         3:1         13:6           conform         constant         144:3         36:7,8,12         166:19,21           confused         43:13         constantly         52:22         conviction           confused         43:13         87:20         contents         68:21         109:18           congregate         constrained         contents         68:21         109:18           congregating         139:8         continue         16:9         cooley         51:6,16           congregating         139:8         46:17 100:3         54:7,19 57:9         cooley         51:3,19         57:13 59:19           104:17 107:12         153:4         25:5         95:22 116:2         95:22 116:2           connecting         consultant         10:9         15:9         coordinator           connection         21:14,16,17         contract         20:9         57:25 58:3           37:5 40:23         24:11 91:7         110:5,5,9,11         59:2,20 60:2           consider         10:4 <td>confirm 68:8</td> <td>70:22 71:19,21</td> <td>169:18,20</td> <td>117:18</td>	confirm 68:8	70:22 71:19,21	169:18,20	117:18
confirming         considering         consumed         135:1,4           conflating         consisted         cont'd 3:1         13:6           49:20         154:12 158:22         contained 34:3         13:6           conform         constant 144:3         36:7,8,12         166:19,21           159:13         constantly         52:22         convincing           confused 43:13         87:20         contents 68:21         109:18           congregate         90:25         40:21 125:22         33:15           138:8         constrained         continue 16:9         cooley 51:6,16           congregating         139:8         46:17 100:3         54:7,19 57:9           104:17 107:12         constraints         continuing         57:13 59:19           107:25         153:4         25:5         95:22 116:2           connecting         consultant 10:9         continuum         119:21           connection         21:14,16,17         contract 20:9         57:25 58:3           37:5 40:23         24:11 91:7         110:5,5,9,11         59:2,20 60:2           consider 10:4         112:25 123:9         12:15,19         97:14 115:23           10:22 11:3         136:6 155:9         contracting <td< td=""><td>90:7 163:22</td><td>88:6 101:11</td><td>174:8 176:15</td><td>converter</td></td<>	90:7 163:22	88:6 101:11	174:8 176:15	converter
51:4 140:6         26:2,10 108:15         170:8         converting           conflating         consisted         cont'd 3:1         13:6           49:20         154:12 158:22         contained 34:3         conviction           conform         constant 144:3         36:7,8,12         166:19,21           159:13         constantly         52:22         convincing           confused 43:13         87:20         contents 68:21         109:18           congregate         90:25         content 20:2         convoluted           congregating         139:8         continue 16:9         cooley 51:6,16           congregating         139:8         46:17 100:3         54:7,19 57:9           104:17 107:12         constraints         continue         57:13 59:19           107:25         153:4         25:5         95:22 116:2           connecting         consultant 10:9         continuum         119:21           connection         21:14,16,17         contract 20:9         57:25 58:3           37:5 40:23         24:11 91:7         110:5,5,9,11         59:2,20 60:2           consider 10:4         107:10 111:14         contracted         91:18,21 95:22           consider 10:4         112:25 123:9         122:15	181:18	169:23	consults 99:20	95:19 134:22
conflating         consisted         cont'd 3:1         13:6           49:20         154:12 158:22         contained 34:3         3:1           conform         constant 144:3         36:7,8,12         166:19,21           159:13         constantly         52:22         convincing           confused 43:13         87:20         contents 68:21         109:18           congregate         90:25         40:21 125:22         33:15           congregating         139:8         continue 16:9         cooley 51:6,16           congregating         139:8         46:17 100:3         54:7,19 57:9           104:17 107:12         constraints         continue 16:9         55:22 116:2           connecting         consultant 10:9         25:5         95:22 116:2           connection         21:14,16,17         continuum         119:21           connections         105:8 106:4         160:24 161:4         87:17 89:24           64:11 79:21         107:10 111:14         contracted         91:18,21 95:22           consider 10:4         112:25 123:9         122:15,19         97:14 115:23           10:22 11:3         136:6 155:9         contracting         116:3 117:12           12:1,15 18:17         175:7,8         13	confirming	considering	consumed	135:1,4
49:20         154:12 158:22 contained 34:3         conviction 166:19,21           conform         constant 144:3         36:7,8,12 separate         166:19,21 convincing convincing separate           45:21         constitute 6:4 constitute 6:4 separate         contents 68:21 separate         109:18 separate           138:8         constrained congregating 139:8 separate         46:17 100:3 separate         54:7,19 57:9 separate           104:17 107:12 constraints 107:25 separate         consultant 10:9 separate         25:5 separate         95:22 116:2 separate           connecting 37:1 separate         consultant 10:9 separate         continuum separate         19:21 separate           connection 37:5 40:23 separate         24:11 91:7 separate         10:5,5,9,11 separate         59:2,20 60:2 separate           connections 4:11 79:21 separate         107:10 111:14 separate         contracted separate         91:18,21 95:22 separate           consider 10:4 separate         112:25 123:9 separate         122:15,19 separate         97:14 115:23 separate           10:22 11:3 separate         13:66 155:9 separate         13:6 separate         13:6 separate           18:22 19:8 separate         consultants         control 60:22 separate         120:7,22 separate	51:4 140:6	26:2,10 108:15	170:8	converting
conform         constant         144:3         36:7,8,12         166:19,21           159:13         constantly         52:22         convincing           confused         43:13         87:20         contents         68:21         109:18           45:21         constitute         6:4         context         20:2         convoluted           congregate         90:25         40:21 125:22         33:15         cooley         51:6,16           congregating         139:8         46:17 100:3         54:7,19 57:9         57:13 59:19           104:17 107:12         constraints         continuing         57:13 59:19           107:25         153:4         25:5         95:22 116:2           connecting         consultant         10:9         continuum         119:21           37:1         10:10,11,19,21         15:9         coordinator           connection         21:14,16,17         contract         20:9         57:25 58:3           37:5 40:23         24:11 91:7         110:5,5,9,11         59:2,20 60:2           connections         105:8 106:4         160:24 161:4         87:17 89:24           64:11 79:21         107:10 111:14         contracted         91:18,21 95:22           consi	conflating	consisted	<b>cont'd</b> 3:1	13:6
159:13         constantly         52:22         convincing           45:21         constitute 6:4         context 20:2         convoluted           138:8         90:25         40:21 125:22         33:15           138:8         constrained         continue 16:9         cooley 51:6,16           congregating         139:8         46:17 100:3         54:7,19 57:9           104:17 107:12         constraints         continuing         57:13 59:19           107:25         153:4         25:5         95:22 116:2           connecting         consultant 10:9         continuum         119:21           37:1         10:10,11,19,21         15:9         coordinator           connection         21:14,16,17         contract 20:9         57:25 58:3           37:5 40:23         24:11 91:7         110:5,5,9,11         59:2,20 60:2           connections         105:8 106:4         160:24 161:4         87:17 89:24           64:11 79:21         107:10 111:14         contracted         91:18,21 95:22           consider 10:4         112:25 123:9         122:15,19         97:14 115:23           10:22 11:3         136:6 155:9         contracting         116:3 117:12           12:1,15 18:17         175:7,8         113:6	49:20	154:12 158:22	contained 34:3	conviction
confused         43:13         87:20         contents         68:21         109:18           45:21         constitute         6:4         context         20:2         convoluted           congregate         90:25         40:21 125:22         33:15           138:8         constrained         continue         16:9         cooley         51:6,16           congregating         139:8         46:17 100:3         54:7,19 57:9         57:13 59:19           107:25         153:4         25:5         95:22 116:2           connecting         consultant         10:9         continuum         119:21           37:1         10:10,11,19,21         15:9         coordinator           connection         21:14,16,17         contract         20:9         57:25 58:3           37:5 40:23         24:11 91:7         110:5,5,9,11         59:2,20 60:2           connections         105:8 106:4         160:24 161:4         87:17 89:24           64:11 79:21         107:10 111:14         contracted         91:18,21 95:22           consider         10:4         112:25 123:9         122:15,19         97:14 115:23           10:22 11:3         136:6 155:9         contracting         116:3 117:12           12	conform	constant 144:3	36:7,8,12	166:19,21
45:21 congregateconstitute6:4 90:25context20:2 40:21 125:22convoluted 33:15138:8 congregating139:8 104:17 107:12 107:25constraints 153:4 consultantcontinue 46:17 100:3 25:5 25:5 25:5 25:5 25:5 25:22 116:254:7,19 57:9 57:13 59:19 95:22 116:2connecting connectionconsultant 21:14,16,17 21:14,16,17 21:14,16,1715:9 20:10:24 161:4 21:14,16,17 20:10:24 161:4coordinator 57:25 58:3 59:2,20 60:2connections 64:11 79:21 20:22 11:3 10:22 11:3 10:22 11:3 113:6:6 155:910:22 15,19 20:13:6 20:13:697:14 115:23 20:113:6 20:113:6 20:113:6113:6 117:20 119:20 118:22 19:8113:6 20:110:22	159:13	constantly	52:22	convincing
congregate90:2540:21 125:2233:15138:8constrainedcontinue 16:9cooley 51:6,16congregating139:846:17 100:354:7,19 57:9104:17 107:12constraintscontinuing57:13 59:19107:25153:425:595:22 116:2connectingconsultant 10:9continuum119:2137:110:10,11,19,2115:9coordinatorconnection21:14,16,17contract 20:957:25 58:337:5 40:2324:11 91:7110:5,5,9,1159:2,20 60:2connections105:8 106:4160:24 161:487:17 89:2464:11 79:21107:10 111:14contracted91:18,21 95:22consider 10:4112:25 123:9122:15,1997:14 115:2310:22 11:3136:6 155:9contracting116:3 117:1212:1,15 18:17175:7,8113:6117:20 119:2018:22 19:8consultantscontrol 60:22120:7,22	confused 43:13	87:20	contents 68:21	109:18
138:8         constrained         continue         16:9         cooley         51:6,16           congregating         139:8         46:17 100:3         54:7,19 57:9           104:17 107:12         constraints         continuing         57:13 59:19           107:25         153:4         25:5         95:22 116:2           connecting         consultant         10:9         continuum         119:21           37:1         10:10,11,19,21         15:9         coordinator           connection         21:14,16,17         contract         20:9         57:25 58:3           37:5 40:23         24:11 91:7         110:5,5,9,11         59:2,20 60:2           connections         105:8 106:4         160:24 161:4         87:17 89:24           64:11 79:21         107:10 111:14         contracted         91:18,21 95:22           consider         10:4         112:25 123:9         122:15,19         97:14 115:23           10:22 11:3         136:6 155:9         contracting         116:3 117:12           12:1,15 18:17         175:7,8         113:6         117:20 119:20           18:22 19:8         consultants         control 60:22         120:7,22	45:21	constitute 6:4	context 20:2	convoluted
congregating         139:8         46:17 100:3         54:7,19 57:9           104:17 107:12         constraints         continuing         57:13 59:19           107:25         153:4         25:5         95:22 116:2           connecting         consultant 10:9         continuum         119:21           37:1         10:10,11,19,21         15:9         coordinator           connection         21:14,16,17         contract 20:9         57:25 58:3           37:5 40:23         24:11 91:7         110:5,5,9,11         59:2,20 60:2           connections         105:8 106:4         160:24 161:4         87:17 89:24           64:11 79:21         107:10 111:14         contracted         91:18,21 95:22           consider 10:4         112:25 123:9         122:15,19         97:14 115:23           10:22 11:3         136:6 155:9         contracting         116:3 117:12           12:1,15 18:17         175:7,8         113:6         117:20 119:20           18:22 19:8         consultants         control 60:22         120:7,22	congregate	90:25	40:21 125:22	33:15
104:17 107:12         constraints         continuing         57:13 59:19           107:25         153:4         25:5         95:22 116:2           connecting         consultant         10:9         continuum         119:21           37:1         10:10,11,19,21         15:9         coordinator           connection         21:14,16,17         contract         20:9         57:25 58:3           37:5 40:23         24:11 91:7         110:5,5,9,11         59:2,20 60:2           connections         105:8 106:4         160:24 161:4         87:17 89:24           64:11 79:21         107:10 111:14         contracted         91:18,21 95:22           consider         10:4         112:25 123:9         122:15,19         97:14 115:23           10:22 11:3         136:6 155:9         contracting         116:3 117:12           12:1,15 18:17         175:7,8         113:6         117:20 119:20           18:22 19:8         consultants         control 60:22         120:7,22	138:8	constrained	continue 16:9	<b>cooley</b> 51:6,16
107:25         153:4         25:5         95:22 116:2           connecting         consultant         10:9         continuum         119:21           37:1         10:10,11,19,21         15:9         coordinator           connection         21:14,16,17         contract         20:9         57:25 58:3           37:5 40:23         24:11 91:7         110:5,5,9,11         59:2,20 60:2           connections         105:8 106:4         160:24 161:4         87:17 89:24           64:11 79:21         107:10 111:14         contracted         91:18,21 95:22           consider         10:4         112:25 123:9         122:15,19         97:14 115:23           10:22 11:3         136:6 155:9         contracting         116:3 117:12           12:1,15 18:17         175:7,8         113:6         117:20 119:20           18:22 19:8         consultants         control 60:22         120:7,22	congregating	139:8	46:17 100:3	54:7,19 57:9
connecting       consultant       10:9       continuum       119:21         37:1       10:10,11,19,21       15:9       coordinator         connection       21:14,16,17       contract       20:9       57:25 58:3         37:5 40:23       24:11 91:7       110:5,5,9,11       59:2,20 60:2         connections       105:8 106:4       160:24 161:4       87:17 89:24         64:11 79:21       107:10 111:14       contracted       91:18,21 95:22         consider       10:4       112:25 123:9       122:15,19       97:14 115:23         10:22 11:3       136:6 155:9       contracting       116:3 117:12         12:1,15 18:17       175:7,8       113:6       117:20 119:20         18:22 19:8       consultants       control 60:22       120:7,22	104:17 107:12	constraints	continuing	57:13 59:19
37:1       10:10,11,19,21       15:9       coordinator         connection       21:14,16,17       contract 20:9       57:25 58:3         37:5 40:23       24:11 91:7       110:5,5,9,11       59:2,20 60:2         connections       105:8 106:4       160:24 161:4       87:17 89:24         64:11 79:21       107:10 111:14       contracted       91:18,21 95:22         consider 10:4       112:25 123:9       122:15,19       97:14 115:23         10:22 11:3       136:6 155:9       contracting       116:3 117:12         12:1,15 18:17       175:7,8       113:6       117:20 119:20         18:22 19:8       consultants       control 60:22       120:7,22	107:25	153:4	25:5	95:22 116:2
connection       21:14,16,17       contract 20:9       57:25 58:3         37:5 40:23       24:11 91:7       110:5,5,9,11       59:2,20 60:2         connections       105:8 106:4       160:24 161:4       87:17 89:24         64:11 79:21       107:10 111:14       contracted       91:18,21 95:22         consider 10:4       112:25 123:9       122:15,19       97:14 115:23         10:22 11:3       136:6 155:9       contracting       116:3 117:12         12:1,15 18:17       175:7,8       113:6       117:20 119:20         18:22 19:8       consultants       control 60:22       120:7,22	connecting	consultant 10:9	continuum	119:21
37:5 40:23       24:11 91:7       110:5,5,9,11       59:2,20 60:2         connections       105:8 106:4       160:24 161:4       87:17 89:24         64:11 79:21       107:10 111:14       contracted       91:18,21 95:22         consider 10:4       112:25 123:9       122:15,19       97:14 115:23         10:22 11:3       136:6 155:9       contracting       116:3 117:12         12:1,15 18:17       175:7,8       113:6       117:20 119:20         18:22 19:8       consultants       control 60:22       120:7,22	37:1	10:10,11,19,21	15:9	coordinator
connections       105:8 106:4       160:24 161:4       87:17 89:24         64:11 79:21       107:10 111:14       contracted       91:18,21 95:22         consider 10:4       112:25 123:9       122:15,19       97:14 115:23         10:22 11:3       136:6 155:9       contracting       116:3 117:12         12:1,15 18:17       175:7,8       113:6       117:20 119:20         18:22 19:8       consultants       control 60:22       120:7,22	connection	21:14,16,17	contract 20:9	57:25 58:3
64:11 79:21       107:10 111:14       contracted       91:18,21 95:22         consider 10:4       112:25 123:9       122:15,19       97:14 115:23         10:22 11:3       136:6 155:9       contracting       116:3 117:12         12:1,15 18:17       175:7,8       113:6       117:20 119:20         18:22 19:8       consultants       control 60:22       120:7,22	37:5 40:23	24:11 91:7	110:5,5,9,11	59:2,20 60:2
consider       10:4       112:25 123:9       122:15,19       97:14 115:23         10:22 11:3       136:6 155:9       contracting       116:3 117:12         12:1,15 18:17       175:7,8       113:6       117:20 119:20         18:22 19:8       consultants       control 60:22       120:7,22	connections	105:8 106:4	160:24 161:4	87:17 89:24
10:22 11:3       136:6 155:9       contracting       116:3 117:12         12:1,15 18:17       175:7,8       113:6       117:20 119:20         18:22 19:8       consultants       control 60:22       120:7,22	64:11 79:21	107:10 111:14	contracted	91:18,21 95:22
12:1,15 18:17	consider 10:4	112:25 123:9	122:15,19	97:14 115:23
18:22 19:8 <b>consultants control</b> 60:22 120:7,22	10:22 11:3	136:6 155:9	contracting	116:3 117:12
	12:1,15 18:17	175:7,8	113:6	117:20 119:20
21:15 43:17	18:22 19:8	consultants	control 60:22	120:7,22
	21:15 43:17	14:22 112:4	84:11 111:24	121:17 139:13

Veritext Legal Solutions

### [coordinator - crime]

Page 13

160:9,15	correlation	<b>covid</b> 102:17	41:19 59:16
coordinator's	74:6 77:24	107:16 127:13	67:6,12 68:4
117:23 121:6,9	145:18	127:15 128:1	68:14 69:17,25
<b>copies</b> 184:12	<b>counsel</b> 7:6 8:5	139:14	69:25 76:3,7
<b>copy</b> 50:18	182:11,14	<b>covid's</b> 113:23	77:16 82:10,12
181:13	183:7,10	139:17	82:17,18 83:7
correct 11:2	<b>count</b> 40:4	<b>cpi</b> 21:6	83:12,24 84:9
30:3,4 35:22	country 27:11	<b>cpp</b> 19:15,17	84:16,17,23
36:18 39:1	27:13 170:5	20:7,8,10,18,21	85:21,22 93:10
53:23 54:16,18	177:9	20:23 21:6,9	93:11,11,13,15
67:10 83:9,10	<b>county</b> 13:20	22:3,6,10,18	94:3,6,15,18
83:19 85:5,6,9	14:10,15	91:24 123:4,5	95:6,8,16,24
85:12 86:18	127:17	175:6,8,12,17	96:15 118:2,8
89:11 93:6	<b>couple</b> 38:23	<b>cpted</b> 21:19,21	119:22 120:1
101:16 104:25	49:13,13 74:19	<b>crap</b> 123:20	126:2,20,22
115:4 116:15	74:20 76:12	craziest 152:18	127:4,8 128:9
116:16 119:24	92:18 94:8	<b>crazy</b> 126:16	128:12,18,23
120:10,25	101:10 109:2	126:21 152:17	129:8,12,22,24
121:7,10,13,15	134:14 135:2	169:10	131:2,3,5,6,16
141:24 147:9	139:8 165:8	create 137:2	132:1 133:6,18
149:21 150:4	course 45:7	<b>created</b> 60:6,13	133:19,20
163:1,23	91:22 97:22	76:6 109:25	134:5,10,16
172:15,16,19	101:23,23	122:4,8	135:9,12,16,21
172:21,23	<b>court</b> 1:1 30:14	creating 153:19	136:3,7,18
corrections	43:25 44:3,15	credence 20:1	138:12,17
184:7 185:9	168:7 184:15	credential	143:7 145:9
correctly 13:14	184:20	19:20	154:17 158:22
51:10 55:3	courthouse	credentials	159:4,8,11
58:25 59:22	110:3	19:14	160:5 161:24
113:9 131:13	courtyard	<b>crime</b> 11:24	162:1,6,9,9
156:18	59:15 96:25	12:3,10,20,22	165:4 170:8,19
correlated	<b>cover</b> 9:6 56:18	13:3 15:9 19:3	170:20 171:16
146:8	179:15	19:6 21:20	176:6 177:17
correlating	covered 147:5	24:19 26:17,19	177:18,19,19
140:14		27:20 31:17	177:21,21

Veritext Legal Solutions

## [crime - defined] Page 14

178:4	<b>crude</b> 100:5	84:17 87:21	<b>deal</b> 14:18,18
crimes 25:5	<b>cs</b> 184:24	88:1 93:7,7,9	50:4 58:12
66:2 76:5	csc 21:15 22:3	93:19 124:2	76:3 83:25
77:18 82:25	cumbersome	126:25 141:1	94:14 98:1,10
94:9,12 100:3	135:11	145:7,10,14,18	99:8
111:7 129:17	<b>curfew</b> 126:5,7	147:17 162:3	dealing 14:25
136:20 138:18	current 56:5	165:4 178:5	25:13 79:7
143:6 162:10	currently 15:23	database 36:9	99:2 113:6
162:11 168:8	169:3	36:9 146:6	152:21 171:7
criminal 11:15	currie 2:20	161:25,25	<b>deals</b> 14:24
19:11 38:12	6:16	162:5	<b>dealt</b> 20:12
47:25 48:1	customers	databases	94:7 99:9
67:14,16 83:12	113:11	145:9,11	decisions
89:19 99:13,24	<b>cut</b> 17:3 32:6	<b>date</b> 1:14 5:2	161:11
122:7 127:6,10	84:1	14:4 36:6	deck 91:23
128:13 129:4,5	<b>cv</b> 1:9 8:1,2,2	59:12,13 184:3	declined 42:2
129:5 130:15	13:23 14:14	<b>dated</b> 29:24	dedicated
130:18,20	cynthia 108:17	<b>dates</b> 13:24	89:23 115:4
150:13,21	d	<b>david</b> 2:3,7 6:9	126:12
153:16,25,25	<b>d</b> 4:1 5:1 21:21	7:11	<b>deemed</b> 45:17
154:4,7,9,10	<b>da</b> 68:15,19	davis 8:3	<b>deep</b> 12:20
171:18 177:2	108:5	day 10:25,25	26:19
criminals	<b>daily</b> 16:10,12	14:17,18 51:11	defendant 1:10
128:24 130:10	dallas 78:1	51:17 52:3	2:10 168:22
153:20 171:25	145:9	67:12 79:22,23	defendants
172:3	<b>damage</b> 62:22	93:18 97:23	6:14 8:5
criminologists	damn 174:18	99:9 120:18	defense 67:23
171:9	176:24	137:11,11	169:2
criminology	das 108:7	168:7 186:21	<b>defer</b> 101:21
15:10 63:20	data 20:12	<b>days</b> 69:12	172:7,12
69:17 81:21	24:24 26:12	112:16 134:7	<b>define</b> 31:11,12
<b>crip</b> 64:13	27:20 42:1	134:14 136:8	33:20 34:14
critical 95:3	59:3 77:25	161:3 184:3	defined 103:7
cross 8:18,22	78:1,17,20	deadlines	142:24 156:11
	82:17 83:7,12	170:11,14	
	I .	l .	I .

Veritext Legal Solutions

### [defining - deterrent]

Page 15

	1	1	1
defining 33:21	departments	86:11 101:22	40:12 42:20
33:24 34:1	11:24 13:5,6	133:24 136:1	43:1,20 44:5
158:24	109:19	168:18 175:25	44:10,18 45:10
definitely 52:17	<b>depend</b> 125:22	176:1,4 179:21	46:25 47:8
100:14 110:18	159:4	180:18 181:20	50:25 66:19
117:14 118:3	depending	182:1 185:8	73:3,6 79:12
127:25 145:17	43:24 44:14	depositions 9:7	80:1,17 139:24
173:17 176:19	depends 12:25	40:20 53:18	139:25 148:23
<b>definition</b> 31:8	13:1 16:11	63:6 64:9	149:4 150:1
34:19 65:15,20	22:1 24:17,18	73:21,23 74:10	151:22
65:24 67:2	123:12 124:10	74:12,18,21	<b>deter</b> 127:5,8
definitively	161:23	79:17,25 80:11	128:9,12,23
15:13	depicted 70:6	80:22 111:12	129:12 165:14
degree 9:23	depicts 70:25	134:20 149:8	165:16,22,23
11:14 152:24	<b>deploy</b> 57:20	149:10	166:2,3 170:20
delivered 109:6	deployed 58:24	deputize 153:3	determination
demario 8:4	deponent 184:2	deputized	175:18
28:9 29:2	184:6,6,7,9,15	125:5 152:10	determinations
demolish 61:25	deponent's	described 79:2	132:12
63:16	186:20	142:19,20	determine
demolition	deposed 9:2,3	156:20 160:16	26:11 33:9
61:7	119:25	description 4:6	79:14 80:3,18
demonstrate	deposition 1:12	60:10 117:21	84:18 140:13
90:4	5:4,10 6:2 7:23	design 21:21	deterred 129:5
demonstrates	8:7,17,18 9:6	127:1,2 132:6	130:21,21
22:6	9:13 26:19	designed 98:15	171:19
<b>dense</b> 68:13	28:5 30:16	designee 71:5	deterrence
department	32:7 37:4	desire 185:7	127:11 129:3,7
41:4,7,16	39:13 44:14,20	<b>desk</b> 20:6	130:14,23
71:11 73:25	45:11,17 46:15	detailed 142:14	153:22 165:21
88:13 125:6	46:17 53:6,10	details 131:10	166:4,20
152:11,16	53:13 56:1	detective 11:11	170:24 171:1,5
department's	63:5 64:13	19:10,11 32:3	171:10,13
88:2	74:16 79:24	32:16,24 34:21	deterrent
	80:21 84:20	39:1,17 40:10	128:17 130:10

Veritext Legal Solutions

### [deterrent - doing]

Page 16

130:11 172:2,3	22:22 23:2	disclosure 44:8	distinctions
developed 23:4	28:20 29:8,17	discouraged	17:25 18:1,2
151:16 179:10	36:13,23 39:23	103:22 104:11	district 1:1,2
developing	48:24 51:1	discovery	<b>dive</b> 7:20 26:19
165:19	53:5 98:23	119:3	division 1:3
development	104:2 109:13	discuss 118:8	doctors 57:5
155:7	110:20 114:22	discussed 15:14	document 87:9
devote 139:5	116:9 117:2	26:10,18 39:22	88:23 90:15,20
<b>dial</b> 2:12,13	118:10 125:21	64:8 73:2	91:2 117:17,19
6:12,12 8:10	129:2 140:12	118:11 148:21	124:6 157:25
8:24 32:11,18	144:21 149:13	discusses 43:21	documentation
33:1 42:24	165:12 167:16	discussing	157:18
43:12 44:22	167:21 168:8	30:24 35:18	documented
45:3,13 47:4	173:11	discussion	90:3 155:18
83:22 105:9	differently	61:10 73:20	156:22
148:7 163:12	167:16	119:9	documents
173:4 180:3,5	difficult 75:21	dispense 9:5	90:17 93:1
180:16,25	131:24 132:1,7	disperse 47:14	172:8,14
181:5,7 184:1	132:8,11,14,17	49:12 103:1	<b>dog</b> 114:6
dictate 33:3	difficulty	114:20	<b>doing</b> 16:14
dictionary 67:2	110:23	dispersed 48:3	24:6,20 26:14
79:1	<b>dig</b> 171:10	104:14	32:10 58:11
difference	digital 182:8	dispersing	59:3,8,10,13
17:14 18:8,15	183:3	121:9	87:8 88:19,21
29:10 38:5,7	dilemma 86:7	dispute 42:8	89:18,19 91:8
66:10,22 86:23	direct 84:7	160:6	95:23 99:4
91:6 125:2	111:5,11	disputes 31:25	104:13 113:1,5
127:4 136:7	directions	144:4	121:23 122:10
146:9 165:7	165:12	disservice	131:14 132:8
177:18	directly 92:10	175:10	134:12 138:2
differences	129:9	distinction	138:13 140:11
28:18 64:25	disadvantaged	65:12,13 71:16	151:14,15
65:4	113:3 141:4	146:11,12	152:24 159:24
different 11:18	disagree 20:23	170:23 171:12	161:16 162:15
15:1 21:13	22:14 175:21	172:4	164:1,20 166:2

Veritext Legal Solutions

### [doing - employee]

Page 17

- 6 2 -			
170:8 175:9	<b>drive's</b> 113:11	earlier 19:13	effectiveness
<b>dollar</b> 169:19	<b>drives</b> 126:10	47:17 64:24	105:6 106:5
domains 22:7	driving 31:23	103:6 116:21	126:19 131:25
domestic	47:24 130:7	119:25 147:5	133:3
129:15 131:19	166:11 170:12	148:18 160:10	efficacy 177:16
doneilea 3:3	<b>drops</b> 61:6	161:22	<b>eight</b> 72:3
<b>door</b> 142:8	<b>drug</b> 14:25	<b>early</b> 24:3	115:12 124:20
143:10	79:6 99:2	161:3	152:19
<b>doubt</b> 27:8	<b>dudes</b> 130:7	earnest 59:18	eighteen
<b>dr</b> 142:3	<b>due</b> 184:3	95:25 118:20	156:14
162:15 179:18	<b>duly</b> 7:3 182:5	<b>earthly</b> 166:14	either 18:4
179:20,24	<b>dumb</b> 126:24	easier 50:19	27:17 44:2
<b>draft</b> 29:22	dumbest	170:19	64:17 66:7
<b>drank</b> 35:9	155:23	east 132:15	75:8 102:7
<b>draw</b> 32:8	<b>dump</b> 162:1	easy 24:1 50:3	133:5 146:6
69:22 71:16	duplicative	57:22 132:13	153:6 164:11
drawing	116:1 147:6	<b>eating</b> 107:24	electronic
146:11 172:4	<b>duty</b> 37:23	economically	181:13
<b>draws</b> 146:12	38:11,19 47:17	113:3	electronically
dreamed 161:4	47:24 71:10,12	edition 15:23	184:8
<b>drinks</b> 105:4,25	71:13,19,19	15:24 16:16	elements 85:1,5
108:13	92:20 97:8,11	23:1,1	167:2
<b>drive</b> 73:10	102:5,5,16,18	<b>edt</b> 1:15 181:24	elicit 148:5
75:5 77:9,10	109:23,24,24	education	eliciting 148:6
77:20,23 78:2	115:6,8,17	161:13	<b>email</b> 119:1,7
78:5,11,15,15	124:21 138:6	<b>effect</b> 85:21	emails 91:4
78:22 80:23,25	139:3 152:1	129:16,18,23	96:20
81:15,16,18	165:1	131:16 140:17	empirical 63:18
82:7 84:3,21	dwelling 11:6	effective 58:1	<b>employed</b> 10:7
134:7 136:9	e	58:23 59:1	10:9 97:8
145:2,12,25	e 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1	131:3 138:15	182:11,14
146:14 147:8,9	4:5 5:1,1 21:21	153:14,15,15	183:8,11
147:14,18,24	185:6,7	153:18,19	employee 76:24
148:10 155:2	ear 160:21	166:17 172:1,2	105:14 182:13
165:6 166:6,13	100.21	176:8	183:10
L		•	

#### [employee's - excuse]

Page 18

employee's	engaging 63:25	established	172:13
123:19	88:24 129:25	110:5	evident 139:12
employees	131:23 143:20	establishes	evidentiary 6:1
52:16	engineering	69:16	exact 14:4
enable 19:20	179:8	<b>estate</b> 1:6 5:12	59:12,12
20:19	<b>enhance</b> 163:10	7:25 158:10	exactly 18:3
enables 20:18	<b>ensure</b> 111:25	estimation	71:7 74:7
encompasses	112:1	17:17 105:7	75:15 102:14
21:9	<b>enter</b> 77:5	106:4	151:13 178:2
<b>ended</b> 35:20,21	entered 185:8	et 4:9 28:22	examination
<b>ends</b> 27:18 79:8	entirely 62:14	evaluate 88:11	4:2 7:8 8:22
enforce 97:21	entries 69:14	evaluating	examine 44:9
97:24 98:11,13	environment	25:25 86:20	46:6
126:7	152:12	87:20	examined 7:5
enforced	environmental	evaluation's	example 57:22
114:21	21:20 69:17	93:6	64:12 77:15,25
enforcement	equivalent	<b>event</b> 149:16	90:13 93:10
11:8,13,16,18	137:10	everybody	100:1,2 101:9
11:21,22 12:4	<b>errata</b> 184:3,7	95:15 121:2	106:12 107:13
12:7,10,13,16	184:8,9,11,13	134:13 165:23	122:2 123:15
13:2,11 14:16	184:14,18	everybody's	129:14 134:21
19:12 43:21	185:1	112:1	157:6 168:5
50:3 66:4	<b>es</b> 182:4	<b>evict</b> 99:4	<b>excel</b> 90:17
enforcement's	<b>esq</b> 184:1	evictions 89:18	excellence
12:17	<b>esquire</b> 2:3,12	evidence 37:21	22:11
enforcing	2:19	63:20 64:21	<b>except</b> 122:11
120:22 121:3	essence 58:20	69:19 72:17	126:20 172:24
engage 48:19	59:2	73:7 75:6 77:1	exception
130:4	essentially 65:3	77:8 80:8 81:6	134:21
engaged 90:22	establish	81:8 90:3,12	exclude 34:4
133:9 140:2	150:20 151:11	96:6 100:19	excluded
142:20	155:11,13	101:15 109:17	167:25
engagement	174:3,9,17,19	109:18 110:1	excuse 36:6
24:18	174:20	112:13 122:24	109:24 113:15
		164:6,10 172:7	

Veritext Legal Solutions

### [exercise - fighting]

Page 19

			•
exercise 111:21	18:17,22 19:8	<b>eye</b> 70:16	familiarity
111:23 167:20	28:2,9 30:23	119:15	111:1
<b>exhibit</b> 4:8 28:9	50:24 52:22	f	families 172:18
28:11,13 30:6	53:1 73:3	<b>facial</b> 151:17	family 11:6
30:21,22,25	101:2 123:3	facilities	70:18 71:20
50:14 61:13	148:23 168:17	124:12	136:21 174:14
72:16 101:24	169:4,12,22,25	<b>facility</b> 123:17	<b>famous</b> 58:23
138:4	170:18 172:23	123:19,20	<b>fan</b> 123:13
exhibits 28:5	expertise 12:24	125:1 184:19	159:22
<b>exist</b> 60:24	16:18 161:9	fact 30:15 38:3	<b>far</b> 17:11 21:15
178:12	167:6,11	40:25 64:5,12	36:11 37:21
existed 122:5	experts 43:16	76:10 80:20	64:2 103:13,13
existing 43:15	83:2 154:8	87:12 164:8	130:17 160:2
177:2	expires 186:25	177:2	165:5 169:9
exists 89:8	explain 55:8	<b>factor</b> 179:13	fascinating
157:15,15	70:7	factors 140:14	57:25 58:7
178:20	explained	165:21	<b>fault</b> 179:3
<b>expect</b> 104:23	148:16	facts 37:20	<b>favor</b> 124:25
expensive	explanation	factual 39:21	169:2
178:6	64:25	fair 9:21 25:8	<b>fbi</b> 93:11
<b>experience</b> 13:9	explicitly	29:4 34:16,24	<b>fbi's</b> 77:15
24:1,3,7 27:3,5	134:15	54:14 66:23	federal 8:19
27:13 59:25	extended 47:19	67:24 115:20	76:19,21,22
111:6,14,17	49:22 123:1	115:21 118:17	185:6
155:8 156:18	extension	125:18 150:3	<b>feel</b> 45:16 93:12
158:14 161:12	164:23	172:10	93:13 123:4
167:6,10	extensively	<b>fairly</b> 54:25	<b>felonies</b> 100:1,2
175:17	22:23	87:6,25	<b>fence</b> 165:25
experienced	extent 44:18	fairness 59:17	166:1,2
135:1	64:21 73:15	60:3 95:25	<b>field</b> 167:17
experiences	extra 57:3	<b>fall</b> 108:2	<b>fight</b> 85:18,24
167:17	extraordinarily	133:11	143:23
<b>expert</b> 4:8 10:4	12:18 112:23	familiar 13:4	fighting 143:14
10:22 11:3	extraordinary	83:6 105:22	143:15 144:11
12:15 13:12	110:20 160:22		

Veritext Legal Solutions

[fights - four] Page 20

01 7 . 4 . 4 . 7	01 100 17	Ø 13 100 0	0 1 1 20 15
<b>fights</b> 144:5	<b>firearm</b> 129:15	florida 130:3	footnotes 39:16
<b>figure</b> 17:12	131:19	<b>flying</b> 31:22	39:24,25 40:7
59:6 171:14	firearms 11:19	<b>focus</b> 55:20,20	72:21,25
figured 58:12	11:20 68:9	138:21,23,25	<b>force</b> 66:8
62:19	<b>fired</b> 35:24	166:5,5	137:25
<b>file</b> 36:14 41:16	67:23	<b>focused</b> 21:8,9	<b>forces</b> 112:8
41:22 42:3,5	<b>firm</b> 86:5 87:13	55:7	foregoing
43:2 68:25	122:15,20	focuses 58:17	182:3,4 183:4
104:20 184:12	<b>first</b> 7:3 15:22	83:6	forensic 23:12
<b>filed</b> 29:1,3	52:15 61:14	focusing 88:8	69:15 86:21
184:15	63:6 68:9	<b>follow</b> 31:10	167:9,15
<b>files</b> 43:22 44:8	77:13,13 85:14	128:7	foreseeability
44:11 46:7	86:15 116:2	followed 62:14	25:14 83:15,20
104:18	124:16 128:13	<b>following</b> 51:18	84:1
<b>fill</b> 109:25	130:18 139:23	81:13 118:12	foreseeable
110:8,10	164:2 172:9,14	185:5	25:10,12 83:18
122:19 184:7,8	175:10	follows 7:5	forgive 147:5
<b>filled</b> 110:3	<b>five</b> 8:5 13:25	<b>food</b> 48:15,25	<b>form</b> 8:7 42:24
149:15	16:3 28:2,3	49:3,18,21,21	43:12 83:22
<b>filling</b> 89:21	29:8,11 30:1	105:3,4,24,25	105:9 163:12
<b>final</b> 71:24	30:20,23 35:3	107:1,4,13,13	185:7,9
financially	37:13 39:11	108:1,13,23,23	<b>formal</b> 70:21
182:15 183:11	41:8 49:13	109:4,5,8	71:2,17,20
<b>finch</b> 2:4 6:10	52:23 67:20	113:10,24	88:22 95:3
7:12	77:4 80:14	114:4	<b>former</b> 105:11
finchmccrani	100:20,23	foolproof	formula 167:9
2:7	101:19 109:3	151:10,15	<b>forth</b> 30:2 73:8
<b>find</b> 15:11 98:2	112:16 129:21	<b>foot</b> 131:14	157:3 172:23
104:20 111:3,9	131:20 164:17	165:24 166:1,2	forward 45:24
111:16,21,23	164:18 175:8	footnote 39:19	184:12
<b>finding</b> 110:23	175:10 180:5,6	40:2,2,2 73:3	foundation
<b>fine</b> 45:18 46:3	<b>fix</b> 88:17 144:2	73:13 74:9	88:13
finishes 72:18	<b>flag</b> 29:8	108:19	<b>four</b> 16:3 29:4
<b>fire</b> 35:25 62:22	<b>flesh</b> 55:17	footnoted	31:17 37:12
67:21 178:19		53:16 149:2	41:12,21 42:12

[four - give] Page 21

115:12 123:5	fulfill 113:2	77:24 78:2	generally 12:19
149:16 166:16	<b>full</b> 24:21	79:5 82:1,7	12:21 116:6
<b>fourth</b> 81:1,16	160:24	140:2,7,15	134:5,10
140:6 144:1,10	<b>fully</b> 55:22	141:22,25	136:19 138:7
<b>frame</b> 55:4	135:22 155:1,2	142:6,8,9,10,11	152:6 168:25
107:22,24	function 122:5	142:11,12,21	170:16 176:22
109:14 110:16	functions 122:9	143:5,7,13,20	genesis 86:25
162:10	<b>fund</b> 88:13	144:15,18	georgia 1:2
framework	furnish 185:9	145:1,13,23,24	5:16 6:10 7:12
69:24	further 94:16	146:4,5,8,10,10	8:20 18:18,20
francisco	155:17 180:13	148:11 149:10	18:23 19:4
124:14 125:4,5	182:13 183:9	149:12 151:6,8	68:16 103:17
152:9	future 25:6	<b>gangs</b> 13:14	104:6 106:15
frankly 24:1	26:24 44:14,20	14:10,19 16:2	107:8 111:2
125:13 178:15	g	16:6,19 18:20	125:12 130:2
free 45:8	<b>g</b> 5:1	18:25 64:16,19	182:19
freedom 177:8	ga 2:6,15,22	65:10,11 66:12	georgia's 18:25
frequency	184:22	81:25 140:22	42:4 67:22
82:24 83:5	gaa 159:20	140:24 150:7	103:14
frequent	gang 11:25	<b>gaps</b> 109:25	getting 79:9
124:11	12:9 13:17,17	<b>garden</b> 96:13	85:18 87:21,22
friend 37:9	14:14,24 15:8	<b>gas</b> 37:9 70:11	88:1 99:5
friends 48:11	15:13 16:13,23	70:12 109:7	101:2 114:2,3
70:18 71:20	17:2,4,7,16,22	gates 55:20	123:20 128:4
143:9 175:11	17:22 18:4,5	gather 26:14	135:14 136:4
175:16	18:17,23 19:4	37:2 59:14	139:14 140:22
<b>frisk</b> 38:14	39:12 46:12,13	96:23 119:11	140:22 146:24
130:1,4,7	63:19,20 64:6	gathered 27:21	153:21 171:13
131:23	64:11,20,22	gathering	<b>giant</b> 113:2
<b>front</b> 36:20	65:2,16,21	48:17 107:12	145:9,11
37:17 48:17,22	66:1,2,4,6,8,11	119:16	girlfriend 79:8
61:1 105:5	73:12,15 74:2	general 56:8	101:18
106:1	74:5,6,8,14,18	79:10,10	<b>give</b> 19:25 20:2
fugitives	74:21,23 75:8	133:12 154:3	20:22 22:4
152:19	75:12 76:15	157:9 162:17	27:9,25 57:21

Veritext Legal Solutions

#### [give - groussman]

Page 22

[8 8			C
57:22 78:8	155:15 157:14	131:21 135:19	governments
88:12 90:13	161:6 169:7	136:24 139:9	113:1
92:19 122:2	175:1 179:25	140:5 153:18	<b>grad</b> 141:17
123:15 131:10	<b>god</b> 107:5	153:22 160:7,9	grandma
134:20 136:14	<b>god's</b> 166:14	161:5 162:22	137:19
145:5 150:14	<b>goes</b> 17:23	165:10,11,14	granted 87:17
168:5 175:13	39:10 47:16	165:14,18	granular 77:25
175:14,19	61:5 81:22	166:2,12,15	<b>gray</b> 142:3
<b>given</b> 20:9	100:16 112:9	169:21 171:18	179:18,20,24
22:15 55:8	112:11 126:5	172:7,12 173:6	gray's 162:15
106:8 130:17	157:25	176:18,18,19	great 29:18,21
175:12 185:8	<b>going</b> 8:14 9:17	177:9 179:20	50:9 53:7
<b>gives</b> 22:20	12:18 26:16,23	<b>gold</b> 20:24	59:21 77:16
<b>giving</b> 17:6	26:24 27:9	21:12,24	99:18 104:4
20:14 36:7	28:4,25 30:18	<b>good</b> 5:6 6:9	106:7 136:13
65:24 101:6	30:20 32:2,3	7:10,15,16	144:23,25
<b>glad</b> 20:4,4	33:13,14 34:3	62:18 85:14,17	156:12,12
gladwell	37:7,8 39:4,22	85:21 95:21	158:16 164:20
146:22	40:25 45:16,21	106:16 108:20	170:15 174:16
globally 22:10	47:4 48:9,10	114:8 126:25	177:11
<b>go</b> 7:19 22:21	49:4,6 50:22	127:2 132:6	greenlight
23:22 24:5	59:8 62:23	137:15 142:14	176:4
32:7 37:7 44:4	65:23,24 68:7	165:11 171:3,4	greetings 184:5
45:9,24 46:5	70:20 72:1	174:18 176:23	grew 142:12,19
55:24 60:11	86:8 88:10	177:7	143:10 144:9
74:4 75:8,15	90:24 92:11	<b>google</b> 69:12	<b>grigg</b> 74:11
85:23 90:13	96:14,17 98:16	googling	groceries 114:3
102:17 111:9	99:2,4,5,19	106:17	ground 9:5
111:16 115:25	102:8 109:13	<b>goosey</b> 158:9	60:13 155:9
118:4 119:10	112:5,6 113:24	<b>gotten</b> 91:10	156:19
119:24 134:24	122:3,8,11	109:5	<b>group</b> 4:8 6:21
136:10 137:13	123:15,21	governance	140:21,24
137:25 139:22	126:16 127:5	85:1,13 157:16	<b>group's</b> 169:12
142:9,10,15,24	129:16,18	government	groussman
145:8 152:20	131:16,17,18	76:22,24 113:5	91:9 152:5

Veritext Legal Solutions

[grow - helpful] Page 23

<b>grow</b> 141:3	<b>gum</b> 151:19	<b>hang</b> 39:3	<b>hate</b> 119:8
142:7	gunfire 94:24	76:10 143:6	157:17
<b>growing</b> 144:17	<b>gunn</b> 2:13	156:13	hauled 38:17
guardian 70:6	guns 55:20	hanging 37:24	hawk 51:6,11
70:10 71:17,17	146:17,24,25	38:9 49:4 50:8	51:19 52:14
guardians	147:1	65:9 66:1 98:3	53:25 55:9
71:10,12,20,22	gunshots	98:7 103:23	56:4,11 108:17
guardianship	133:19	104:7,11 108:2	hawks 54:10
70:10,14,21	<b>guy</b> 77:5 112:5	126:11 137:6	hazard 24:23
81:23	143:10 174:17	hangs 65:22	25:2
<b>guards</b> 55:20	<b>guy's</b> 79:8	<b>happen</b> 78:23	<b>he'll</b> 176:17
<b>guess</b> 34:18	<b>guys</b> 37:10	143:9 147:22	180:17
38:13 39:24	38:19 47:18,25	happened	headers 29:12
45:21 56:4	48:12 49:4	26:22 38:17	healthcare 23:8
68:8 84:4	50:8 67:20	55:6 61:4	23:10 57:22
101:19,24	76:10 77:2	64:12 84:19	89:6 164:16
120:14 132:23	84:4 91:8	107:17,18,19	<b>hear</b> 13:14
151:19 156:17	97:10 102:16	114:1 168:9	58:10 94:24
177:9,10 178:3	109:3 114:2,8	<b>happening</b> 96:1	173:14,25
<b>guest</b> 100:10	127:15 137:5	100:4 113:22	<b>heard</b> 18:16
101:12 104:23	143:2,4 165:11	happens 38:10	58:2 67:11
guestimate	177:2	167:23	113:9 118:4
27:7	h	<b>happy</b> 20:3	125:12,13
<b>guests</b> 104:16	h 2:3 4:5	57:8 131:11	133:19 152:18
105:20 118:2,3	haley 5:14	140:25 156:3	155:24 156:21
133:5	half 107:4	173:13	156:22,23
guideline 23:6	164:19 169:19	<b>hard</b> 19:5	158:19 175:3,5
23:9,11 157:10	halfway 138:5	38:22 68:1,4	177:14
157:25 174:11	ham 132:13	99:5 100:11	hearing 6:22
guidelines 23:6	hand 6:25	116:25 169:5	<b>hearken</b> 130:17
23:7,10,21	172:9,14	175:4	<b>held</b> 174:5
156:22 157:24	handed 105:13	harder 83:25	<b>help</b> 17:3
158:3 159:2	164:17	<b>harm</b> 84:7	111:16
179:7,11	hands 91:23	<b>harris</b> 127:16	helpful 50:17
	1141145 /1.23		55:12 56:23

Veritext Legal Solutions

### [helpful - immediate]

Page 24

			_
57:2	hits 69:12	120:23 121:4	138:13 144:2
helping 26:8	78:12	housing 10:8	160:8 166:14
<b>hereto</b> 182:15	<b>hold</b> 157:10,12	10:16,23 57:18	176:23 177:7
183:11	157:13 158:16	58:15 99:12,24	identical 28:15
<b>hey</b> 24:19	158:17,18	141:4 142:1,7	29:3 30:2,20
60:12 70:15	175:4 178:22	142:13 144:9	132:2
95:19 96:14	<b>holding</b> 178:18	174:14	identification
98:6 99:1	hollow 5:14	<b>houston</b> 127:16	28:12
111:9,15 134:9	homicide 12:6	127:23	identified
136:7,15	19:9	<b>hub</b> 71:14	30:21 63:19
hiers 2:20 6:16	<b>honest</b> 39:13	120:14,15	88:6
<b>high</b> 56:7 82:1	57:15	<b>hud</b> 158:1,2,4,6	identify 6:7
82:11 88:6,8	hopefully 137:2	158:8,20 159:7	16:21 26:9,21
110:4 127:23	hospitals 57:24	159:7,20	100:12 138:18
138:22,22,23	<b>hosted</b> 13:19	hudgins 2:13	138:19 150:14
139:10	21:5 118:6	<b>huge</b> 90:24	150:23 151:4,5
<b>higher</b> 63:22	<b>hot</b> 114:6 131:2	119:12 153:10	151:9
153:21	139:6 157:21	155:8	identifying
<b>highly</b> 16:25	<b>hotspot</b> 131:15	hunter's	18:19
17:8	139:4	124:13 152:9	<b>illegal</b> 146:24
<b>hills</b> 123:17	hotspots	<b>hurst</b> 152:14	146:25
<b>hire</b> 112:3	138:15	i	illicit 14:25
161:6	<b>hour</b> 47:20	iahss 23:10	illuminating
<b>hired</b> 43:8 91:9	52:11	iapsc 15:7	179:8
112:15	<b>hours</b> 31:6 38:9	23:12 86:21	illustrate 124:2
<b>hiring</b> 112:25	47:20 52:8,10	164:19 166:24	imagine 14:3
historical 42:1	52:12,12 54:24	167:15,21	35:23 62:21
historically	110:11,12	174:24 176:19	67:16,25 78:11
26:22	115:5,8,10	179:1,2	92:5,10 114:1
history 82:18	116:6,12	idea 36:18	115:9 116:12
83:12 138:8	121:14 122:25	42:25 48:4,5	116:22 125:20
158:22 159:4	<b>house</b> 50:2	50:9 57:20,25	159:1
159:12 174:22	70:16 97:21,25	58:13 60:12,14	immediate
<b>hit</b> 80:6	98:15 104:24	97:25 100:13	106:23 107:2
	105:22 118:7	113:21 137:15	117:8
		113.21 137.13	

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [imminent - intending]

Page 25

	0-		
imminent 84:7	136:2 161:14	individual's	initial 58:5
153:8	<b>income</b> 101:3	140:7,9 141:22	initiated 18:4
<b>impact</b> 30:16	inconsistent	145:2,25	18:11
105:6 106:3	53:5	individually	initiation 18:13
126:22 131:22	incorporated	1:5 5:12 7:24	<b>injuries</b> 143:14
144:10	53:2,22 57:10	individuals	144:11
implemented	incorporates	56:15	innocent 78:21
58:11	23:13	industries 23:5	147:21 148:1
important 22:4	incorrect	industry 10:8	inquiry 34:13
23:25 24:8	175:11	10:16,23 21:2	<b>ins</b> 136:16
86:23	increase 145:1	21:4,13,20	inside 84:14
impossible	145:24	87:2 89:6	123:20 129:3
176:24	increased	157:15,16	154:1 162:8
impression	141:5 143:13	174:14 178:13	166:3 171:13
119:10 121:2	increases 140:7	179:6	172:4
impressive	140:8 144:16	influx 170:14	inspection
160:8 161:17	increasing	informal 86:2	60:15,20
incident 31:3,9	128:23 141:22	88:19,20,23	installing 84:13
31:11,20 32:23	independent	160:11,16	instance 61:4
33:7,8,8,9,19	21:17 53:20	information	instances 73:21
33:21,21,22,25	149:23 172:14	21:23,25 26:13	78:3,11 126:20
34:7,11,14,20	indicate 66:3	27:21 31:15	168:12
34:20 35:1,4	73:14 77:2	43:10,15 45:6	institute 158:9
35:19 41:24	176:9	46:5,22 52:21	instructor
42:1,12 49:18	indicates 87:14	52:25 55:11,25	11:20 68:9
54:5,17 55:2,6	indicted 64:10	64:4 73:8	intelligence
56:21 87:19	indictments	79:13,23 80:2	58:20
101:14,16	64:7	93:2 94:16	intend 30:9
118:23	indirect 111:12	112:20 139:24	68:5 82:20
include 42:1	indirectly	144:7,13 149:6	148:3 172:25
71:12,16	14:20	150:5 161:10	173:14 179:17
included 71:10	individual	167:16	intended 5:24
118:6 126:14	96:17 171:15	inherent 27:3	intending
including 8:21	171:16	27:23	173:18
39:18 87:10			

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [intention - june]

Page 26

_			
intention 32:6	52:10 53:16,21	involving 35:2	<b>jails</b> 13:6
intentional	intrigued 57:14	<b>ipad</b> 138:1	<b>jane</b> 179:18,20
67:3	57:17	irm 158:20	january 177:6
intentionally	introduce 28:4	159:9,18	<b>jdial</b> 2:16
61:23	invented 58:9	irrespective	<b>jet</b> 165:11
interested	investigated	124:4	<b>jim</b> 70:15,15
182:15 183:12	12:3,6,9	issue 8:8 25:5	73:24 74:16
interesting	investigating	39:22 40:1,8	77:3 79:19
142:2 143:16	18:23	44:3,15 47:11	103:15 122:25
interlocked	investigation	50:3,4,4,8 75:9	<b>job</b> 1:19 49:23
124:22	41:7 42:6,22	78:10 94:7	57:16 60:10
internal 87:21	43:3 44:7	99:12 108:25	117:20 120:12
international	166:18,20	109:1 126:23	121:6 124:3,5
14:21 23:8	investigations	128:8 145:14	161:7
intervene 124:8	19:9 21:7	146:23 148:13	<b>joke</b> 171:20
165:18 166:13	43:22 89:19	152:6	journal 132:25
intervening	investigative	issues 24:23,23	<b>judge</b> 168:10
123:23	42:3 43:2,11	32:5 58:17	<b>judges</b> 127:17
intervention	43:22	62:18 99:22,23	127:20
166:9,20	<b>invite</b> 14:17	116:25 118:7	judgment
interview 27:22	invoices 124:17	133:10 168:4	167:5,10,20
51:9 52:13,15	<b>involve</b> 147:19	172:10,15	<b>jump</b> 86:8
52:22 53:1,4,9	147:20,25	it'd 61:9 165:21	163:13 165:13
54:19 55:7	involved 41:22	it'll 77:18 78:2	166:1
56:13 57:3,5	44:1 48:12	78:2	<b>jumped</b> 175:12
57:10 58:5	75:3,5 76:20	item 50:25	jumping
63:5,8	76:22 79:6	items 7:20	165:24
interviewed	83:2 86:12	69:14	<b>june</b> 1:14 5:2
27:12 51:5,10	91:17,22 103:9	$\mathbf{j}$	5:13 31:5
51:19 120:3	109:3 127:19	jackson 2:12	33:23 35:2
155:2 175:2	136:2 146:25	184:1	36:21 38:15
interviewing	154:8	jad 6:12 45:3	41:7,17 42:3,6
56:9 156:20	involvement	46:16 179:25	42:10,22 47:1
interviews	140:13 160:16	jail 38:18	47:14 54:11
26:14,21 51:13		J 30.10	63:25 67:7

Veritext Legal Solutions

[june - know] Page 27

			_
71:9 80:16	kenneth 8:3	17:2,6,24 18:4	60:7,21,24
83:13,18 93:23	64:12	18:8,9,11,25	62:5,7,10,11,20
96:2 101:13	<b>key</b> 22:7 75:10	19:3,5,7,21,22	63:1,4,4,10
107:15 108:24	<b>kiarra</b> 74:11	20:2,6,7,11,14	64:6,8,14
112:14 113:10	<b>kiarra's</b> 74:25	21:14,19,21,23	66:10,14,18
113:13 114:5	kick 99:3	22:2,2 23:3,24	67:17,19,22
116:14,20	<b>kiernan</b> 91:19	24:2,4,5,6,7	68:3,9,10,11,16
118:14 119:2	91:20	26:25 27:4,6,7	71:7 73:15,18
119:23 120:12	kiernan's	27:14,16 33:3	73:21,23 74:7
121:23 149:15	122:23,24	33:22 34:15	74:14 75:1,14
149:21 150:3	<b>killed</b> 127:22	35:24,25 36:3	76:3,9,12,18
165:1	<b>kind</b> 11:25	36:13,16,17,19	77:2,4,20
justice 11:15	14:15 25:14	37:4,6,12,13,19	78:19 79:5,20
127:10 128:13	36:25 43:6,13	37:21,21,22,23	79:22 80:7,19
130:18 154:4	52:13 56:16	37:23 38:1,2,2	80:19 81:7,8
justifiable	58:4,21 60:7	38:4,14,16,17	81:10,21 82:6
106:21,22	73:10 78:20	38:20,21,23	83:3,24 84:4,8
107:1	79:18 80:9	39:12 40:19	84:9,14 85:21
juvenile 171:23	84:6 88:2	41:1,10,11,11	86:9,12,17
172:2	96:24,25	41:12,13,22,25	87:3 88:14,16
k	109:12 113:3	42:19,20 43:15	88:25 89:2,16
karetny 1:18	126:10 132:17	43:16 44:25	89:18 91:1,2,7
5:7 182:2,17	133:25 140:15	45:19 46:11	91:20 92:8,9
<b>karim</b> 1:13 5:4	144:4,17	47:8,11,15,15	92:16 93:5,9
5:10 6:20 7:2	152:11 154:4	47:19 48:21	93:12,12,18,19
111:9 180:18	175:13 178:18	49:16 50:2,9	93:25 94:9,12
184:2	<b>kinds</b> 58:17	50:12 51:13	94:23,24 95:2
keep 27:7 65:18	76:4	52:6,11 53:9	95:4,5,18,20
70:12,16 86:10	<b>knew</b> 84:2	53:11,12,16	96:4,5,6,7,9,12
108:9	94:19 177:4,5	54:3,5,5,10,22	96:14,15,19
keeps 79:18	<b>know</b> 9:3,21	55:1,19,19	97:7,7,12,14,18
keiontay 8:3	13:1,2,8,10,11	56:2,7,7,17,18	98:2,7,16,18,21
<b>kelly</b> 51:5,9,11	13:22,23 14:3	56:20 57:4,16	98:23 99:2
51:19 52:14	14:4 15:12	57:17 58:9,16	100:1,7,9
108:16	16:10,12,14	59:12,12,14,24	101:1,6,12

Veritext Legal Solutions

[know - lease] Page 28

_			C
102:13,13,15	139:11,12,20	178:2,7 179:3	lauren.woodr
102:20 103:13	140:17,20,21	179:19,19,22	2:23
103:21 104:8	140:25 141:9	knowing 81:2	<b>law</b> 9:23,25
105:13,20	141:11,14,16	knowledge	10:5 11:8,13
106:6,8,10,11	141:18,18,19	21:18 22:7	11:15,18,20,22
107:17,22,24	141:19,21	24:9 56:2	12:3,6,10,12,15
108:1,5,5,7	142:1,5,16	69:17 111:11	12:17 13:2,11
109:5,9,13,15	143:1,16,20,22	111:12 116:13	14:16 18:25
109:15,17,22	145:6 146:3,4	116:18 120:11	19:4,12 43:21
109:23 110:1,5	146:4,20,21,21	137:24 149:20	50:2 66:4
111:6 112:9,15	146:24 147:1,2	149:23 168:1	68:16 106:20
113:7,22 115:4	147:3,4,11,25	172:9,15	125:7,10
115:9,11,13	148:13 150:2,6	182:10 183:6	lawrence 82:14
116:10 117:1,4	152:4,8,9,15	<b>known</b> 39:11	laws 6:1 42:4
117:5 118:5,21	153:24 154:2	47:25 48:1	67:23
118:21 119:8	154:23 155:4	knows 38:12	lawsuits 8:4
119:14,15,17	155:10,21	50:13 105:16	76:4
119:19 121:21	156:2 157:21	l	lawyer 7:11
122:1,6,13,23	158:3,18 159:7	<b>la</b> 107:17	lawyers 25:21
123:1,3,13,24	159:7,8,9,17,18	ladder 178:7	43:19
124:15 125:2	159:21,23	laguna 123:17	layered 175:23
126:3,6,14	160:1,5,5,6	language 85:15	<b>laying</b> 131:9
127:12,14,19	161:2,2,8,8	148:17	<b>layout</b> 96:18
127:23,25	162:12,13,21	largely 19:22	learn 23:23
128:3,5,13	164:9,15,20	24:17,17 25:1	53:4,25 55:5
130:2,3,7,18,20	165:5,17,22,23	122:11 123:12	57:6,13 58:18
131:6,8,21	168:4,6,16,21	124:3 139:18	learned 44:4
132:7,15,16	168:22,23,24	170:7	52:21,25 53:13
133:16,17,17	168:25 169:8	lasted 52:7	73:24
133:19,20	169:13,22,23	112:16	lease 59:8
134:1,10,25	170:8,11,13	late 58:24	89:18 98:9
135:2,5,6,14,19	173:22 174:8	laundry 56:16	104:18,20,20
135:20,20,24	175:13 176:11	lauren 2:19	122:2 150:12
136:1,12,20,23	176:18,23,25	6:15 8:12	150:14,24
137:5,8 138:17	177:4,5,8,9,11	5.12 5.12	158:1

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [leasing - looked]

Page 29

leasing 99:13	128:8,10,15	litigation 111:6	loitering's
115:10 116:6	144:11	<b>little</b> 20:11	105:16
124:17	<b>likely</b> 41:19	33:14 52:9	loiters 98:3
leave 48:15	45:14 78:14	105:18 115:11	114:20
70:14 121:1	82:6,8 100:3	148:15 152:15	long 8:3 35:24
leaving 37:8	147:8 148:10	169:9 178:25	36:1,19 37:16
70:15	<b>limit</b> 177:10,10	<b>live</b> 49:11	47:15 48:4,5
<b>led</b> 164:17,18	limitations	136:21 150:20	48:21 50:9,11
166:18	77:17 168:13	<b>lived</b> 136:21	52:6 54:8
legal 25:14	limited 8:21	137:9	62:12 64:12
130:2,3	168:2 174:12	<b>lives</b> 97:9	75:19 101:11
legalese 25:19	limiting 176:22	living 59:6	103:23 110:4
25:22	177:7	88:24 94:11	125:8 137:20
<b>length</b> 36:3,3	line 28:21	100:8,15,15,20	<b>longer</b> 15:25
letting 169:8	34:13 78:24	101:8 142:7	175:19
level 12:20	138:24 140:7	<b>llc</b> 1:9 2:11,20	look 21:13 24:4
102:20	185:11,14,17	5:13	24:21,22,23
<b>levels</b> 129:3	185:20,23	llp 2:4	39:4 52:5
159:8	186:1,4,7,10,13	localized 17:1,8	53:14,15,15
lewis 105:5,10	186:16	located 47:23	60:23,25 61:8
105:23	link 54:23	location 1:16	61:11 63:17
lewis's 48:25	<b>links</b> 90:16	124:12	68:7,18 75:16
liability 123:14	<b>list</b> 56:17 62:10	lock 138:1	86:21 88:4
153:10	62:24 63:2,7	logic 152:7	93:6,10,11
licensed 147:1	117:16,16,25	loiterers 98:7	95:12 99:1
<b>life</b> 132:7 133:1	120:6 121:22	103:1 121:9	104:18 112:19
153:9	<b>listed</b> 13:23	loitering	120:6 132:5
lifestyle 82:5,6	59:5	102:24 103:10	133:11 142:15
82:8,9	literally 29:14	103:15,17	142:24 145:8
<b>light</b> 38:3	29:17 60:12	104:4,17 105:1	145:11 162:20
lighting 179:6	112:8 130:17	105:7,21 106:5	166:5,16 180:1
179:7,13	152:18 170:5	106:9,10,13,14	looked 53:9
<b>lights</b> 115:14	literature	106:19 107:8	65:8,9 69:11
likelihood 25:4	130:12	108:7,15	77:22 78:10
127:7,9 128:4		113:17	93:7,8,19

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [looked - matter]

Page 30

			_
103:14 123:2	lower 102:20	malcolm	managerial
169:15,17	ludicrous	146:22	87:14
174:22	123:16	mama 100:23	managers
<b>looking</b> 25:1,4	m	<b>man</b> 144:9	27:12 56:9,10
26:7,12,13	<b>ma'am</b> 181:4	manage 71:4	151:4 155:3,5
34:17 39:7,8	181:13	management	155:16 156:20
39:13 50:18,19	made 12:12	1:9 2:10 5:13	161:10 175:2
57:18 59:4,5	58:14 72:22	6:17 10:25	managing 11:4
66:9 72:15	107:9 116:11	15:23 22:12	11:6 89:10
79:10 83:7	122:15 143:2,4	54:15 56:8	117:12 150:24
88:15 99:21	152:11 165:6	59:25 71:21	manner 6:2
104:19 111:2	mafia 143:1	91:7,22 92:10	106:20
133:9 140:12	magic 66:25	94:12,20 97:8	mansell 184:20
140:20 162:4,4	146:3	98:6,25 103:5	<b>manual</b> 105:14
165:19,19,20	mail 184:10	113:15,16,21	manually 184:8
165:21	maintain 62:25	115:9 116:18	<b>march</b> 96:7
looks 39:25	maintenance	137:10,11,19	marcus 1:6
44:23 82:13	97:10	137:24 150:16	5:12 7:25
<b>loosey</b> 158:9	majority 62:21	151:1,2 152:21	68:22 80:15
<b>lot</b> 26:14 49:3	92:20 129:11	155:21,22	mark 28:8
53:14 94:7	132:5 147:15	158:10 160:24	31:24 50:25
105:24 108:24	147:19,23	161:9,13	73:3 148:23
113:5,11	164:9	management's	179:4
123:14 124:19	make 38:7 44:1	138:3	marked 28:11
126:1 136:15	51:18 127:4	manager 10:15	30:22
146:5 148:6	135:6 136:16	54:4 56:5,21	marketing
158:14 160:7,7	156:17 161:11	70:24,25 71:6	22:13
162:2 165:11	178:21 185:7	92:6,7 98:24	<b>massive</b> 174:23
<b>louis</b> 70:13	makes 18:8,14	100:11 116:23	material 65:4,6
love 58:13	38:4 66:10	116:23 117:8	65:7,12
174:15	78:19,21 146:9	135:20,23	materials 30:12
lovely 61:9	155:22	160:14,14	mathematical
174:5	making 7:17	175:7	167:9
loves 174:24	132:13 156:13	manager's 71:2	matter 5:11
	185:8,8		17:20,25 28:8
	100.0,0		

# [matter - methodology]

Page 31

66:12 90:4	85:20 89:16,23	163:25 169:18	142:8,9,10,11
110:12 137:18	92:17,19 93:5	173:19,22	142:12 176:19
mccranie 2:4	93:8,12 94:22	175:18	179:1
6:10 7:12	95:15 96:11,21	meaning 34:2	members 17:22
mcghee 2:20	97:5,6 98:10	62:7 64:17	18:19 63:20
6:16	98:15 101:17	153:8	66:1,2,11
mcveigh 76:19	102:5,10,13	means 6:3 62:2	143:5,7,20
<b>mean</b> 9:17	105:10 106:10	70:7,8,11,14,17	144:18 151:6,8
11:23 12:17	106:16,25	70:18 74:7	175:15
15:4 17:8,10	107:15,21	142:7 171:13	membership
17:11 18:6	109:10,15,23	178:2,3	18:12 64:17
19:10,24 20:20	110:4 111:4	<b>meant</b> 102:6	145:24 146:8
20:20 22:13,14	112:22 114:1,2	115:5 143:23	146:10 174:25
23:23 25:12,17	116:21,22	160:10 165:17	175:15
25:18 26:15	118:11 119:8	measure	memorialized
30:14 31:12	119:11 121:25	151:17 166:8	90:9,11,15,20
32:5,19 33:12	122:1,2 124:8	measures 60:22	156:21 157:2
33:19 34:6,6	127:10,11,15	82:12 164:5	men 39:11
34:15 35:4,24	128:19 130:16	177:11	141:3
36:5,25 37:19	132:7,13,14,17	meet 109:12	mentioned
38:6,8 40:5,6	132:22 136:2	meeting 51:12	13:13 16:8
41:11,18 42:14	136:12 140:8	91:4 96:16,20	69:23
42:17 45:13,14	141:7,13,24	98:5 119:2	mere 127:5
45:16,17 46:10	142:25,25	154:20 155:20	<b>merely</b> 144:16
48:6 50:13	143:15 144:6	178:19	merriam 67:1
53:14 54:3,21	145:5 146:2,16	meetings 59:13	79:1
57:1,14 58:13	147:10,12	95:24 96:2,10	<b>met</b> 40:15
61:3,18,25	148:25 149:1,8	118:6,13,17,24	55:24 159:16
64:2 66:10	149:18,18	122:12	<b>method</b> 113:7
68:7,8,25 71:1	150:15 153:3,5	meets 137:22	151:10,15
73:9 74:3 75:4	153:23 154:3	178:10	methodologies
75:17,24 78:8	156:10,11,16	<b>member</b> 16:23	22:23,24 23:2
78:18 79:4,9	159:14,25	17:7,16,21,22	23:4
80:20 81:4,9	160:21,25	18:4,7 65:2	methodology
84:15,20 85:17	161:14,23	66:4,7,23 82:1	23:13 69:15

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [methodology - needed]

Page 32

			_
86:21 166:24	72:3 91:4	months 55:3	nailed 37:14
167:4,8,15,22	156:3	87:18 91:20	<b>naive</b> 174:6
methods 24:9	missed 172:11	96:5 118:22,23	<b>name</b> 5:6 6:19
83:6 151:9	mistake 74:25	124:18 177:6	89:24 166:14
metric 48:7	75:13	morning 39:14	<b>name's</b> 7:10
middle 90:1	mistaken 39:6	74:17 79:19	<b>names</b> 28:17,19
102:23 108:12	mitigate 25:6,9	80:13 152:5,25	narrative 73:16
132:15,16	mitigating	164:3 174:16	74:2
143:25	154:13	<b>move</b> 50:12	narrow 55:4
midnight 33:24	mix 62:4	62:5	narrowly 21:8
36:16,17	<b>mixed</b> 129:13	<b>moved</b> 122:4	national 155:18
mike 174:15,15	131:18	<b>moving</b> 61:23	156:23
174:16,16	<b>mode</b> 153:7	68:2,12,14	natural 24:23
mike's 174:17	modern 127:1	101:19	nature 87:15
<b>mile</b> 107:4	141:18	<b>multi</b> 11:6	124:11,11
<b>million</b> 69:12	<b>moment</b> 32:3,4	154:13 174:14	near 20:1 33:23
169:19,19	90:6	175:23	35:1 95:9
<b>mind</b> 16:4 35:7	moments 31:21	multiple 13:17	176:24
46:10 69:5	<b>monday</b> 152:5	33:11 39:16	nearby 47:24
79:18 80:13	152:25 164:3	67:19 137:7	necessarily
129:4 130:15	<b>money</b> 124:15	155:4,5	22:15 77:20
154:1,6 166:3	124:19 164:21	<b>murder</b> 31:4,17	94:21 99:8
171:13 172:5	175:14,14	64:7	142:21 145:19
<b>mind's</b> 119:15	monitored	murders 77:19	159:15 173:19
<b>mine</b> 28:20	120:16 176:3	<b>murrah</b> 76:18	necessary 9:8
minimum	monitoring	<b>music</b> 105:4,25	124:7 185:9
103:7	48:16 49:10,11	<b>myriad</b> 123:13	<b>need</b> 25:3 34:5
<b>minor</b> 12:1	49:11 71:14,15	n	39:4 43:25
minority 113:3	120:8,18 176:5	<b>n</b> 2:1 3:1 4:1	44:18,19 55:17
minute 26:3	176:11	5:1	58:12,13 93:8
27:25 180:1	montgomery	naa 155:20	98:19 99:3,3
<b>minutes</b> 36:17	13:20 14:10,15	157:1,17 158:8	177:8 181:17
37:12,13,24	<b>month</b> 55:5	158:20 159:9	181:17
38:23 47:19	96:15 115:15	159:19	needed 30:12
49:13,13,14,14			92:14 93:3,25

# [needed - objective]

Page 33

_			
110:12 161:9	nice 165:22	<b>notes</b> 16:15	nowadays
162:23	<b>night</b> 37:23	53:21,21 54:8	11:17 132:10
<b>needs</b> 45:13	63:25 67:14	57:9 180:1	169:2 170:16
109:12 123:12	84:3 85:11	<b>notice</b> 8:6 84:6	nugget 57:7
164:22	92:22 93:18,25	84:7 94:17	number 7:25
neighbor 70:15	97:23,24 98:12	95:19 96:14	26:17 27:8,20
neighborhood	98:14 115:14	134:2,16,17	27:20,22 36:6
81:1,17 114:4	115:18,18	135:10,11,13	46:11 48:24
144:10,17	126:10 162:11	135:15,17	50:23,25 53:17
neither 182:11	162:16,23	136:6,23 137:1	62:2 73:9,12
183:7	165:1	169:6	77:18 80:6
<b>never</b> 60:6 61:3	nighttime	<b>noticed</b> 136:15	94:8,14 109:2
78:10 87:8,9	92:14,16 93:3	<b>notices</b> 8:18,18	110:12 111:7
105:14 107:5,8	93:22 97:4	94:11 95:4	129:3,6 137:13
118:4 125:12	121:11 161:20	133:15,22	137:17 139:23
125:13 145:18	nineteen 40:4	135:7,8 137:14	150:23 154:24
158:19 169:6	nobody's	notification	165:9 174:2,15
169:17,21	149:20	134:8	174:21
175:5 176:13	<b>non</b> 59:7 63:10	notifications	numbers 38:2
176:15 178:5	111:6 129:15	94:6 133:3	numerous
<b>new</b> 9:6 16:16	131:19 141:25	177:13	146:7
53:12,14 54:6	162:7 170:20	notified 96:9	0
56:9 58:23	<b>nope</b> 164:8	<b>notify</b> 47:14	o 1:6,6 5:1
60:8 107:19	<b>normal</b> 97:18	48:15 95:8	o'clock 94:22
127:22 170:12	normally 41:21	98:17 118:1	133:21 137:20
news 94:23	<b>north</b> 179:9	<b>notifying</b> 94:2	oaths 5:16
133:21 137:20	northeast 2:5	96:20 119:21	object 42:24
147:12,12,13	2:14,21	137:12	43:12 83:22
<b>newton</b> 4:9 8:4	northern 1:2	<b>noting</b> 184:7	105:9 163:12
28:9,22 29:2	notarized	<b>notion</b> 109:22	objection 5:19
49:7	184:9	126:18 141:24	objections 6:23
<b>nfpa</b> 87:3,5,6	notary 5:15	162:11	8:7
174:21,22,23	182:18 186:24	november	objective
175:1	<b>noted</b> 54:12	110:10	132:25
	185:4,5		

Veritext Legal Solutions

[observe - open] Page 34

	T		
observe 123:24	occurring 94:3	71:13,19 88:8	90:15 95:13
124:4,5 153:7	95:9 100:7	91:19 92:21	96:9 99:18
obvious 17:9	101:15 118:19	102:5,8,12,13	101:2 102:4
17:10 38:16	138:19 162:10	102:14,19	108:22 114:9
56:3,3 60:24	162:11	109:24 110:11	115:16 117:15
88:21 126:6	occurs 29:7	110:14,19	121:8 129:1
obviously 9:2	ocga 185:7	123:8 124:21	137:15 144:14
19:14 24:8	<b>odd</b> 45:16 87:7	126:12 127:3	149:3 157:11
34:4 38:25	126:17	128:22 129:12	157:19 159:10
56:12 71:22	<b>odds</b> 143:13	131:2 138:6	165:14,25
73:2,17 79:21	offender	139:15 140:16	166:4,8 171:4
102:12,19	171:14 172:5	152:10,23	180:3 181:1,9
103:8 104:23	offense 55:18	153:3,6 177:20	181:11,14
115:23 118:22	67:14,17	178:1,2,6	oklahoma
120:6 135:18	106:18 139:10	<b>offices</b> 184:3,10	76:19
159:21 166:23	offer 172:25	oftentimes 56:8	<b>old</b> 81:1,16
172:7 174:24	offering 145:21	145:12 171:5	144:10 165:24
177:15	170:25 171:1	<b>oh</b> 6:20 13:22	onboarded
occasionally	<b>office</b> 13:20	14:1 60:12	121:18
115:14	14:11 44:7	72:4 91:24	once 100:25
occupancy	98:6 99:1	101:23 107:5	103:18 107:5
61:15 62:17,25	115:10 116:6	109:22 169:22	115:15 184:9
occupied 61:19	124:17,17	okay 7:20 9:18	ones 15:21 64:5
62:3,15 63:3	officer 11:9	14:9 28:6,17	80:12 143:4,5
63:10,11	12:4,7,10,13	28:21,24,25	ongoing 31:25
occur 25:6 27:4	70:22,23 98:24	29:18,21,24	86:4 87:14,24
52:3 54:20	110:17 123:18	31:1 32:18	88:4,9 90:5,9
76:7 96:2	127:22 139:10	33:16,18 38:25	90:22 91:7,14
occurred 31:5	165:12,18	40:4 48:13	91:15 92:4,7
33:22 35:1	166:10 177:16	49:20 50:17	92:13,25 144:4
36:21 49:19	182:1,2	51:4,18,22	160:12
94:18 96:7	officer's 124:3	52:6 58:3	<b>open</b> 14:16
101:14 118:21	165:10	64:24 65:7,20	36:9,9 41:15
118:24 125:4	officers 41:4	65:23 66:20	41:18 42:4
135:16 165:2	66:9 71:11,13	73:2,6 75:11	43:23 44:13,20

Veritext Legal Solutions

[open - page] Page 35

78:1 145:10	150:19 151:21	ordering	overseeing 92:2
operated 150:7	151:21 152:3	184:13	121:11
operating	153:25 154:7	ordinarily 9:6	overstate 96:22
21:22	154:11,17,21	organization	overweight
operational	155:17 158:21	174:4,10	165:24
99:22	160:13 163:1,2	175:14	overwhelming
operator 48:15	163:5,17,23	organizations	147:15
<b>opine</b> 142:18	164:24 165:3,5	21:14	own 50:18,19
167:3	171:1,2 172:25	organized	58:10 144:19
opining 92:13	176:10 177:23	171:17,24	177:22
<b>opinion</b> 14:13	177:24	172:3	owners 97:9
17:6 23:18	opinions 30:1	original 184:12	ownership 71:3
30:14 31:19	43:2 44:9 45:2	184:15	71:5
38:24 39:19	47:9 108:18,20	<b>orleans</b> 127:22	р
45:7 63:24	139:22 148:6	ostensibly	<b>p</b> 2:1,1 3:1,1
66:20 67:13	172:22 173:13	105:12	5:1 21:21
68:5 78:7,8,13	173:15,20,22	<b>ought</b> 27:15	<b>p.m.</b> 1:15,15
78:20 82:21	174:1,1 177:14	outcome	5:3,9 35:12,15
83:11,15,17	179:17,23	176:12 182:16	72:10,13
92:12,24 93:1	opportunistic	183:12	114:12,15
93:21 94:1	76:5 83:24	outlined 154:14	126:6 162:18
95:7 97:2,16	opportunity	outset 9:12	162:19,19
97:17,18,20	46:18,21 47:13	178:11	180:8,11
108:16,22	47:21,22 48:14	outside 5:18	181:21,23,23
109:17 122:18	48:16,19 49:16	59:15 97:5	page 4:2,6 30:5
122:22 130:20	76:7	103:23 107:12	31:2 39:9,9,18
130:24,25	opposed 79:11	107:17,20,25	39:23 41:12
134:4 139:23	91:23	107:25 108:2	42:12 50:14,22
139:23 140:3	opposite	123:19 137:7	50:24 51:4
142:5,17	177:15	138:1 162:8	54:12 61:12,14
143:12 145:20	opposition	overcome	63:17 69:6
146:13,14	174:23	109:10	70:25 72:16,18
147:7 148:3,9	<b>order</b> 26:10	overnight	74:4,5,5,9
148:17,22	55:22 159:13	85:11 126:4	75:20 78:25
149:5,6 150:6	174:5 181:2,6		82:10 84:24

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [page - period] Page 36

			_
85:13,25 90:2	parking 105:24	<b>parts</b> 90:11	107:16 108:1
92:19 101:24	108:24 113:11	<b>party</b> 142:11	108:14 113:8
102:23,23	114:21	passenger	122:13 126:4
108:11 114:18	parkway 36:20	70:13	133:5,16
114:24 115:22	48:18 49:17	passive 91:12	134:23 135:9
117:17 121:22	105:4 106:2	<b>past</b> 49:17	136:1 137:4,7
122:14 138:4,5	113:10	124:18 125:25	137:17 138:7
148:17 149:16	parlance 25:18	139:5	147:7,20 148:9
151:21 156:15	66:25	<b>pat</b> 161:15	150:22 151:11
156:15,15	<b>part</b> 16:7,7	<b>patrol</b> 49:17	155:4 160:13
185:11,14,17	24:2 25:25	126:9	160:17 164:21
185:20,23	39:21 42:19	patrols 131:14	165:22 179:12
186:1,4,7,10,13	63:6,8 64:2,16	paying 110:4	<b>people's</b> 178:7
186:16	64:16,20 77:12	<b>pci</b> 21:7	percent 17:24
<b>pages</b> 41:21	77:13,13 78:4	<b>pd</b> 58:23	40:24 41:1
75:18 92:18	88:14 89:20	<b>pdf</b> 184:6,7	61:16,18,20
99:11,16 156:8	120:3,18	peachtree 2:5	62:1,3,15,25
185:9	121:22 148:22	2:14,21	63:2,8,9
<b>paper</b> 14:23	148:22 149:1	pedestrian	112:24 129:24
15:2 16:16	166:11,12	176:22	130:5 131:22
67:4 144:1	participate	<b>peer</b> 132:25	135:22,24
papers 16:8	52:15 63:21	people 23:19	140:23 143:14
paragraph	particular 17:1	48:17 49:12	170:18
31:3 39:8	27:14 107:11	54:23 56:15	percentage
57:12 61:14	115:17,18	57:2,3 59:4	62:21 169:11
72:16,23 73:8	126:2 129:4	61:23 62:5	perception
75:10,20 85:14	171:15	65:9,10 66:1	128:23 130:9
85:25 86:1	particularly	67:19 76:22	153:20
90:2 101:25	16:9	77:10 78:5,25	performing
102:24 108:12	<b>parties</b> 5:17,20	79:3,5 81:6	120:12 167:11
118:5,12 138:5	43:24 44:2,15	82:8 87:4	period 47:19
138:6 140:1	182:12,14	91:17 98:16,16	49:22 55:5,11
143:12	183:8,11	98:25 99:13,25	55:13 118:15
parked 105:3	184:13	100:17 101:7	118:15 123:1
105:24 113:10		103:5 107:12	

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [periods - point]

Page 37

periods 103:24	phillips 8:3	114:20,23	38:20 47:14
permitted 5:24	49:5 74:10	116:3,19	48:22 49:18
8:19	100:22 101:9	117:13 120:8	63:24 67:6
perpetrated	101:17,21	124:13 126:4	71:23 80:4,4
150:2	<b>phone</b> 40:21	134:5 139:13	95:14,15 109:3
perpetrator	51:12,22,23	145:15,17	136:3 172:17
153:23	52:19,19 56:18	150:7,9 151:13	179:14
person 43:4	155:5,5	154:12 157:4	<b>plan</b> 86:3,5,16
52:18 58:3	photographed	162:24 163:6	87:5,9,11
65:22 71:3,3	142:11	175:22 178:22	88:25 89:4,5,6
75:17 76:1,1	<b>photos</b> 68:21	<b>pines's</b> 113:13	175:22
78:14 89:23	phrase 75:23	pinkerton	planned 168:8
106:18 116:17	physical 21:9	126:17	<b>play</b> 27:6 45:22
117:1,5,12	80:7 85:2	pinpoint	142:8 143:10
122:10 139:18	physically	116:25	playground
147:13,19	102:9	place 55:2	144:5
161:6 171:6,12	picking 35:17	62:10 76:17,20	playing 105:4
personal	127:18	77:6 106:19	105:24
142:17	picturing	107:4 124:13	<b>plaza</b> 71:21
personally	119:15	126:5 160:12	87:16,23 91:8
132:19 133:10	<b>piece</b> 43:15	<b>places</b> 61:24	92:8,11 103:8
personnel 85:1	<b>pines</b> 33:23	62:6 110:15	115:12 122:14
85:8,10 92:15	35:2 47:18	156:10	122:19 152:2
92:17 93:3	54:1,11 56:2	<b>plaintiff</b> 1:7 2:2	161:14 164:4
121:14 131:14	59:3 60:18	4:7 7:24 28:11	<b>plaza's</b> 151:24
persons 106:24	70:9 71:9	168:17	<b>please</b> 6:7,24
107:3	82:18 83:13	plaintiff's 28:5	9:20 29:8 45:9
perspective	84:2,25 85:7	28:9,13 30:5	72:9 114:11
17:20,25 18:14	86:6 89:10,15	30:21,22,25	136:9 184:6,10
88:22 135:23	92:14,21 93:4	50:14 61:12	184:18 185:9,9
136:6 151:2	93:23 94:2	72:15 74:20	plural 23:7
<b>phd</b> 99:21	97:21 98:23	101:24 172:18	<b>point</b> 17:21
phenomenal	102:1,25 103:4	<b>plaintiffs</b> 6:11	23:9,25 24:15
157:18,22	103:10 110:7	7:13 28:17,19	25:8,24 33:24
	112:19 114:19	36:19 37:16	37:1 44:25
	+		

# [point - preventability]

Page 38

	<b>v</b> -		2
45:3 48:2 49:9	129:2,11 131:1	possession	preferred 20:8
49:9 68:17	131:25 132:3	43:23	preliminary
93:18 95:5	135:13,15	possible 38:19	7:20 28:8
103:12,15	138:6 139:3	48:2 49:23,24	premises 133:5
105:12 112:14	146:7 147:18	82:12 84:4	preparation
121:19 124:3	147:23 149:11	110:2 132:8,18	16:15
124:13 133:21	149:15,16	possibly 84:8	prepared 28:3
134:1 152:9	152:1,10,16	108:5 159:19	183:3
161:22 173:8	153:3,17	potential 47:22	preparing
pointed 52:17	160:22,23	84:3 99:12	160:18
117:16	164:12 165:10	111:18 134:12	prerequisite
pointing 34:25	165:12,17	<b>power</b> 43:7	164:7
<b>points</b> 20:12	166:10	125:8	presence 5:18
45:6 85:15	policies 89:18	powerful	126:15 127:5
173:12	158:11	128:17	<b>present</b> 3:2 8:6
<b>police</b> 11:24	<b>policing</b> 130:1	practical 18:14	102:9
13:5,6 31:14	130:4 131:23	practice 8:21	preservation
31:16 36:8	138:15 139:4	23:14 43:10	8:22
41:4,6,12,16	<b>policy</b> 100:10	45:25 49:15	preserved 8:9
43:11 46:12	political 127:18	85:19,23 98:15	presume 73:24
47:24 59:4,5	<b>politics</b> 127:19	practices 85:15	pretty 75:4
70:20,23 71:10	<b>popping</b> 111:13	85:17,20,22	79:20 84:16
71:12,13 73:14	population	108:20	107:21,23
73:24 74:1	68:13	<b>pre</b> 118:14,21	117:1 128:5
77:22 79:21	populations	127:13	139:10,12
88:1,7,12	140:13	precaution	145:4 150:10
92:20 98:24	portal 36:9	135:4	158:9 172:1
102:11,12,14	78:1 145:10	precautions	<b>prevent</b> 84:8,15
102:19 103:20	portion 115:18	134:13 135:5	129:12 146:20
109:19,23	position 58:20	preceding	147:2,3 165:15
122:7 123:23	60:6,8 115:23	83:13	165:17 166:6
124:6 125:6,8	122:3 163:5	precinct 47:23	170:21,23
125:9 126:18	positions 89:22	102:10	preventability
127:10,22	positive 74:6	preclude 17:5	83:21
128:22,25			

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC

# [preventable - property]

Page 39

	•		
preventable	108:24 112:14	problematic	profile 154:9
146:15,15,17	119:2 182:5	48:24	profiler 154:1
146:19	private 110:24	problems 24:20	profilers 154:9
preventatively	111:2,22	94:8 138:8,25	profiling
48:20	112:14,15,19	139:20 151:1	153:25 154:7
preventing	125:16,20,24	procedural	program 55:18
132:1 165:5	126:18,18	5:25	55:21,23 58:21
177:18,19	131:25 139:5	procedure 8:20	58:23 60:11
prevention	privilege 8:8	185:7	84:25 90:3
21:20 69:25	probably 13:3	<b>proceed</b> 5:5 7:7	91:3 154:12
82:10 85:22	15:14 24:8,20	9:13 46:15	165:20
89:5 96:16	25:18 26:16	proceeding	prohibited
129:7 130:22	27:10 39:15	1:16 5:8,23	105:16
131:3,5,6	52:8,19 56:7	181:24 183:4	project 90:23
138:12 154:17	61:6 62:4,10	proceedings	99:19 176:4
166:6,20	62:12 70:8	182:3,5,6,9	projects 169:19
170:25 171:1	108:10 116:10	183:6	169:20
171:11 178:3	116:11 119:3	process 18:13	pronged
preview 28:1	143:21,24	23:14 27:22	154:13
previously	151:18 155:4	88:24 111:17	proper 44:6
30:18 42:13	158:19 160:1	112:18,21	properly 8:18
79:2 81:14	169:1,1,10	produce 42:3	44:9 101:20
154:14	170:17 171:18	42:21 43:5	properties
primarily 23:3	172:1 179:2	produced 5:22	110:15 138:20
60:21 63:14	problem 57:23	28:3 119:3	159:1 161:19
157:15 158:13	64:3 69:24	producing	170:4,6
primary	70:2,4 77:15	90:24	property 10:15
113:22	104:3,19 107:7	production	11:1 27:12
<b>print</b> 15:15,18	113:25 134:2	184:19	36:1 48:4,15
184:8	134:17,18	professional	50:12 54:4,15
<b>prior</b> 53:19	138:20 139:16	14:22 19:12	56:8,9,10,11,12
73:21 75:3,5	139:17,19	130:19	56:20 58:2,10
80:23 81:16	150:24 162:16	professionals	59:7,20 60:2
82:24 101:19	162:18 171:3	19:23 20:25	60:11 61:5,22
102:20 104:2		22:12	71:4,6 75:22

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [property - quarterbacking]

Page 40

_				
	75:24 76:2,6,9	177:4,17,19,21	providing	128:11,18
	76:13,14 82:4	178:16,18,20	31:13 104:15	purchase
	85:8,11 87:17	property's	provision 125:6	108:14
	90:22 91:21	158:22,24	125:10 158:5	purpose 137:1
	92:6,6,15 94:4	159:4,12	provisions	157:11
	95:22 96:18	proposal	158:6	purposes 8:19
	97:3,10,14	111:17	prowling	65:11 66:9
	98:24 100:11	proposals 20:6	106:19	pursuant 8:17
	100:14,15,16	propose 24:20	proximity	185:6
	100:18,20	proposition	82:24 83:5	<b>pushed</b> 107:20
	101:8,11,20	145:6 154:3	94:23 144:18	<b>pushing</b> 153:16
	102:1 106:24	162:17	<b>psp</b> 21:6,8	<b>put</b> 14:13 26:16
	107:3 113:13	prosecutes	public 31:23	77:3 108:6
	115:2,3,19,22	171:21	33:23 43:23	118:10 122:10
	116:2,14,23,23	prospective	84:10,12 165:9	146:5,19
	117:8,11,20,23	24:12,15 25:3	182:18 186:24	151:17,19
	118:2 119:20	25:9,12,25	publication	166:7
	119:22 120:7	26:6 150:22	14:21 178:17	$oldsymbol{\mathbf{q}}$
	120:21 121:5	prospectively	publications	qualifications
	121:12 122:25	26:7	16:2 178:12	22:21 160:18
	123:13 124:23	<b>prove</b> 94:16	publicly 29:1	qualified 22:17
	126:2,11	100:11	179:1	23:19 122:19
	135:20,23	<b>proven</b> 69:18	published	123:2 161:6
	137:9,12	128:16	14:19 15:4,5,6	175:19 182:7
	138:18 150:16	provide 9:13	15:10,17 22:25	qualitative
	151:1,1,4	43:1 46:21,22	23:6,16,20	167:11
	152:13,22	47:9 63:20	132:21,24	quality 111:24
	153:17 155:3,5	68:5 82:20	179:8	111:25
	156:20 158:2	112:6 148:3	<b>pull</b> 39:4	quantitative
	159:3 160:8,14	157:17 163:5,9	pulling 28:1,2	167:11
	160:14,15	173:14 179:17	<b>pumps</b> 70:12	quantitatively
	161:10 162:9	provided	punished	138:22
	163:9,10	139:24 148:4	128:24 130:10	quarterbacking
	166:10,11,12	providers	punishment	152:6,25 164:4
	1 (0 0 1 = = =	1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	168:9 175:2	111:19	127:12,13,24	

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC

# [question - recall]

Page 41

question 8:8	129:9 130:13	<b>read</b> 74:17	reason 9:12
9:16,18,20	136:5 159:23	80:13 105:14	29:6 42:8
17:3 27:19	180:14	111:4 133:24	60:23 77:4
31:10 32:12,21	<b>quick</b> 33:15	139:11 141:1	81:23,25 99:23
32:24 33:4,6	114:10	141:14,14	106:21 113:18
33:14,16 40:9	quite 26:4	146:21 147:18	113:20,22
46:15 53:7	53:17,17 88:22	147:24 173:16	116:9 118:9
54:3 56:25	125:13	180:17,23	119:14 160:6
58:19 59:21	<b>quote</b> 75:16,17	184:6 185:2	185:13,16,19
60:4 63:6 68:1	81:3 144:24	reading 16:15	185:22,25
71:18 80:19	<b>quotes</b> 174:15	36:2 66:16	186:3,6,9,12,15
81:4 92:23	quoting 82:14	74:19,20	186:18
99:18 104:19	r	141:17	reasonable 8:6
105:19 106:6	r 2:1 3:1 5:1	<b>ready</b> 173:9	82:13 106:23
116:8 121:25	raise 6:24	<b>real</b> 19:24	107:2 108:5
126:6 132:17	raised 43:18	65:12,13 92:9	145:6,7 150:17
136:13 141:2,8	random 147:13	104:8 119:16	151:16 155:16
142:14,15	randomized	158:10	166:8 175:22
144:23,25	132:22,23	reality 61:11	175:24 177:12
145:23 146:18	140:10,18	128:11	177:12
156:4,12,13	range 27:9	really 24:6 27:6	reasonably
163:4 169:14	ranking 139:11	34:4 39:10,21	118:25 146:18
169:17 171:4	rare 112:23	50:3 58:6 59:9	147:3
172:11	rarer 164:11	84:9 88:22	reasons 32:10
questioned	rate 61:15	89:1 97:18	38:16 62:17
80:21 81:7	63:22 82:1	100:11,11	63:13 109:2
176:3	110:4 140:23	103:16 106:16	123:14 125:21
questioning	141:22	118:20 126:24	165:8 185:8
149:9	rates 110:16	130:13,15,19	rebuttal 86:9
questions 28:25	141:19	130:23 135:5	172:24,25
32:8 37:3	ratio 162:9	137:18 148:14	173:14,18
43:13 44:17	168:24	159:14 161:16	174:1 179:17
46:19 47:5	reactions	169:18,18,21	recall 31:15
48:14,18 53:20	173:16	171:11 175:4	36:2,24 37:12
55:16 56:16,19		178:13	52:4 53:18

# [recall - relevant]

Page 42

_			E
55:3,15 56:25	44:16,23 45:8	151:25 156:23	<b>related</b> 8:1 12:9
60:3 62:9	46:16 72:10,11	174:21	24:22 29:4
104:13 134:13	72:13 87:19	referenced	46:12,13 54:15
received 13:13	114:12,13,15	42:12 69:13	73:15 74:2,5
13:16 30:13,15	180:1,8,9,11,21	79:17	149:12 182:11
receiving 8:6	180:23 181:21	references	183:7
recency 82:24	182:9 183:5	43:20 46:25	relates 10:24
83:5	recorded 6:2	70:6	10:25 18:18
recent 100:1,1	182:6	referencing	42:10,16 78:5
recently 127:25	recording 5:22	39:17,25	112:18 133:4
recognition	182:8 183:4	108:16 110:23	138:12 156:21
151:17	records 36:9	173:11	179:18
recognized	41:15,18 42:4	referred 61:1	relating 23:21
22:11	42:10,16,19	referring 47:12	32:5 39:18
recollection	43:11	75:15 149:17	41:16 42:22
68:24 69:2	<b>red</b> 17:10	154:15,21	43:3 44:10
recommend	107:23 157:24	<b>refers</b> 50:22	99:13 154:20
43:8 125:15,24	<b>reduce</b> 129:15	reflected 36:3	relation 74:8
135:7 137:15	129:22,24	regard 41:5	relationship
164:5	130:5	regarding 91:3	160:23
recommendat	reduced 182:7	122:6 139:20	relative 82:11
58:15 126:9	reducing 133:4	147:24 151:25	182:13 183:10
151:25 152:2	177:21	176:5	relatively 12:1
recommendat	redundant 95:5	regardless	54:6
27:18 122:16	95:7,11,11	107:22	release 13:7
163:9,15 164:1	116:1	regards 41:4	released 127:16
recommended	reevaluated	regional 16:25	releasing
123:7 124:10	90:5,9 91:14	92:6 116:23	127:20
125:16,20,24	92:3,25	160:14	relevance
139:4 163:6	reevaluating	<b>rehab</b> 61:24	99:16
reconciling	91:15	62:6 63:15	relevant 37:17
37:20	<b>refer</b> 30:24	rehabs 62:22	38:1,3,24
<b>record</b> 5:8,9,20	154:19	<b>relate</b> 72:22	55:10,13
6:7 30:17 32:9	reference 30:5	83:20 111:7	108:25 109:1
35:12,13,15	70:5 122:14		113:12,18
35:12,13,15	70:5 122:14		113:12,18

Veritext Legal Solutions

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC

# [relevant - researched]

Page 43

154:6 159:12	rephrase 15:17	99:11 100:12	request 41:15
159:25 167:3	<b>report</b> 4:9 15:3	103:6 123:24	41:19 111:17
178:21	15:5 27:17	124:4 126:15	requested
reliable 132:12	28:10,16 29:1	129:9 135:13	182:21
166:24	29:3,7,11,15,17	135:15 139:24	requesting
reliance 45:11	29:19,22 30:6	148:4,8,18,23	41:25
<b>relied</b> 43:14	30:7,19,23	149:4,5,15,16	requests 20:5
46:3,4,11	31:2,14,16,25	150:1 151:22	<b>require</b> 104:19
<b>relies</b> 43:20	32:4,5,7,9,14	153:7 154:14	137:14
<b>rely</b> 32:12,13	32:17,24 33:8	156:8 170:12	required 20:8
41:21 43:10	34:4,9,18,20,22	172:23 173:13	requirement
44:17,23 45:19	35:19 36:8	173:15,18	20:21 114:22
47:5	38:25 39:1,6	176:2 177:17	170:12 176:2
relying 32:16	39:10,17,17,18	179:4	requires 94:6
33:7,9 44:25	40:10,10 41:12	reported 1:18	167:9
45:20 70:1	41:13,25 42:12	reporter 5:5,6	requisite 21:18
73:7 79:13	42:21 43:5,20	5:7 6:18,22 7:6	161:12
80:3 100:19	44:5,10,18	180:22 181:1,5	research 16:5,8
144:24 149:5	45:1,1,10,15,17	181:9,11,14,16	16:10,12 17:19
remember 37:7	45:20 46:6,12	reporting	17:25 63:18
51:10,14 54:2	46:25 47:5	87:22 92:10	65:6,8,11,23,25
54:3 58:24	50:15,24 51:2	reports 28:2	77:7,8 99:19
59:21,22,23	51:5 52:22	29:3,8,11,17	126:25 127:2
64:5,15 131:12	53:1,3,16,22	30:2 41:23	128:16 131:1
<b>remiss</b> 73:13	57:10 58:22	43:16 59:6	132:6 133:2,10
remote 1:16	59:5,18 61:1	77:22 87:22	138:14 140:4
remotely 5:18	61:12 63:18	90:19 94:15	141:8 142:16
rent 62:8,19	66:19 70:6	122:7 147:18	142:23 146:12
99:25	73:3,7,14 74:1	147:23 149:11	164:6,12,12,14
<b>rental</b> 99:12	75:18 77:16	168:11	164:16,22
repeat 81:22	79:12 80:2,17	represent 7:13	170:10 171:4
repeated 40:8	85:16 86:11,13	representing	176:5,7,8,9
repeatedly	86:22 90:3	43:19	178:24
81:24	91:11 92:18	represents	researched
	95:23 97:19	30:22	22:22 23:20

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [researched - right]

Page 44

164:22	resistant 84:13	result 27:18	reviewing
researcher	146:19 166:7	resulted 86:3	16:12 134:14
99:21 133:8	resolve 44:15	results 41:20	revise 30:18
researchers	resolved 50:5	70:2 129:13	revising 143:25
171:8	resonates	131:18 141:25	<b>rfp</b> 20:21 112:1
reserve 44:13	128:19	retail 171:18,24	112:4,10,13,18
179:21	resources	172:3	112:20,25
reserved	139:6	retained 90:13	<b>rfps</b> 20:5,12
181:22 184:6	respect 11:19	169:4,25	112:7 113:6
resident 101:4	157:17 158:2,3	retaliated	rice 99:21
101:5,12	161:20 179:24	77:11 78:6	133:8
105:11 119:25	respectively	retaliation	richmond 1:17
134:4 136:22	8:4	73:11,11,22	5:14
resident's	respond 48:17	75:2,8,12	ricky 8:3 49:5
158:1	50:11 136:14	78:16 144:3	100:22 101:9
residential 10:8	152:16	retaliatory	101:17,21
10:16,23	responded	34:2 77:9	ridiculous
103:23 174:14	46:17	retention 86:4	124:24
residents 59:7	response 46:18	retrospective	<b>right</b> 6:25 7:22
59:14 63:14	102:24 123:21	24:13	17:10 20:17
76:11 94:3,11	123:22	<b>return</b> 35:25	22:5 25:14
94:19 95:8,13	responsibilities	returned	27:8 28:7
95:14 96:10,20	117:23 118:1	184:11,14	33:12,24 35:6
96:24 100:7,8	121:18 137:11	returning	38:10 39:5
100:10 103:22	responsible	67:21	40:6 41:20
104:10,16	89:14 116:18	<b>revenue</b> 169:12	44:13 48:5
118:1 119:1,11	117:12 120:7	169:14,17	49:21 55:18
119:12,16,22	120:17,22	review 30:13	58:6,7,21 60:9
120:3 133:3,5	121:3,9	32:8 182:21	60:24 64:17,18
133:17 135:18	responsiveness	184:6	65:14 69:5
135:20 137:12	8:10	reviewed 68:21	71:22 75:4
150:15,22	restaurant	69:1 79:19	76:5,25 77:21
151:6 176:25	107:19 127:23	93:2,2 104:24	77:24 79:3,9
177:1,13	restaurants	132:25 134:20	80:1 81:7,24
	114:2		84:6 85:24

Veritext Legal Solutions

[right - safety] Page 45

87:11,16 88:9	154:5 155:1,22	171:23,24	ron 88:5
89:8,12,15	157:13 158:5,6	risks 25:7,10	roswell 184:22
90:12,22,24	158:14 160:21	26:1,7,9,11	<b>rough</b> 98:22
91:16,24 93:16	160:22,25	107:11 113:13	<b>routine</b> 69:6,9
94:8,18,22	161:4,23	risky 14:23,24	69:13,15,21,23
95:1,5,15 97:6	162:19 163:1	15:1 16:9	70:1,5,24
98:1,15 99:20	166:24 169:5,9	63:19,21,25	98:14 107:21
100:3 102:11	171:8,21,25	64:18,19 82:4	107:24
104:9,24	172:18,20	178:25	routinely 98:25
105:12,15,15	173:21 177:11	<b>road</b> 2:14	<b>rubber</b> 137:22
106:7 107:6	179:23 180:18	31:23 102:11	178:10
108:3,7,11,21	181:16 184:6	137:22 178:10	<b>rude</b> 100:5
109:10,13	<b>ring</b> 124:22	robberies	<b>rule</b> 50:2 68:10
111:10 112:6	<b>riots</b> 102:18	131:19	68:11 98:19
115:3 116:23	risk 22:17,21	<b>robbers</b> 127:20	185:6
117:3,6 119:11	22:22,24 23:2	127:20	<b>rules</b> 6:1 8:20
119:19 120:4,9	23:4,6,11,13,15	<b>robbery</b> 129:17	9:5 44:3,15
120:12 124:3	23:19 24:13,15	robinson 56:13	97:21,25 98:15
124:18 125:9	24:21 25:9,25	56:20	98:17 104:12
127:11,15	26:6 65:10	<b>roland</b> 74:12	104:24 105:12
128:6 129:17	82:11 86:2,19	<b>role</b> 54:10,15	105:22 118:7
130:15 133:13	87:15 90:4,7	58:19 59:19	120:23 121:4
135:10,24	90:14,25 91:13	89:17,20,21,22	150:12,20
136:17 137:3	92:2,13,25	96:5 122:19	151:11,13
137:25 138:2	126:2 133:4,12	138:3 161:6	177:1 185:6
138:20,24	133:14,23,24	<b>roles</b> 83:3	ruling 44:1
139:15,19	133:25 134:3,8	<b>rolex</b> 70:9	<b>run</b> 98:4
140:18 143:3	134:11 137:1	<b>roll</b> 38:10 70:8	<b>running</b> 164:19
143:20 145:13	140:7,9 141:5	70:11 114:4	<b>rush</b> 72:2
146:18,23	141:23 144:21	<b>rolled</b> 102:16	s
147:16,21	145:2,25 150:8	102:21	s 2:1 3:1 4:5 5:1
148:7 150:17	153:10,21	<b>rollin</b> 17:11	34:9
151:8 152:17	154:13 157:7,8	39:12 81:11	<b>safety</b> 23:9
152:20 153:12	157:9 160:11	<b>rolls</b> 38:11	24:22 106:23
153:15,23	160:17,19		107:2
	<u> </u>		

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [san - security] Page 46

san 124:13	103:22 106:17	85:25 86:1,1	91:3,13 92:2,8
125:4,5 152:9	108:12 137:10	86:16 87:5	92:13,14,17,25
sandwich	140:2 143:12	88:3 92:19	93:3,22 98:4
132:14	155:20,23	129:1 131:17	99:22 102:25
santeba 74:11	171:20	156:13 181:20	103:4,7,11
santeba's 74:24	scale 179:12	secondly 109:8	105:7 106:4
<b>sat</b> 40:19	<b>scared</b> 152:16	<b>section</b> 61:2,13	107:10,11
saw 29:12 37:9	scattered 60:1	85:13 99:12	109:20,24
58:11	60:22 95:9	118:10 141:4	110:11,14,17
<b>saying</b> 31:12	110:8 176:24	142:1,7,13	110:19,24
33:2 34:13	scenario 51:17	144:9 149:2	111:3,10,14,16
36:22 44:11	130:6	154:18	111:18,22
46:3,4 49:21	scheme 12:1	security 10:10	112:4,8,14,15
65:18 70:2	scholar 69:13	10:18,24 14:22	112:19 113:12
74:15 80:10	school 9:25	15:22 19:23,23	114:19,21,23
85:20 90:7,8	26:25 141:18	20:24 21:9,14	115:12 116:19
92:3,24 93:24	142:10	21:16,17,23,25	117:6,13 118:7
98:11 103:3	schoolyard	22:8,11,21,22	119:2 120:8
104:1,8 105:20	143:23	22:24 23:2,4,6	121:11,14
105:23 106:3	science 69:18	23:9,10,11,13	122:15,20
107:25 110:16	<b>scope</b> 24:18	23:21 24:11,22	123:7,9,18
112:24 114:18	178:13,14,22	25:2,9,10 26:1	124:3,10,20
117:9,10,14	screen 27:25	26:6,7,9,11	125:16,21,24
128:11,25	29:18 50:15,18	49:17 50:3	126:12,19
129:10 130:9	50:21	54:14 55:17,19	127:3 128:22
131:5 136:7,8	seaborn 74:11	55:21,23 59:16	128:25 129:2
159:6 170:2,25	<b>seal</b> 184:11	59:25 70:22	131:1 132:1,3
171:11 173:2	season 135:1	71:14 82:12	136:6 139:5
175:9 176:8	seat 70:13	84:11,11,25	140:16 150:8
177:25	seating 107:20	85:1,2,7,10	151:2 152:10
says 19:1 24:19	<b>second</b> 15:23	86:2,3,4,5,15	152:23 153:3,5
68:16 74:1	23:1 40:3 49:9	86:16,17,19,25	153:11,12
80:9 84:24	50:8 61:14	87:5,9,11,13	154:12,13,21
85:14 92:20	63:7 68:11	88:11,25 89:14	155:14,21
93:19 101:22	77:12 78:4	90:2,4,7,14	157:7,9,24,25

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [security - shooting]

Page 47

158:2,4,11	seemingly 58:9	sense 78:19,21	several 16:24
160:3,7,11,17	seems 38:15	95:12 106:11	44:24 63:13
160:18,24	77:2,5 94:13	107:9 135:6	76:11 95:10
161:13,20	94:19 121:1	152:11 155:22	103:5
162:23 163:10	123:3 176:8	178:21	sexual 14:25
164:5,10,12,25	seen 27:4 42:11	<b>sent</b> 96:19	shaneka 74:11
165:20 167:5	42:17 49:24	sentence 61:15	<b>share</b> 27:25
167:14,17,19	58:1 60:7 61:3	66:15 85:14	50:17
171:6,12 175:7	69:19 77:23	86:1 90:1	sharing 50:21
175:7,22	87:8,9 102:21	104:2 108:12	<b>she'd</b> 55:2
177:16,20	103:18 104:5,5	sentinel 131:7	sheriff's 13:20
178:1,1,6,13	104:13 105:12	sentinels 131:2	14:10
see 7:15,16	111:8 112:12	separate 51:17	sherman 82:14
20:5 29:18	119:4 124:24	51:17 129:1	<b>shields</b> 134:25
31:6 40:4	144:23 145:14	141:8	<b>shoot</b> 151:19
49:17 50:20	145:15,15,17	<b>series</b> 31:25	shooter 27:1
61:16 63:22	145:18 147:17	72:21	shooting 31:21
72:19 73:4	178:5	<b>serious</b> 143:13	36:20 37:13
75:14 82:14	segments 13:3	144:11	41:8,17 42:4,6
85:2 93:11	<b>self</b> 67:23 70:10	served 8:18	42:11,23 47:1
94:25 96:13	70:14	service 126:9	48:22 49:2
102:1 103:1	<b>selling</b> 105:4,25	serving 87:13	50:7 67:15,19
104:25 105:21	108:13	set 30:2 53:20	68:2,12,14
109:1 110:18	seminal 69:16	54:22 73:8	75:3,6 77:10
122:16 138:9	176:6	81:8,9,12	77:21 78:11,15
142:3 145:12	seminars 13:18	106:1 132:2	78:16 79:16
145:13 174:23	14:12 16:25	157:2 172:22	80:16,23,25
seeing 132:9	23:23	setting 10:18	81:15,16,19
145:7 158:4	<b>send</b> 94:10,17	80:17 121:14	82:7 83:18
<b>seem</b> 17:20	135:12,16	149:5 150:1	94:24 102:15
109:16 133:25	140:25 142:3	156:18 159:10	102:16 108:24
155:10 162:18	184:12,18	<b>settled</b> 169:6,7	116:14 145:3
173:9 175:20	sending 95:3	seven 22:7	146:1 149:21
<b>seemed</b> 95:15	95:18	118:22	150:2 165:2,6
96:12,12,17			166:7,13

Veritext Legal Solutions

### Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC

# [shootings - skills]

Page 48

shootings 47:1	129:24 131:1	<b>single</b> 90:15,20	111:20 114:25
47:10 50:23	138:15	91:2 117:5	116:4 117:24
51:1 73:10	<b>sic</b> 5:11	singular 177:22	120:5 121:20
77:9,23 78:6	<b>side</b> 127:18	sir 7:15,16,18	122:17 123:10
78:22 134:7	sidewalk 84:14	7:19,21 9:3,9	125:19,23
136:9 146:15	<b>sign</b> 104:25	9:10,12,15,16	138:10 145:22
147:8,9,18	105:7,21 106:5	9:19 10:1,3,6	148:20 149:22
shoplifting's	171:19 172:1	10:12,14,17	149:25 166:25
171:20	180:17,23	11:5,7,10,12,23	167:7,13,18
shopping	184:6	12:5,8,11,14	168:20 172:11
111:22	signature	13:15 16:7	179:16 180:15
<b>short</b> 54:25	181:22 182:16	18:24 19:2,16	180:19
shortage	183:14 184:2	22:9 24:14	<b>sit</b> 30:9 73:19
109:25	184:15 186:20	26:1 27:24	85:23 119:19
shortfall	<b>signed</b> 105:14	28:6,14,23	161:6
109:19	184:9,11,14	29:5,13,20,23	site 60:15,20,22
<b>shot</b> 67:17	<b>signs</b> 66:3,8	29:25 30:7	74:9,12 110:8
68:23 69:4,4	104:12 105:2	31:7,10 34:10	176:24
77:10 78:5,14	105:15 146:7	34:12 35:7	<b>sitting</b> 70:13
78:22 79:9	171:22	39:2 40:11,16	situated 159:1
80:25 81:15	silva 174:15,15	41:18 42:9	161:19
145:2,25 147:7	174:16	43:18 46:24	situation 61:22
148:9,10	<b>silva's</b> 174:16	47:10 50:15,16	76:8 77:1
<b>shots</b> 35:24	similar 41:20	51:7,8,21,25	78:18 79:5
80:6	similarity 65:1	52:24 53:2,24	94:15 95:2
<b>show</b> 36:12,16	82:24 83:4	54:12,19 56:22	110:21 113:23
77:17,18 78:1	similarly 159:1	57:11 60:19	124:20,25
78:2 92:14	161:19	61:17 63:17	126:8 135:25
129:11,13	<b>simple</b> 104:21	66:13 69:5,8	153:2 161:24
131:18,21	sims 1:5,6 2:2	72:6,20,23,24	166:16
showing 96:6	5:11,13 7:24	73:1,5 82:16	six 57:3 93:17
115:5	7:25 36:25	82:22 83:1,8	118:23 131:21
<b>shows</b> 36:13	68:22 75:3	85:3 89:13	177:6
59:1 64:21	80:7,15,20,22	99:15 102:3	<b>skills</b> 182:10
67:18 129:23	81:14	103:2,25	183:6

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [sliding - standard]

Page 49

<b>sliding</b> 179:12	sophisticated	specialized	<b>spend</b> 134:24
<b>slim</b> 128:5	87:10	117:2	141:15 170:9
<b>slow</b> 170:9	<b>sorry</b> 6:20 21:7	specialties	spending
<b>small</b> 73:16	26:3 35:21	117:3	124:15,19
131:14,17,21	71:24 105:18	specific 23:5,5	170:13
<b>smaller</b> 131:17	134:8 153:5	25:3 36:2,3	<b>spoken</b> 40:21
society 179:9	170:22 172:11	56:14 68:24	40:24 41:1,3
sociologists	180:22 181:1	69:1 78:18	172:17
171:8	<b>sort</b> 73:11	79:11 84:7	<b>spot</b> 99:8
<b>sole</b> 32:20	125:23 170:24	116:17 117:1	<b>spots</b> 131:2
<b>solely</b> 44:25	173:15	136:17 144:12	139:6
120:17	<b>sound</b> 123:16	145:4 148:14	<b>spread</b> 107:20
soliciting	126:16	153:24 154:24	spreadsheet
111:18	sounding 25:22	162:20 174:13	90:17
<b>solve</b> 139:20	<b>sounds</b> 36:25	specifically	<b>spring</b> 121:19
<b>solved</b> 138:25	131:8 145:5,7	20:9 25:15	<b>staff</b> 52:16 97:3
139:16,17	<b>source</b> 33:10	35:2 41:5 42:7	97:7,9 102:25
<b>solving</b> 132:14	45:7 80:23	42:15 47:6	103:4,11
somebody 56:2	150:4	55:14 68:18	109:20 110:6
56:18 58:17	sources 33:11	71:18 76:23	114:19,20,23
80:25 81:24	149:3	84:2 103:19	116:20
92:1 94:15	<b>south</b> 125:4,5	105:1 121:6	<b>stamps</b> 36:12
103:21 119:21	152:9	133:22 134:6	<b>stand</b> 72:9
137:9 142:18	southeast	145:15,16	114:11 180:20
someone's 17:6	184:24	147:24 148:25	standard 20:24
17:11 48:10	<b>spans</b> 95:10	162:2,24	21:12,24 22:11
146:4	<b>speak</b> 18:12	164:16 178:10	23:16 49:10,15
something's	55:14 56:14,15	specification	55:24 94:5
70:20	129:9	112:9	97:6,13,15
<b>soon</b> 139:15	speaking 8:14	specifications	137:13,16
149:15	97:1 170:16	112:8	154:20,22
sophia 51:6,11	special 14:17	speculative	155:7,12,13
51:19 52:14	specialization	50:13	156:5,9,24
53:25 54:10	11:15 13:9	spellings	157:3,7,8
55:9 108:17		181:17	158:6,12,21,25

Page 50

#### [standard - stuff]

states 1:1 93:11

106:12,18

[stanuaru - sturr]			1 age 30
159:3,13,16	stating 46:16	131:18,23	studies 16:5
174:3,9,10,17	46:16	<b>stopped</b> 37:10	58:25 63:20
174:19,20	station 37:9	48:11 49:6	128:20 129:8
175:6 178:17	70:12 109:7	<b>stops</b> 25:22	129:10,11,13
178:23	statistics 26:20	92:5 116:22,24	129:14,20
standards	<b>stats</b> 93:10	stores 171:22	130:23 131:9
156:22 157:2	162:1	<b>stories</b> 147:14	131:12,17,21
158:2 159:2,10	stature 20:14	147:15	132:5,10,20
159:22 174:4	status 41:10,14	<b>story</b> 54:21	133:11 140:11
175:20 179:6,7	63:9,15	147:12,13,13	140:12,25
179:11	<b>statute</b> 103:15	<b>strange</b> 178:13	141:2,6,15,25
standing 48:5	103:17 104:4	stranger	144:23,24
<b>stands</b> 13:18	106:10,13,16	170:19,20	177:23
<b>start</b> 6:8 59:18	107:8	strangers	<b>study</b> 126:24
95:25 118:20	<b>stay</b> 138:7	146:22 162:7,7	127:1 129:23
170:9	<b>stayed</b> 100:24	strategic 15:22	129:23 130:6
started 31:22	<b>staying</b> 100:22	strategy 131:3	131:9,25
34:13 59:11,13	101:18	138:11,16	132:12 142:1
96:4,4 164:15	<b>steal</b> 60:10	139:2	143:19 145:5
164:18	160:9 164:11	<b>street</b> 2:5,21	145:19 148:1
<b>starts</b> 72:17	<b>stemmed</b> 77:20	35:25 84:10,12	177:22
101:25	stems 71:4	146:24 165:10	studying 133:9
<b>state</b> 6:19 32:9	stenographic	streets 33:23	148:13
44:16 45:8	6:3	127:21	<b>stuff</b> 11:25
104:5,7 107:23	<b>step</b> 137:4	strewn 91:4	16:15 17:10
107:23 125:14	178:7	<b>string</b> 134:22	22:13 25:12
182:19	stephanie	<b>strong</b> 79:20	33:12 34:2
<b>stated</b> 134:15	48:25 105:5,23	<b>struck</b> 147:14	42:18,18 55:6
statement 39:3	<b>steps</b> 159:2	147:25	59:16 64:11
39:9,21 77:8	<b>sticker</b> 114:21	struggling	74:14 81:10,11
185:8	stipulation 6:4	176:17	110:21 111:12
statements	<b>stock</b> 134:25	stuck 152:21	112:6 135:4
72:22	<b>stop</b> 32:2 38:14	studied 19:18	138:23,25

Veritext Legal Solutions

20:4 126:19,23

139:1 141:16

144:4 153:22

50:21 99:3

130:1,4,7

[stuff - take] Page 51

			,
155:18 158:8,8	subsidy 101:7	support 44:24	<b>susan</b> 1:18 5:7
159:8,18,19,20	substance	77:8 78:18,20	182:2,17
159:20 164:13	185:7	145:8 164:7	suspect 15:12
164:15 169:22	substantial	176:10 177:16	15:13,18
170:10,18	153:4	177:22 178:5	141:13
179:4	substantially	supporting	swear 5:17
<b>stupid</b> 128:3	63:21	177:23	6:23
170:14	subtypes 77:17	supports 93:9	<b>swift</b> 2:20 6:15
<b>style</b> 96:13	successful	suppose 38:19	127:12,12,24
subcategory	139:14	supposed	128:11
74:8 145:12,13	<b>sudden</b> 134:22	100:14,15	swiftcurrie.c
<b>subject</b> 31:3,8	sue 98:2,5	107:16	2:23
31:11,20 32:23	suffered 109:11	<b>sure</b> 8:13 9:4	sworn 5:20 7:3
33:7,8,19,21,21	109:11	14:1 18:14	182:5 186:21
33:25 34:7,9	suffering	28:19 33:17	<b>symbol</b> 76:21
34:14,19 35:4	109:19,20	35:8 38:23	synonymous
35:19 41:8,24	sufficient 81:2	40:24 46:18,20	17:16,18
42:1 44:8	81:17 94:9	46:23 48:21	t
78:16 101:14	sufficiently	49:2,25 50:4	t 4:5 21:21
101:15 108:13	50:11 101:11	51:18 61:3	take 5:8,15
158:22,24	suite 2:14	62:14 72:5	8:12 11:1
178:16	184:21	78:23 84:13	25:24 33:15
subjective	summarize	95:18 118:16	35:5 36:1 45:3
132:18 149:19	125:23 129:10	125:6 128:7	48:13,20 52:10
submitted	<b>summary</b> 27:19	135:10 136:16	67:22 68:6
41:15	170:24	145:4 147:2,11	70:17,18,19,19
subpoenas	superior 178:1	150:10 156:17	72:4 83:11
41:23	178:3,8	159:6 168:3,14	101:22 108:6
subscribed	supervisor	178:4,8,14	112:12 114:7,9
186:21	117:8	surprised 60:4	115:1 121:16
subsided	supplement	surveillance	122:9 134:13
139:18	30:10	33:13 42:18	135:3,5 136:16
subsidies 101:3	supplemented	<b>survey</b> 126:25	137:23 145:20
101:3	30:6,7	135:18 161:18	146:16,17
			149:14 153:11
			117,111100,111

[take - terms] Page 52

-			E
159:3 163:3	33:20 34:19	task 66:8	95:22 123:16
173:2 180:1,5	47:17 52:16	tate 39:15	124:14 138:22
180:6	76:5 83:24	73:24 77:3	142:3 161:24
takeaway	95:13,14,24	80:8 84:22	163:4,14 165:7
96:22,23 97:1	101:13,18	91:19,20	169:15
taken 5:11 7:23	108:1 113:23	103:16 115:13	<b>telling</b> 49:12
8:1,17,19 10:2	114:22 115:1	122:25	162:5 164:8
52:11,11	123:18 125:17	tate's 39:13	tells 57:3 91:2
161:23 182:3	125:18 129:6	74:16 79:20	telltale 66:8
182:12 183:9	131:5,8 133:14	80:12 88:7	ten 14:2,5,7
talk 15:8 26:24	140:16 143:22	taught 154:4	49:13 72:3
31:3 37:11	146:22 171:11	teachey 88:5	94:22 133:20
45:24 49:6	171:17	<b>team</b> 48:16	137:20
55:9 56:24	talks 50:24	91:8,19 92:8	tenants 150:12
57:2,8 58:5	65:25 86:22	102:25 103:4,7	150:24
63:18 69:6	118:12 129:15	103:11 114:19	<b>tend</b> 147:10
82:10 109:16	146:23	114:21 116:19	<b>tended</b> 138:7
115:22 118:14	<b>tally</b> 124:17	117:2 142:9	tequilla 74:10
129:21 130:21	<b>tangent</b> 105:19	160:24 161:13	tequilla's 74:24
130:22 143:1	<b>tap</b> 164:13	tear 62:23	term 25:14
149:3 155:10	<b>target</b> 68:3,10	technically	34:1,8,14 35:1
156:1,3 159:7	68:11 76:23	50:1 100:9	35:19 65:15,21
166:9 168:8,11	78:12,15 80:8	<b>ted</b> 179:14	66:14,15 71:17
179:14	80:16 81:18	teenager 144:9	86:9,15,16,18
talked 48:11	134:12 147:25	teetering 169:1	86:25 87:4,5
80:11 84:22	targeted 40:5	telephone	89:5 110:4
115:23 118:5	75:21 76:16,17	54:20	132:18 143:19
126:3 131:4	77:3 79:14	tell 7:4 13:10	156:9
148:15 149:11	80:4,5,22 81:3	17:19 22:2	terminations
160:11 175:21	81:14 82:7,9	26:4 32:13	122:2
175:25 176:1,2	147:20,21	36:5 44:19	terms 17:16,19
176:21 177:12	targeting 76:20	46:2,4 48:8	55:4 65:1
177:14 179:4,6	76:24 84:3	53:24 65:20	66:22 83:4,15
talking 13:1	targets 147:8	66:21 80:12	86:10 89:17,21
20:11 29:6		87:7,12 95:21	112:10 127:4

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [terms - think] Page 53

142:25 143:1				
171:6,7 178:3         157:18,20,21         128:12 138:16         86:12,18,19           terrible         88:20         170:11         143:16 146:3         88:5 90:6           teshana         74:10         texted         174:16         148:7 152:18         92:18 96:11           teshana's         74:24         thank         6:22 7:16         155:24 156:10         100:4 101:9           testified         7:5         35:9 72:6,7         179:22         107:7,17,18,18           30:19 100:24         114:10 180:4         things         11:24         112:16 113:21           103:6,16 105:6         180:12,15         12: 17:9         113:24 115:13           113:15 118:16         thanks         169:8         86:14 87:25         116:21 118:15           120:1 137:4,7         theft         135:1         109:11,13         118:25 120:15           168:17 178:9         thefts         95:20         114:18,22         120:25 122:8           179:13         testifying         182:5         theoretically         150:18,19         123:3 126:13           testifying         182:5         theories 69:16         170:9         128:2,3,10           49:14 36:2 37:2         theories 69:16         170:9         128:2,3,10           9:1	142:25 143:1	51:24 110:25	124:24 127:13	76:18 77:3
terrible         88:20         170:11         143:16 146:3         88:5 90:6           teshana         74:10         texted         174:16         148:7 152:18         92:18 96:11           teshana's         74:24         thank         6:22 7:16         155:24 156:10         100:4 101:9           test         19:19 20:4         8:16 27:24         164:11 179:5         103:20 106:16           testified         7:5         35:9 72:6,7         179:22         107:7,17,18,18           30:19 100:24         114:10 180:4         things         11:24         112:16 113:21           105:23 113:15         181:15,16         48:24 49:20         115:13,15;21           113:15 118:16         thanks         169:8         86:14 87:25         116:21 118:15           120:1 137:47         theft         135:1         109:11,13         118:25 120:15           120:1 137:47         thefts         95:20         114:18,22         120:25 122:8           179:13         theft         135:1         109:11,13         118:25 120:15           testifying         182:5         theoretically         150:18,19         123:3 126:13           testifying         182:5         theory         38:14,17         13:16:4         13:6:13 137:3     <	169:24 170:24	125:13 152:14	127:14,15	83:23 84:1,20
teshana         74:10         texted         174:16         148:7 152:18         92:18 96:11           teshana's         74:24         thank         6:22 7:16         155:24 156:10         100:4 101:9           test 19:19 20:4         8:16 27:24         155:24 156:10         100:4 101:9           testfied         7:5         35:9 72:6,7         179:22         107:7,17,18,18           30:19 100:24         114:10 180:4         things         11:24         112:16 113:21           105:23 113:15         181:15,16         48:24 49:20         115:13,15,21           113:15 118:16         thanks 169:8         48:14 87:25         116:21 118:15           120:1 137:4,7         theft         135:1         109:11,13         118:25 120:15           159:13         thefts         95:20         114:18,22         120:25 122:8           179:13         thefts         95:20         114:18,22         120:25 122:8           testifying         182:5         theoretically         150:18,19         123:3 126:13           testifying         182:5         theories 69:16         49:7,9,10,13,15         69:18,20,21,23         18:16 21:3,3         137:14 141:7           49:14 36:2 37:2         69:18,20,21,23         18:16 21:3,3         137:14 141:7	171:6,7 178:3	157:18,20,21	128:12 138:16	86:12,18,19
teshana's         74:24         thank         6:22 7:16         155:24 156:10         100:4 101:9           test 19:19 20:4         8:16 27:24         164:11 179:5         103:20 106:16           testified         7:5         35:9 72:6,7         179:22         107:7,17,18,18           30:19 100:24         114:10 180:4         things         11:24         112:16 113:21           105:23 113:15         180:12,15         12:2 17:9         113:24 115:13           113:15 118:16         thanks         169:8         86:14 87:25         116:21 118:15           120:1 137:4,7         theft         135:1         109:11,13         118:25 120:15           168:17 178:9         thefts         95:20         114:18,22         120:25 122:8           179:13         134:23         15:1,24 139:8         122:11,24           testify 168:7         theoretically         150:18,19         123:3 126:13           testifying         182:5         theories 69:16         170:9         128:2,3,10           9:14 36:2 37:2         theories 69:16         thory 38:14,17         69:18,20,21,23         18:16 21:3,3         137:14 141:7           49:1, 1 53:6,13         69:18,20,21,23         30:15 32:13,21         147:5,10           95:12 101:22	terrible 88:20	170:11	143:16 146:3	88:5 90:6
test         19:19         20:4         8:16         27:24         164:11         179:5         103:20         106:16           testified         7:5         35:9         72:6,7         179:22         107:7,17,18,18           30:19         100:24         114:10         180:4         things         11:24         112:16         113:21           105:23         113:15         18:15,16         48:24         49:20         115:13,15,21           113:15         118:16         thanks         169:8         86:14         87:25         116:21         118:15           120:1         137:4,7         theft         135:1         109:11,13         118:25         120:15           179:13         134:23         15:1,24         139:8         122:11,24           testify         168:7         theoretically         150:18,19         123:3         126:14         127:9           14:18,22         120:25         122:8         120:15         14:18,22         120:25         122:8           testifying         182:5         48:8         150:18,19         123:3         126:14         127:9           testifying         182:5         48:28         160eretically         150:18,19         126:14 </td <td>teshana 74:10</td> <td><b>texted</b> 174:16</td> <td>148:7 152:18</td> <td>92:18 96:11</td>	teshana 74:10	<b>texted</b> 174:16	148:7 152:18	92:18 96:11
testified         7:5         35:9 72:6,7         179:22         107:7,17,18,18           30:19 100:24         114:10 180:4         things         11:24         112:16 113:21           103:6,16 105:6         180:12,15         12:2 17:9         113:24 115:13           105:23 113:15         181:15,16         48:24 49:20         115:13,15,21           113:15 118:16         thanks         169:8         86:14 87:25         116:21 118:15           120:1 137:4,7         theft         135:1         109:11,13         118:25 120:15           168:17 178:9         thefts         95:20         114:18,22         120:25 122:8           179:13         thefts         95:20         114:18,22         120:25 122:8           179:13         theoretically         150:18,19         123:3 126:13           testifyng         182:5         theoretically         150:18,19         123:3 126:13           testifyng         182:5         166:13,19         123:3 126:13         126:14 127:9           testifyng         182:5         170:9         128:2,3,10         126:14 127:9         128:2,3,10           testifyng         18:21         69:18,20,21,23         18:16 21:3,3         137:14 141:7         13:13 16:4         136:13 137:3         13:13 16:	teshana's 74:24	<b>thank</b> 6:22 7:16	155:24 156:10	100:4 101:9
30:19 100:24         114:10 180:4         things         11:24         112:16 113:21           103:6,16 105:6         180:12,15         12:2 17:9         113:24 115:13           105:23 113:15         181:15,16         48:24 49:20         115:13,15,21           113:15 118:16         thanks 169:8         86:14 87:25         116:21 118:15           120:1 137:4,7         theft         135:1         109:11,13         118:25 120:15           168:17 178:9         thefts         95:20         114:18,22         120:25 122:8           179:13         134:23         115:1,24 139:8         122:11,24           testify 168:7         theoretically         150:18,19         123:3 126:13           testifying 182:5         38:8         159:17 164:9         126:14 127:9           testimony 8:22         theories 69:16         theory 38:14,17         170:9         128:2,3,10           49:1,1 53:6,13         69:18,20,21,23         18:16 21:3,3         137:14 141:7           73:17 84:21         70:1,5 82:5,6         22:2 23:22         146:2,2,9           86:9 88:7         127:11         30:15 32:13,21         147:5,10           95:12 101:22         thieves 171:23         33:1 36:22,23         148:15,16,21           127:7 128:8         24:8	test 19:19 20:4	8:16 27:24	164:11 179:5	103:20 106:16
103:6,16 105:6	testified 7:5	35:9 72:6,7	179:22	107:7,17,18,18
105:23 113:15         181:15,16         48:24 49:20         115:13,15,21           113:15 118:16         thanks 169:8         86:14 87:25         116:21 118:15           120:1 137:4,7         theft 135:1         109:11,13         118:25 120:15           168:17 178:9         thefts 95:20         114:18,22         120:25 122:8           179:13         134:23         115:1,24 139:8         122:11,24           testify 168:7         theoretically         150:18,19         123:3 126:13           testifying 182:5         38:8         159:17 164:9         126:14 127:9           testimony 8:22         theories 69:16         170:9         128:2,3,10           9:14 36:2 37:2         theory 38:14,17         13:13 16:4         136:13 137:3,7           49:1,1 53:6,13         69:7,9,10,13,15         13:13 16:4         136:13 137:3,7           62:9 67:18         69:18,20,21,23         18:16 21:3,3         137:14 141:7           73:17 84:21         70:1,5 82:5,6         22:2 23:22         146:2,2,9           86:9 88:7         127:11         30:15 32:13,21         147:5,10           95:12 101:22         thieves 17:23         33:1 36:22,23         148:15,16,21           10:13 122:6         thing 23:25         38:24 39:3,5         152:4,4 153:11	30:19 100:24	114:10 180:4	<b>things</b> 11:24	112:16 113:21
113:15 118:16         thanks         169:8         86:14 87:25         116:21 118:15           120:1 137:4,7         theft         135:1         109:11,13         118:25 120:15           168:17 178:9         thefts         95:20         114:18,22         120:25 122:8           179:13         thefts         95:20         114:18,22         120:25 122:8           testify 168:7         theoretically         150:18,19         123:3 126:13           testifying         182:5         38:8         159:17 164:9         126:14 127:9           testimony         8:22         theories         69:16         170:9         128:2,3,10           9:14 36:2 37:2         theory         38:14,17         think         9:8 10:19         129:2,21 132:4           49:1,1 53:6,13         69:7,9,10,13,15         13:13 16:4         136:13 137:3,7           62:9 67:18         69:18,20,21,23         18:16 21:3,3         137:14 141:7           73:17 84:21         70:1,5 82:5,6         22:2 23:22         146:2,2,9           86:9 88:7         127:11         30:15 32:13,21         147:5,10           95:12 101:22         thices         171:23         33:1 36:22,23         148:15,16,21           104:13 111:8         172:2         37:12 38:4,8 <td>103:6,16 105:6</td> <td>180:12,15</td> <td>12:2 17:9</td> <td>113:24 115:13</td>	103:6,16 105:6	180:12,15	12:2 17:9	113:24 115:13
120:1 137:4,7         theft 135:1         109:11,13         118:25 120:15           168:17 178:9         thefts 95:20         114:18,22         120:25 122:8           179:13         134:23         115:1,24 139:8         122:11,24           testify 168:7         theoretically         150:18,19         123:3 126:13           testifying 182:5         38:8         159:17 164:9         126:14 127:9           9:14 36:2 37:2         theories 69:16         170:9         128:2,3,10           49:1,1 53:6,13         69:7,9,10,13,15         13:13 16:4         136:13 137:3,7           62:9 67:18         69:18,20,21,23         18:16 21:3,3         137:14 141:7           73:17 84:21         70:1,5 82:5,6         22:2 23:22         146:2,2,9           86:9 88:7         127:11         30:15 32:13,21         147:5,10           95:12 101:22         thieves 171:23         33:1 36:22,23         148:15,16,21           104:13 111:8         172:2         37:12 38:4,8         148:25 149:24           120:13 122:6         thing 23:25         38:24 39:3,5         152:4,4 153:11           127:7 128:8         24:8 30:15         39:23 40:19         153:13 154:8           139:12,19         39:14 40:5         54:5 55:16         160:20 161:2,9	105:23 113:15	181:15,16	48:24 49:20	115:13,15,21
168:17 178:9         thefts         95:20         114:18,22         120:25 122:8           179:13         134:23         115:1,24 139:8         122:11,24           testify 168:7         theoretically         150:18,19         123:3 126:13           testifying 182:5         38:8         159:17 164:9         126:14 127:9           testimony 8:22         theories 69:16         170:9         128:2,3,10           9:14 36:2 37:2         theory 38:14,17         think 9:8 10:19         129:2,21 132:4           49:1,1 53:6,13         69:7,9,10,13,15         13:13 16:4         136:13 137:3,7           62:9 67:18         69:18,20,21,23         18:16 21:3,3         137:14 141:7           73:17 84:21         70:1,5 82:5,6         22:2 23:22         146:2,2,9           86:9 88:7         127:11         30:15 32:13,21         147:5,10           95:12 101:22         thieves 171:23         33:1 36:22,23         148:15,16,21           104:13 111:8         172:2         37:12 38:4,8         148:25 149:24           120:13 122:6         thing 23:25         38:24 39:3,5         152:4,4 153:11           127:7 128:8         24:8 30:15         39:23 40:19         153:13 154:8           139:12,19         39:14 40:5         54:5 185:38,19         156:6	113:15 118:16	<b>thanks</b> 169:8	86:14 87:25	116:21 118:15
179:13         134:23         115:1,24 139:8         122:11,24           testify 168:7         theoretically         150:18,19         123:3 126:13           testifying 182:5         38:8         159:17 164:9         126:14 127:9           9:14 36:2 37:2         theories 69:16         170:9         128:2,3,10           49:1,1 53:6,13         69:7,9,10,13,15         13:13 16:4         136:13 137:3,7           62:9 67:18         69:18,20,21,23         18:16 21:3,3         137:14 141:7           73:17 84:21         70:1,5 82:5,6         22:2 23:22         146:2,2,9           86:9 88:7         127:11         30:15 32:13,21         147:5,10           95:12 101:22         thieves 171:23         33:1 36:22,23         148:15,16,21           104:13 111:8         172:2         37:12 38:4,8         148:25 149:24           120:13 122:6         thing 23:25         38:24 39:3,5         152:4,4 153:11           127:7 128:8         24:8 30:15         39:23 40:19         153:13 154:8           136:1 137:8         35:23 38:21         45:18 53:8,19         156:6 159:9,15           149:7 150:11         41:11 51:2,3         56:23 57:11         161:22 164:23           167:24 168:13         68:20 80:9         59:9 61:4         160:20 161:2,9	120:1 137:4,7	<b>theft</b> 135:1	109:11,13	118:25 120:15
testify         168:7         theoretically         150:18,19         123:3 126:13           testifying         182:5         theories         69:16         170:9         128:2,3,10           9:14 36:2 37:2         theory         38:14,17         think         9:8 10:19         129:2,21 132:4           49:1,1 53:6,13         69:7,9,10,13,15         13:13 16:4         136:13 137:3,7           62:9 67:18         69:18,20,21,23         18:16 21:3,3         137:14 141:7           73:17 84:21         70:1,5 82:5,6         22:2 23:22         146:2,2,9           86:9 88:7         127:11         30:15 32:13,21         147:5,10           95:12 101:22         thieves         171:23         33:1 36:22,23         148:15,16,21           104:13 111:8         172:2         37:12 38:4,8         148:25 149:24           120:13 122:6         thing         23:25         38:24 39:3,5         152:4,4 153:11           127:7 128:8         24:8 30:15         39:23 40:19         153:13 154:8           139:12,19         39:14 40:5         54:5 55:16         160:20 161:2,9           149:7 150:11         41:11 51:2,3         56:23 57:11         161:22 164:23           167:24 168:13         68:20 80:9         59:9 61:4         165:6,10	168:17 178:9	<b>thefts</b> 95:20	114:18,22	120:25 122:8
testifying         182:5         38:8         159:17 164:9         126:14 127:9           9:14 36:2 37:2         theories         69:16         170:9         128:2,3,10           49:1,1 53:6,13         69:7,9,10,13,15         13:13 16:4         136:13 137:3,7           62:9 67:18         69:18,20,21,23         18:16 21:3,3         137:14 141:7           73:17 84:21         70:1,5 82:5,6         22:2 23:22         146:2,2,9           86:9 88:7         127:11         30:15 32:13,21         147:5,10           95:12 101:22         thieves         171:23         33:1 36:22,23         148:15,16,21           104:13 111:8         172:2         37:12 38:4,8         148:25 149:24           120:13 122:6         thing         23:25         38:24 39:3,5         152:4,4 153:11           127:7 128:8         24:8 30:15         39:23 40:19         153:13 154:8           136:1 137:8         35:23 38:21         45:18 53:8,19         156:6 159:9,15           139:12,19         39:14 40:5         54:5 55:16         160:20 161:2,9           149:7 150:11         41:11 51:2,3         56:23 57:11         161:22 164:23           167:24 168:13         68:20 80:9         59:9 61:4         165:6,10           173:23 185:2,7         81:10 89:1,8 <td>179:13</td> <td>134:23</td> <td>115:1,24 139:8</td> <td>122:11,24</td>	179:13	134:23	115:1,24 139:8	122:11,24
testimony         8:22         theories         69:16         170:9         128:2,3,10           9:14 36:2 37:2         theory         38:14,17         think         9:8 10:19         129:2,21 132:4           49:1,1 53:6,13         69:7,9,10,13,15         13:13 16:4         136:13 137:3,7           62:9 67:18         69:18,20,21,23         18:16 21:3,3         137:14 141:7           73:17 84:21         70:1,5 82:5,6         22:2 23:22         146:2,2,9           86:9 88:7         127:11         30:15 32:13,21         147:5,10           95:12 101:22         thieves         171:23         33:1 36:22,23         148:15,16,21           104:13 111:8         172:2         37:12 38:4,8         148:25 149:24           120:13 122:6         thing         23:25         38:24 39:3,5         152:4,4 153:11           127:7 128:8         24:8 30:15         39:23 40:19         153:13 154:8           139:12,19         39:14 40:5         54:5 55:16         160:20 161:2,9           149:7 150:11         41:11 51:2,3         56:23 57:11         161:22 164:23           167:24 168:13         68:20 80:9         59:9 61:4         165:6,10           173:23 185:2,7         81:10 89:1,8         64:24 65:4         170:17 172:6	testify 168:7	theoretically	150:18,19	123:3 126:13
9:14 36:2 37:2         theory         38:14,17         think         9:8 10:19         129:2,21 132:4           49:1,1 53:6,13         69:7,9,10,13,15         13:13 16:4         136:13 137:3,7           62:9 67:18         69:18,20,21,23         18:16 21:3,3         137:14 141:7           73:17 84:21         70:1,5 82:5,6         22:2 23:22         146:2,2,9           86:9 88:7         127:11         30:15 32:13,21         147:5,10           95:12 101:22         thieves 171:23         33:1 36:22,23         148:15,16,21           104:13 111:8         172:2         37:12 38:4,8         148:25 149:24           120:13 122:6         thing 23:25         38:24 39:3,5         152:4,4 153:11           127:7 128:8         24:8 30:15         39:23 40:19         153:13 154:8           136:1 137:8         35:23 38:21         45:18 53:8,19         156:6 159:9,15           139:12,19         39:14 40:5         54:5 55:16         160:20 161:2,9           149:7 150:11         41:11 51:2,3         56:23 57:11         161:22 164:23           167:24 168:13         68:20 80:9         59:9 61:4         165:6,10           173:23 185:2,7         81:10 89:1,8         64:24 65:4         170:17 172:6           texas 5:14         94:21 97:13         66:13	testifying 182:5	38:8	159:17 164:9	126:14 127:9
49:1,1 53:6,13       69:7,9,10,13,15       13:13 16:4       136:13 137:3,7         62:9 67:18       69:18,20,21,23       18:16 21:3,3       137:14 141:7         73:17 84:21       70:1,5 82:5,6       22:2 23:22       146:2,2,9         86:9 88:7       127:11       30:15 32:13,21       147:5,10         95:12 101:22       thieves 171:23       33:1 36:22,23       148:15,16,21         104:13 111:8       172:2       37:12 38:4,8       148:25 149:24         120:13 122:6       thing 23:25       38:24 39:3,5       152:4,4 153:11         127:7 128:8       24:8 30:15       39:23 40:19       153:13 154:8         136:1 137:8       35:23 38:21       45:18 53:8,19       156:6 159:9,15         139:12,19       39:14 40:5       54:5 55:16       160:20 161:2,9         149:7 150:11       41:11 51:2,3       56:23 57:11       161:22 164:23         167:24 168:13       68:20 80:9       59:9 61:4       165:6,10         173:23 185:2,7       81:10 89:1,8       64:24 65:4       170:17 172:6         texas 5:14       94:21 97:13       66:13 68:25       173:17 174:25         11:16,18,19,20       109:21 112:2       71:7 74:17       176:1 178:9	testimony 8:22	theories 69:16	170:9	128:2,3,10
62:9 67:18         69:18,20,21,23         18:16 21:3,3         137:14 141:7           73:17 84:21         70:1,5 82:5,6         22:2 23:22         146:2,2,9           86:9 88:7         127:11         30:15 32:13,21         147:5,10           95:12 101:22         thieves 171:23         33:1 36:22,23         148:15,16,21           104:13 111:8         172:2         37:12 38:4,8         148:25 149:24           120:13 122:6         thing 23:25         38:24 39:3,5         152:4,4 153:11           127:7 128:8         24:8 30:15         39:23 40:19         153:13 154:8           136:1 137:8         35:23 38:21         45:18 53:8,19         156:6 159:9,15           139:12,19         39:14 40:5         54:5 55:16         160:20 161:2,9           149:7 150:11         41:11 51:2,3         56:23 57:11         161:22 164:23           167:24 168:13         68:20 80:9         59:9 61:4         165:6,10           173:23 185:2,7         81:10 89:1,8         64:24 65:4         170:17 172:6           texas 5:14         94:21 97:13         66:13 68:25         173:17 174:25           11:16,18,19,20         109:21 112:2         71:7 74:17         176:1 178:9	9:14 36:2 37:2	<b>theory</b> 38:14,17	<b>think</b> 9:8 10:19	129:2,21 132:4
73:17 84:21       70:1,5 82:5,6       22:2 23:22       146:2,2,9         86:9 88:7       127:11       30:15 32:13,21       147:5,10         95:12 101:22       thieves 171:23       33:1 36:22,23       148:15,16,21         104:13 111:8       172:2       37:12 38:4,8       148:25 149:24         120:13 122:6       thing 23:25       38:24 39:3,5       152:4,4 153:11         127:7 128:8       24:8 30:15       39:23 40:19       153:13 154:8         136:1 137:8       35:23 38:21       45:18 53:8,19       156:6 159:9,15         139:12,19       39:14 40:5       54:5 55:16       160:20 161:2,9         149:7 150:11       41:11 51:2,3       56:23 57:11       161:22 164:23         167:24 168:13       68:20 80:9       59:9 61:4       165:6,10         173:23 185:2,7       81:10 89:1,8       64:24 65:4       170:17 172:6         texas 5:14       94:21 97:13       66:13 68:25       173:17 174:25         11:16,18,19,20       109:21 112:2       71:7 74:17       176:1 178:9	49:1,1 53:6,13	69:7,9,10,13,15	13:13 16:4	136:13 137:3,7
86:9 88:7       127:11       30:15 32:13,21       147:5,10         95:12 101:22       thieves 171:23       33:1 36:22,23       148:15,16,21         104:13 111:8       172:2       37:12 38:4,8       148:25 149:24         120:13 122:6       thing 23:25       38:24 39:3,5       152:4,4 153:11         127:7 128:8       24:8 30:15       39:23 40:19       153:13 154:8         136:1 137:8       35:23 38:21       45:18 53:8,19       156:6 159:9,15         139:12,19       39:14 40:5       54:5 55:16       160:20 161:2,9         149:7 150:11       41:11 51:2,3       56:23 57:11       161:22 164:23         167:24 168:13       68:20 80:9       59:9 61:4       165:6,10         173:23 185:2,7       81:10 89:1,8       64:24 65:4       170:17 172:6         texas 5:14       94:21 97:13       66:13 68:25       173:17 174:25         11:16,18,19,20       109:21 112:2       71:7 74:17       176:1 178:9	62:9 67:18	69:18,20,21,23	18:16 21:3,3	137:14 141:7
95:12 101:22       thieves       171:23       33:1 36:22,23       148:15,16,21         104:13 111:8       172:2       37:12 38:4,8       148:25 149:24         120:13 122:6       thing       23:25       38:24 39:3,5       152:4,4 153:11         127:7 128:8       24:8 30:15       39:23 40:19       153:13 154:8         136:1 137:8       35:23 38:21       45:18 53:8,19       156:6 159:9,15         139:12,19       39:14 40:5       54:5 55:16       160:20 161:2,9         149:7 150:11       41:11 51:2,3       56:23 57:11       161:22 164:23         167:24 168:13       68:20 80:9       59:9 61:4       165:6,10         173:23 185:2,7       81:10 89:1,8       64:24 65:4       170:17 172:6         texas       5:14       94:21 97:13       66:13 68:25       173:17 174:25         11:16,18,19,20       109:21 112:2       71:7 74:17       176:1 178:9	73:17 84:21	70:1,5 82:5,6	22:2 23:22	146:2,2,9
104:13 111:8       172:2       37:12 38:4,8       148:25 149:24         120:13 122:6       thing 23:25       38:24 39:3,5       152:4,4 153:11         127:7 128:8       24:8 30:15       39:23 40:19       153:13 154:8         136:1 137:8       35:23 38:21       45:18 53:8,19       156:6 159:9,15         139:12,19       39:14 40:5       54:5 55:16       160:20 161:2,9         149:7 150:11       41:11 51:2,3       56:23 57:11       161:22 164:23         167:24 168:13       68:20 80:9       59:9 61:4       165:6,10         173:23 185:2,7       81:10 89:1,8       64:24 65:4       170:17 172:6         texas 5:14       94:21 97:13       66:13 68:25       173:17 174:25         11:16,18,19,20       109:21 112:2       71:7 74:17       176:1 178:9	86:9 88:7	127:11	30:15 32:13,21	147:5,10
120:13 122:6       thing       23:25       38:24 39:3,5       152:4,4 153:11         127:7 128:8       24:8 30:15       39:23 40:19       153:13 154:8         136:1 137:8       35:23 38:21       45:18 53:8,19       156:6 159:9,15         139:12,19       39:14 40:5       54:5 55:16       160:20 161:2,9         149:7 150:11       41:11 51:2,3       56:23 57:11       161:22 164:23         167:24 168:13       68:20 80:9       59:9 61:4       165:6,10         173:23 185:2,7       81:10 89:1,8       64:24 65:4       170:17 172:6         texas       5:14       94:21 97:13       66:13 68:25       173:17 174:25         11:16,18,19,20       109:21 112:2       71:7 74:17       176:1 178:9	95:12 101:22	<b>thieves</b> 171:23	33:1 36:22,23	148:15,16,21
127:7 128:8       24:8 30:15       39:23 40:19       153:13 154:8         136:1 137:8       35:23 38:21       45:18 53:8,19       156:6 159:9,15         139:12,19       39:14 40:5       54:5 55:16       160:20 161:2,9         149:7 150:11       41:11 51:2,3       56:23 57:11       161:22 164:23         167:24 168:13       68:20 80:9       59:9 61:4       165:6,10         173:23 185:2,7       81:10 89:1,8       64:24 65:4       170:17 172:6         texas 5:14       94:21 97:13       66:13 68:25       173:17 174:25         11:16,18,19,20       109:21 112:2       71:7 74:17       176:1 178:9	104:13 111:8	172:2	37:12 38:4,8	148:25 149:24
136:1 137:8       35:23 38:21       45:18 53:8,19       156:6 159:9,15         139:12,19       39:14 40:5       54:5 55:16       160:20 161:2,9         149:7 150:11       41:11 51:2,3       56:23 57:11       161:22 164:23         167:24 168:13       68:20 80:9       59:9 61:4       165:6,10         173:23 185:2,7       81:10 89:1,8       64:24 65:4       170:17 172:6         texas 5:14       94:21 97:13       66:13 68:25       173:17 174:25         11:16,18,19,20       109:21 112:2       71:7 74:17       176:1 178:9	120:13 122:6	thing 23:25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	152:4,4 153:11
139:12,19       39:14 40:5       54:5 55:16       160:20 161:2,9         149:7 150:11       41:11 51:2,3       56:23 57:11       161:22 164:23         167:24 168:13       68:20 80:9       59:9 61:4       165:6,10         173:23 185:2,7       81:10 89:1,8       64:24 65:4       170:17 172:6         texas 5:14       94:21 97:13       66:13 68:25       173:17 174:25         11:16,18,19,20       109:21 112:2       71:7 74:17       176:1 178:9	127:7 128:8	24:8 30:15	39:23 40:19	153:13 154:8
149:7 150:11       41:11 51:2,3       56:23 57:11       161:22 164:23         167:24 168:13       68:20 80:9       59:9 61:4       165:6,10         173:23 185:2,7       81:10 89:1,8       64:24 65:4       170:17 172:6         texas 5:14       94:21 97:13       66:13 68:25       173:17 174:25         11:16,18,19,20       109:21 112:2       71:7 74:17       176:1 178:9	136:1 137:8	35:23 38:21	45:18 53:8,19	156:6 159:9,15
167:24 168:13       68:20 80:9       59:9 61:4       165:6,10         173:23 185:2,7       81:10 89:1,8       64:24 65:4       170:17 172:6         texas 5:14       94:21 97:13       66:13 68:25       173:17 174:25         11:16,18,19,20       109:21 112:2       71:7 74:17       176:1 178:9	139:12,19	39:14 40:5	54:5 55:16	160:20 161:2,9
173:23 185:2,7       81:10 89:1,8       64:24 65:4       170:17 172:6         texas 5:14       94:21 97:13       66:13 68:25       173:17 174:25         11:16,18,19,20       109:21 112:2       71:7 74:17       176:1 178:9	149:7 150:11	41:11 51:2,3	56:23 57:11	161:22 164:23
texas       5:14       94:21 97:13       66:13 68:25       173:17 174:25         11:16,18,19,20       109:21 112:2       71:7 74:17       176:1 178:9	167:24 168:13	68:20 80:9	59:9 61:4	165:6,10
11:16,18,19,20 109:21 112:2 71:7 74:17 176:1 178:9	173:23 185:2,7	81:10 89:1,8	64:24 65:4	170:17 172:6
	texas 5:14	94:21 97:13	66:13 68:25	173:17 174:25
13:20 17:13   114:17 124:16   75:4,4,9 76:3   179:12	11:16,18,19,20	109:21 112:2	71:7 74:17	176:1 178:9
	13:20 17:13	114:17 124:16	75:4,4,9 76:3	179:12

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [thinking - trained]

Page 54

. 8	-		C
thinking 88:10	165:20 174:21	169:16,22,24	ton 16:8 119:12
<b>third</b> 15:24	threshold	169:25 170:10	141:15 157:18
23:1,15 31:2	138:23	170:13,18	tons 138:13,14
49:8 101:25	<b>thrill</b> 114:5	180:7,10,13	138:14
131:20 138:5,6	throw 25:19	181:21 184:14	took 19:18
<b>thirdly</b> 109:9	46:9	timeline 37:14	35:23
<b>thirty</b> 47:19	<b>thrown</b> 86:14	37:16 50:23	<b>tool</b> 77:16
thought 22:16	<b>tiarra</b> 74:11	51:1	<b>tools</b> 24:9
79:24 107:5	tiarra's 74:24	<b>times</b> 36:23	top 21:11 72:18
140:5 169:21	time 1:15 5:3	40:11 66:2	<b>topic</b> 12:18
174:6	6:6 16:11,11	85:5 98:9	<b>topics</b> 16:13
thousands	19:5 35:11,14	103:15 124:9	53:17 56:17
20:12 170:5	36:4,13,16	126:1,10,24	75:10 158:10
<b>threat</b> 4:8 6:21	47:19 48:2,6,7	141:18 146:5	168:4
87:24 153:9	49:2,22 50:6	155:25 168:16	total 62:2
169:11 170:4	51:12,20,24	timestamp 36:6	168:19
threats 24:22	52:3 54:4,17	36:14,17	totality 55:21
27:4,23 86:20	55:1,4,10,13	<b>timothy</b> 76:19	towards 31:2
87:20	56:21 57:2	<b>tiny</b> 110:7	169:1
three 15:1,15	68:1,4 72:10	152:15	town 70:14,16
15:17,18 21:5	72:12 86:13	title 15:25	152:15 173:6
26:15,17 27:2	89:3 92:9 98:4	57:16 59:23	<b>track</b> 87:19
27:22 38:9	99:5 102:14,15	60:6	162:3
40:4,7,7,11	102:21 103:24	today 7:14,17	traditional
48:24 52:12	106:19 107:22	9:13 26:25	62:17
55:2,5 69:12	107:24 109:14	28:4 30:9 61:7	traditionally
69:16 84:25	110:16,19	130:20 180:13	62:7,11
85:5 87:18	113:6 114:12	today's 5:2	traffic 88:8
91:20 94:14	114:14 116:14	together 98:20	119:1
95:19 96:5	118:15,15	<b>told</b> 20:8 27:13	trafficking
99:9,20 126:10	119:17 123:2	44:7 63:12	29:16
129:6 133:7	124:2,21 134:9	113:16 118:8	<b>train</b> 175:12
134:7 136:9	137:9 141:15	118:18 119:17	trained 11:16
140:11 141:7	151:4 160:24	137:6 152:8	23:22
154:18 156:10	162:10 167:23	168:10	

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [training - under]

Page 55

			٥
training 11:13	<b>tried</b> 53:10	16:8 20:7	typically 24:24
11:22,23 13:14	tripping 28:24	22:25 24:4	27:1 41:24
13:16 14:9,11	truck 48:25	26:21 27:20	52:12 70:21
16:18,21 17:2	49:21,22 50:6	29:16,16 31:23	71:2,4 73:10
17:4 59:24	107:1,4,13	35:3 36:15	76:5 77:9
60:7,11 161:12	108:1,13,23	38:9,12,18	86:14 89:3
transcriber	109:4,8 114:4	39:24,24,25	90:14,18,18,20
183:1	<b>truck's</b> 49:3	47:20 49:20	96:13 113:1,2
transcript 5:22	<b>true</b> 63:1 69:10	52:8,9,10,12	typology 15:9
181:3,6 182:21	141:10,11,12	54:24 62:17	u
183:3,5 184:7	141:13,19	64:16 73:12	ultimately
184:12,15	182:9 183:5	75:10 86:10	14:24 23:24
185:2	<b>trust</b> 151:3	87:18,25 98:9	45:22 58:19
transcriptionist	<b>truth</b> 7:4,4,5	99:9 126:20,24	70:21 87:2,13
182:8	truthful 9:14	129:3 132:2	127:2 133:16
<b>trend</b> 93:12	<b>try</b> 41:23,24,24	137:17 150:19	133:17 149:11
trending 93:17	81:9 115:25	150:23 158:10	153:24 165:20
trends 162:4	150:14	164:15 165:12	179:20
trespass 38:12	trying 27:7	166:13 167:19	unable 110:10
59:4 150:13	55:3,5 59:6	169:7 174:15	111:3
153:17 177:3	63:15 80:18	177:2	unarmed 84:11
trespassed	110:8,18 112:3	<b>tx</b> 1:17	123:11 124:5
76:13	113:2 124:2	<b>type</b> 52:10 74:8	153:5,11 178:1
trespassers	126:12 142:6	84:7 113:3	unauthorized
102:22	144:14 157:19	124:11 129:8	76:11 100:8,10
trespassing	157:20 161:15	171:16	101:5,12
101:25 108:15	163:22 170:22	<b>types</b> 15:1 25:4	136:22 150:15
<b>trial</b> 166:19	171:14,22,24	94:19 110:15	151:5 177:1
168:18	174:24 179:1	169:25	under 5:25
<b>trials</b> 132:23	tuesday 31:5	typewriting	8:19 11:20
140:10,19	turn 94:22	182:7	19:3 42:4 43:6
triangle 69:24	turnover 56:7	typical 26:24	106:20 108:2
70:3,4,25	twice 22:25	61:22 76:3	122:4,10,12
<b>trick</b> 170:22	<b>two</b> 14:18	96:12 113:7	123:24 133:12
	15:15,16,17,20		142:13,19
			1.2.12,17

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [under - vayens]

Page 56

159:2	understands	63:13	<b>usual</b> 106:20
undergrad	175:1	unofficial	usually 31:13
11:14 141:17	understood	161:25	52:10 116:24
underlying	9:17 28:24	unpacking	v
44:11 46:7	35:5 50:21	34:18	v 1:8
141:1 143:18	65:3 106:13	unraveled	vacuum 50:5
undersigned	154:19 156:18	15:25	<b>vague</b> 106:10
185:2	unfamiliar 9:7	unusual 56:6	valid 69:10,18
understand	unfortunately	120:19	69:19 156:24
5:21 7:12 9:17	56:9 164:10	updated 29:21	validate 93:7
9:20 12:20	<b>ungodly</b> 124:15	<b>urinal</b> 171:20	95:6 135:12,16
29:2 31:24	<b>uniform</b> 77:16	use 25:11,17,21	validating
34:22 45:9	uniformed	26:2 60:12	94:17
55:23 66:20	131:13	83:4 85:4,15	valley 93:16
83:2 86:24	unintended	87:4 113:8	valuable 21:15
99:1 100:25	78:12	114:10 123:7	valuables valuables
103:14 105:11	<b>unique</b> 14:15	131:1 132:6	136:16
108:4 144:15	84:16,18 87:6	167:10 171:5,9	<b>value</b> 61:9
147:6 153:1,4	88:2 125:1,10	185:9	178:15
156:6 160:25	160:25	<b>used</b> 34:8,17	van 48:15
176:16	<b>unit</b> 62:18	35:19 66:15	49:18 105:3,24
understanding	united 1:1	67:2 84:25	107:13 108:23
17:14 31:16	<b>units</b> 11:6 61:5	112:20 143:18	113:10
32:22 41:6,9	61:5,6,18,24,24	156:8	varens 89:24
46:24 62:13	62:1,11,15,20	user 25:13	variety 125:21
65:15,25 67:5	63:3,10 99:13	uses 5:24 66:16	various 11:24
67:9 69:25	103:23	171:7	13:3 16:13
91:25 94:6	university	using 25:15,16	23:23 24:9
104:10 115:7	99:21	34:25 65:17,18	90:11 122:13
115:16 116:2,5	unnecessary	65:19 66:14	149:7
117:11,22	134:8,9	69:21 78:25	vast 129:11
121:24 130:11	unoccupancy	91:12 111:17	132:5 147:19
142:23 143:8	62:25	112:18 132:21	147:23 164:9
144:20 149:14	unoccupied	152:1 171:6	vayens 89:24
163:23	62:1,7,11,12,22		139:21

# [vehicle - wanted]

Page 57

vehicle 131:14	<b>victim</b> 75:25	150:15 158:4	W
<b>vellani</b> 1:13 5:4	76:16 77:6	violence 57:5	<b>waiting</b> 62:9,24
5:10 6:18,20	134:12	57:24,25 75:21	63:2,7
6:21,24 7:2,10	victimization	75:25 76:17,17	walk 162:22
9:2,23 19:15	14:23 17:23	77:6 89:4	walking 124:22
23:18 24:12	81:22 82:2	130:5 140:14	124:23 166:10
28:1 29:9,22	140:8,9 141:5	144:1	168:7
31:1,19 32:2	141:15	violent 14:23	walmart
32:22 33:6	victimized	15:9 17:23	171:19,21,21
35:17 45:5	81:24 133:6,18	82:1 100:2	want 9:11
46:2,14 72:15	140:23	126:20,22	16:10 27:24
89:9 111:15	<b>victims</b> 31:18	127:4,6,21	33:18,18 35:5
114:18 116:18	35:3	129:12,17,22	46:5 52:4
117:17 121:16	<b>video</b> 33:13	129:24 131:6	55:20 56:17
139:22 144:8	36:12 42:18	131:16 136:20	57:4 58:5,16
146:14 161:19	51:13,14,23	162:9 176:6	63:14,17 86:8
163:8 166:23	54:21,22,23	177:17,19,21	90:19 93:8
167:24 173:8	181:20	violently	96:22 100:5
180:12 184:2	videoconfere	140:22	101:1 109:16
verbal 27:17	2:3,12,19 3:4	virtually 5:23	109:16 114:5,7
90:19	51:15	<b>virtue</b> 144:19	114:8 128:2,3
veritext 5:8	videographer	<b>virus</b> 109:12	128:6 131:10
184:10,19	3:3 5:2 35:11	<b>visit</b> 163:8	134:23 139:22
veritext.com	35:14 72:9,12	visited 60:18	141:1 149:3
184:24	114:11,14	<b>vmc</b> 1:10	155:10,25
<b>verse</b> 73:19	180:7,10,20	<b>voice</b> 91:12	173:5,9,12,23
74:16	181:19	vs 5:13	180:17
<b>version</b> 179:10	videos 36:15	<b>vuitton</b> 70:13	wanted 31:1
versus 18:7	videotaped	vulnerabilities	44:16 51:8
53:9 129:5	1:12 5:4	86:20	55:9 75:22
146:4 162:8	<b>view</b> 136:17	vulnerability	85:16 92:16
169:25 170:24	violation 98:9	86:15,17 87:1	93:24 114:17
177:19	100:9 158:7	88:4,9,14,17	115:25 117:9
vicinity 106:24	violations 59:8		117:15 151:24
107:3	100:6 104:20		180:23
			100.23

# [wants - working]

Page 58

wants 32:12,13	ways 26:15	wingate 1:9	word 25:11,15
war 132:15	151:5	2:10 5:13 6:17	25:16 26:2
<b>ward</b> 81:1,16	we've 62:8	43:19 52:16	65:17,19,19
144:10	70:19 73:2	71:6,8 89:12	66:16,18,24
<b>warm</b> 110:13	115:23 135:25	92:1,20 93:3	67:1 88:20
warnings	136:8,15	93:22 94:2	120:15 131:7,7
104:16	143:17	95:3,8 97:3,20	143:8,17,18,24
warrant 106:21	weakness 88:15	103:21 104:15	149:19 170:23
106:22	weaknesses	112:13,20	171:5,9
warrants	88:16,17	119:21 122:16	words 26:8
152:19	<b>wearing</b> 17:9,9	122:20 150:6	34:17 50:10
<b>waste</b> 134:9	website 14:22	154:19 160:12	55:11 66:6
wat 52:3	15:7,11 179:2	160:13 163:6,7	76:18 121:6
<b>watch</b> 92:9	webster 67:1	wingate's	158:25 167:19
watched 40:20	webster's 79:1	116:19	work 10:10,18
133:20	wednesday	<b>wish</b> 48:7	22:3 24:11
way 15:3,24	1:14	151:15 154:24	43:24 44:2
23:1 34:25	week 95:20	164:14	57:18 112:24
37:3,20 41:20	123:1	witness 5:17,20	112:24 120:4
49:6,7 67:2	weinberg 2:13	5:21 6:23 7:3	151:18 153:7
79:1,10,11	6:13	9:7 32:25 35:9	164:20 169:12
93:20 102:7	<b>weird</b> 173:7	72:5,7 163:13	169:18,25
104:1,7 108:6	<b>wells</b> 183:2,15	180:15,19,24	170:1,8 178:14
108:8,9 109:7	went 53:8	182:4	178:14,22
110:9 111:25	93:16 105:18	witnesses 172:8	worked 10:15
121:25 123:15	whatsoever	172:13	10:20 11:8
128:20 129:25	165:7	wonderful	98:22 116:12
136:14 142:22	wheeler 2:13	106:6	121:3 124:12
142:22,22,24	6:13	wondering	working 21:19
143:8,21	whoever's 99:3	34:23	23:16 53:25
144:12 147:2,3	<b>widely</b> 106:13	woodrick 2:19	85:8,10 92:21
152:13 154:5,5	williams 3:3	6:15,15 8:15	97:3 115:2,3
160:2,5 161:15	willing 85:22	8:25 52:20	115:17,19
171:6 177:20	windows 70:12	70:11 181:7,10	116:6,7,13
178:2		,	165:1 169:3

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [workplace - zoned]

Page 59

workplace 57:5	xxxtonio 1.5 2.2	year 100:24	<b>zoned</b> 26:3
57:23,24 89:4	wyteria 1:5 2:2 7:24	128:13 130:18	Zoneu 20.3
works 57:19		164:18 169:17	
79:22	X		
world 19:24	<b>x</b> 4:1,5 182:21	170:3,4,7,17	
21:11 65:13	y	years 13:18,25 14:5 20:13	
144:20	<b>y'all</b> 55:19	24:5 87:8	
	<b>yahoo</b> 91:24	93:18 100:23	
worry 139:1 worst 112:2	yahoos 87:3	101:19 123:5	
worth 103:17	yard 48:17	126:17 135:2	
132:8 169:22	yeah 14:1 15:4	164:17,18	
worthwhile	18:6 19:13	165:23 166:17	
111:21,23	20:19 24:5	169:7 175:8,10	
worthy 14:13	25:11,17,24	175:16,17	
write 118:9	26:5 28:16	yep 35:8 72:8	
158:11 174:13	39:20 40:5	<b>yep</b> 33.8 72.8	
writing 89:18	44:22 51:23	yesterday	
90:25 94:3	54:21 62:16,16	74:21 133:24	
95:8	63:4,12 64:2	yield 41:19	
written 6:4	66:17 67:10	york 58:23	
16:2 22:23	72:1 79:3	107:19	
27:17 85:24	92:23 101:23	young 51:5,9	
86:3 88:25	104:7 108:18	51:11,19 52:15	
91:1 104:16	110:3 115:5,21	55:16 56:3	
112:7 157:2	116:11 117:14	57:1 108:17	
wrong 45:15	118:10,18	141:3 144:9	
66:21 135:7	119:6 121:7,25	174:7,7 176:13	
163:4 173:21	122:1 141:13	176:14,15	
wrote 15:5 67:4	143:16 149:18	young's 53:5	
86:13 87:3	156:16 160:4	Z	
92:18	163:21,25		
wwhgd.com	169:7 170:2,21	<b>z</b> 91:2	
2:16	170:23 173:25	<b>zero</b> 23:9 84:11	
wysteria 5:11	173:25 180:6	102:17	
	180:25	zimbabwe	
		178:20	

Georgia Code

Title 9, Chapter 11

Article 5, Section 9-11-30

(e) Review by witness; changes; signing. If requested by the deponent or a party before completion of the deposition, the deponent shall have 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which to review the transcript or recording and, if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement reciting such changes and the reasons given by the deponent for making them. The officer shall indicate in the certificate prescribed by paragraph (1) of subsection (f) of this Code section whether any review was requested and, if so, shall append any changes made by the deponent during the period allowed. If the deposition is not reviewed and signed by the witness within 30 days of its submission to him or her, the officer shall sign it and state on the record that the deposition was not reviewed and signed by the deponent within 30 days. The deposition may then be used as fully as though signed unless, on a motion to suppress under paragraph (4) of subsection (d) of Code

Section 9-11-32, the court holds that the reasons given for the refusal to sign require rejection of the deposition in whole or in part.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

#### VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or
at www.veritext.com.